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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This project was the outcome of 'The Regional Technical Working Group on Data Collection for Sharks in Southeast Asia' held in Phuket, Thailand on $22-24$ April 2014. The European Union and The Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) through the Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Center (SEAFDEC) had agreed to fund a one-year project for conducting activities in Malaysia with a grant of US $\$ 6,000$. Apart from that, the Malaysian Government allocated RM70,000 (about US $\$ 19,000$ ) more to ensure the smooth implementation of the project. With the funding in place, eight districts were identified with four fully sponsored by SEAFDEC and four more by the Malaysian Government.

The project aimed to enhance human resource development in elasmobranch taxonomy, to increase awareness on conservation, to improve landings data recording from generic 'sharks' and 'rays' to species level and as a preparation for Malaysia to conduct Non-detriment Findings (NDFs) study for sharks and rays in the near future. During the period of 12 months from August 2015 to July 2016, recording of landings data were conducted in eight districts, with four each in the states of Perak and Sabah. Thirteen staff from the Department of Fisheries Malaysia and the Department of Fisheries Sabah trained in shark taxonomy were involved in the endeavour, collecting data at least 12 days per month, compared to only five days per month in most of the other ASEAN member countries. Four districts, of which two facing the Straits of Malacca, namely Larut Matang and Selama, and Manjung Utara in Perak, and the districts of Kota Kinabalu and Sandakan in Sabah were selected as the study sites under the sponsorship of SEAFDEC. The other four districts that were funded by the Malaysian Government are Manjung Selatan and Hilir Perak in Perak and two on the east coast of Sabah, namely Semporna and Tawau.

For the purpose of this report, only the findings from the four SEAFDEC's funded study sites were used. The four districts are among the main landing sites of sharks and rays in both states and the landing data were collected at 13 jetties in Perak and two jetties in Sabah.

A total of 118 species of chondrichthyans belonging to 15 families of sharks ( 51 species) and 11 families of batoids ( 68 species) were recorded. Out of this 33 species of rays from nine families and 20 species of sharks from five families were recorded during the study period in Perak. For Sabah, a total of 25 spesies of rays from eight families and 21 species of sharks from 11 Families were recorded during the same period. Two species of sharks namely Carcharhinus longimanus and Heptranchias perlo confirmed found in Malaysian waters in Sabah. Another 17 species comprising of 13 species of rays and four species of sharks were unidentified and recorded at genus level or as 'cf' (close-for). Based on this study and previous research data, Malaysia recorded 70 species of sharks, 91 species of rays and one species of chimaeras. The details is as shown in Appendix II and Appendix V.

In Perak, Larut Matang recorded 19 species of rays from five families, and 14 spesies of sharks from three Families. Whereas Manjung Utara recorded 14 species of rays from four families, and six species of sharks three Families. In term of percentage of total marin landings, rays and sharks contributed $2.03 \%$ and $0.56 \%$ at Larut Matang, while for Manjung Utara at $1.38 \%$ and $0.38 \%$ respectively. For Sabah, Kota Kinabalu recorded the highest number of species with 20 rays from six families and 17 sharks from 11 families compare to Sandakan with 19 species of rays from six families and 14 sharks species from six families. The landings of rays and sharks were minimal in the state, with the contribution of $0.39 \%$ and
$0.24 \%$ at Kota Kinabalu, and $1.81 \%$ and $0.53 \%$ at Sandakan respectively. These figures confirmed earlier data as published in Malaysian National Statistics that rays and sharks were only by-catch and not targeted and contributed less than $2 \%$ of the total annual marine landings.

The abundance of sharks and rays species varied between the study sites. The most abundant sharks species at Larut Matang were Chiloscyllium hasseltii, Chiloscyllium punctatum, Atelomycterus marmoratus and Carcharhinus sorrah while for rays were Neotrygon kuhlii, Himantura gerrardi, Himantura walga and Dasyatis zugei. The most abundant shark species at Manjung Utara were Chiloscyllium hasseltii, Chiloscyllium punctatum and Atelomycterus marmoratus while for rays were Himantura walga, Himantura gerrardi, Neotrygon kuhlii, and Dasyatis zugei. For Sabah, the most abundant sharks species at Kota Kinabalu were Chiloscyllium punctatum followed by Chiloscyllium plagiosum and Atelomycterus marmoratus and for rays Neotrygon kuhlii followed by Himantura gerrardi and Dasyatis zugei. As for Sandakan, the most abundant sharks species were Chiloscyllium punctatum followed by Carcharhinus sorrah and Chiloscyllium plagiosum, and for rays Neotrygon kuhlii followed by Himantura gerrardi and Taeniura lymma.

The top 10 catch per unit effort (CPUE) ( kg /days and $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hauls}$ ) for rays species captured by trawl net Zone C in Perak were Neotrygon kuhlii, Himantura gerrardi and Himantura walga, while for sharks were dominated by Chiloscyllium hasseltii, Chiloscyllium punctatum and Carcharhinus sorrah. The top 10 catch per unit effort (CPUE) rays and sharks species captured by trawl net, combined for Kota Kinabalu and Sandakan in Sabah, were determined in Zone 3 and Zone 4. For rays, Himantura gerrardi topped the list, followed by Neotrygon kuhlii and Himantura fai in Zone 3. In Zone 4, Neotrygon kuhlii was the main species, followed by Himantura fai and Himantura uarnacoides. For sharks, the top three species for both Zone 3 and Zone 4 were in the same order, with Chiloscyllium punctatum came first, followed by Chiloscyllium plagiosum and Carcharhinus sorrah.

Finally, based on the usage and marketing information gathered, this study confirmed that all sharks and rays were landed whole, indicated of these species full utilization with no finning activities on board of vessels.
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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Malaysia is a home to a rich diversity of sharks, rays, skate and chimaeras (Class Chondrichthyes). However, sharks and rays landings contribute only about $1 \%$ and $2 \%$ of total marine landings respectively. Until 2016, Malaysia recorded 162 species of Chondrichthyans comprising 70 sharks, 85 rays, six skates and one chimaera, belonging to 18 families of sharks, 12 rays, two skates and one chimaera. The high diversity of sharks was recorded from the Order Carcharhiniformes with 50 species and Orectolobiformes with 10 species. However, low diversity was recorded for the Orders Hexanchiformes with three species, and Lamniformes and Squatiniformes with two species respectively. Species diversity in the Order Heterodontiformes was scanty where only one species was recorded. As for batoids, high diversity was recorded for the Order Myliobatiformes with 62 species followed by Torpediniformes with 12 species and Rhinobatiformes with eight species. Only six species were recorded from the Order Rajiformes and three species from Pristiformes. Even though the number of chondrichthyans species recorded in Malaysia was more than 160, the actual status of its biodiversity is still unknown. With new species continuously discovered, the number is expected to increase in the future. At present the deep water species are mostly unknown due to limited research activities. Most sharks and rays species landed especially from the Families Carcharhinidae and Dasyatidae and are very difficult to identify up to species level by untrained and inexperienced enumerators. Only well trained staff will be able to make the right and valid identification of species (Ahmad and Annie Lim, 2012).

### 1.1 Objective

The objectives of this project were:

- to enhance human resource development in elasmobranch taxonomy, and
- to improve landing data recording from generic 'sharks' and 'rays' to species level.


### 1.2 Data Collection at Landing Sites

### 1.2.1 Selection of Study Sites

The State of Perak on the west coast of Peninsular Malaysia is a major landing state for sharks and rays. Two districts facing the Straits of Malacca, namely Larut Matang and Selama, and Manjung Utara were selected as the study sites as they were the main landing sites of sharks and rays in the state. The landing data were collected at 13 jetties i.e 10 in Larut Matang and Selama and three in Manjung Utara. The landing sites are private enterprises with most of the sharks and rays landing coming from trawlers. The location of all landing sites are shown in Figure 1.


Figure 1: Location of Study Sites in the State of Perak
Sabah, with the population of 3.544 million ( 2015 census) is the second largest state in Malaysia, nicknamed 'Negeri Di Bawah Bayu' or Land Below The Wind and occupying the northern part of Borneo. The total land area of Sabah is about 73,631 square kilometres and famed for its 4,095 meter-tall Mt. Kinabalu, the highest peak in the country, as well as for its ethnic diversity, serene beaches, virgin rainforest, coral reefs and abundant flora and fauna species. Surrounded by South China Sea in the west, Sulu Sea in the northeast and Celebes (Sulawesi) Sea in the northeast, Sabah is indeed blessed with its marine resources, In 2015, the landing of marine fish in the state was 175,443 metric tonnes ( mt ) with the value of RM902.5 million. Sabah maintained its status as a net exporter of fisheries commodities, amounting 74,973 metric tonnes with the value of RM851.7 million in 2014.

There are 16 coastal districts in Sabah and for the purpose of this project, Sandakan in the east and Kota Kinabalu in the west, were selected as the study sites, due to the fact that both districts are major fisheries landing points in Sabah (Figure 2)


Figure 2 : Location of Study Sites in the State of Sabah

### 1.2.2 Fishery Structure and Background of Study Sites

### 1.2.2.1 Larut Matang

Larut Matang is one of the major landing sites for sharks and rays in Perak. All jetties belong to private enterprises. The major gears were trawl nets (583), followed by drift nets (144) and purse seine (29). All trawlers are normally operated by 4-5 crew members. Almost all of the sharks and rays were landed by trawlers operating beyond eight nautical miles from the coastline. Fishing operation normally between 5-12 days per trip. All catches were landed from $0500 \mathrm{hr}-1000 \mathrm{hr}$. The details of fishing vessels registered in this district are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Number of Licensed Fishing Vessels by Gears and Number of Fishers at Larut Matang

| Gear Type | Fishing <br> Zone | Fishing operation <br> (from coastline) | No. of <br> Vessels | No. of Fishers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trawlers |  |  |  |  |
| $10-24.9$ GRT | B | $>8 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 380 | 760 |
| $25-39.9$ GRT | B | $>8 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 20 | 26 |
| $39.9-69.9$ GRT | C | $>12 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 174 | 306 |
| $>70$ GRT | C 2 | $>15 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 9 | 36 |
| Total |  |  | $\mathbf{5 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 2 8}$ |
| Purse Seiners |  |  |  |  |
| $>70$ GRT | C 2 | $>15 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 29 | 721 |
| Total |  |  | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 1}$ |
| Drift Netters | A | All areas | 144 | 514 |
| Longliners | A | All areas | 15 | 15 |
| Others (Fish trap etcs.) | A | All areas | 954 | 1,260 |
| Total |  |  | $\mathbf{1 , 1 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 8 9}$ |
| Grand Total |  |  | $\mathbf{1 , 7 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 6 3 8}$ |

### 1.2.2.2 Manjung Utara

All jetties in Manjung Utara belong to private enterprises. The major gears were drift nets (560), followed by trawl nets (242) and purse seine (16). Other gears were longline (10) and handline (5). The details of the fishing vessels registered in this district are shown in Table 2. The major gears landing sharks and rays were trawl nets, gill nets and longlines. All trawlers are normally operated by 4-5 crew members. However, the number of crew for traditional gears such as gillnets and longlines was normally 2-3 fishers. The fishing operation for trawlers was normally between 5-12 days per trip while longlines and gill nets were normally a daily trip. All catches were landed from $0730 \mathrm{hr}-1200 \mathrm{hr}$.

Table 2: Number of Licensed Fishing Vessels by Gears and Number of Fishers at Manjung Utara

| Gear Type | Fishing Zone | Fishing operation <br> (from coastline) | No. of <br> Vessels | No. of Fishers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trawlers |  |  |  |  |
| $10-24.9$ GRT | B | $>8 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 217 | 434 |
| $25-39.9$ GRT | B | $>8 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 1 | 4 |
| $39.9-69.9$ GRT | C | $>12 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 23 | 92 |
| $>70$ GRT | C 2 | $>15 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 1 | 7 |
| Total |  |  | $\mathbf{2 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 7}$ |
| Purse Seiners |  |  |  |  |
| $40-69.9$ GRT | C | $>12 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 3 | 83 |
| $>70$ GRT | C 2 | $>15 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 13 | 312 |
| Total |  |  | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 5}$ |
| Drift Netters | A | All Areas | 560 | 1,103 |
| Longliners | A | All Areas | 10 | 20 |
| Handliners | A | All Areas | 5 | 5 |
| Others | A | All Areas | 20 | 20 |
| Total |  |  | $\mathbf{5 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 4 8}$ |
| Grand Total |  |  | $\mathbf{8 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 8 0}$ |

### 1.2.2.3 Kota Kinabalu

Sabah Fisheries Marketing Authority (SAFMA) Jetty is the biggest fish landing jetty in Kota Kinabalu district. Commercial fishing vessels mainly operating trawl nets and purse seines landed their catch here on a daily basis. There are estimated around 30 fishing vessels utilizing the jetty during a particular period of landing time allowed, which is from 12 midnight untill noon the next day.

There are 224 trawlers in Kota Kinabalu compare to purse seines which are only around 41 . The operation duration per trip of trawl nets is up to a week while the purse seine's operations only take up to three days the most. The details of commercial fishing vessels in Kota Kinabalu are shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Number of Licensed Fishing Vessels by Gears and Number of Fishers in Kota Kinabalu

| Gear Type | Fishing Zone | Fishing Operation <br> (from coastline) <br> (Nautical Mile) | No. of Vessels | No. of Fishers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trawlers |  |  |  |  |
| $<10 \mathrm{GRT}$ | West Coast | $>3 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 9 |  |
| $10-24.9 \mathrm{GRT}$ | West Coast | $>3 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 51 | 27 |
| $25-39.9 \mathrm{GRT}$ | West Coast | $>3 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 124 | 180 |
| $40-69.9 \mathrm{GRT}$ | West Coast | $>3 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 27 | 496 |
| $>70$ GRT | West Coast | $>30 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 13 | 79 |
| Total |  |  | $\mathbf{2 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 5}$ |


| Gear Type | Fishing Zone | Fishing Operation <br> (from coastline) <br> (Nautical Mile) | No. of Vessels | No. of Fishers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Purse Seiners |  |  |  |  |
| $25-39.9 \mathrm{GRT}$ | West Coast | $>3 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 17 |  |
| $40-69.9 \mathrm{GRT}$ | West Coast <br> West Coast | $>3 \mathrm{~nm}$ <br> $>70$ GRT | $>30 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 21 |
| Total |  |  | 3 | 308 |
| Grand Total |  |  | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | 54 |

### 1.2.2.4 Sandakan

Sandakan was the first capital city of Sabah and used to be dubbed as 'Little Hong Kong' due to the booming commercial port activities back then. Sandakan has the highest number of trawl net vessels is Sabah, which is around 457 compare to 1,069 total of trawl net vessels state wide. In a big contrast, there are only twelve purse seines vessels operating in Sandakan waters. Sandakan is ranked third in marine fish landing in 2015 with $18,700 \mathrm{mt}$, behind Kota Kinabalu ( $61,800 \mathrm{mt}$ ) and Kudat ( $24,600 \mathrm{mt}$ ). The total landing of the state during that year was $175,400 \mathrm{mt}$. There are a number of fish landing jetties in Sandakan but the main landing point in the district is the Sandakan Fish Market Jetty where 45 estimated fishing vessels of various sizes landed their catch daily. The details of commercial fishing vessels in Sandakan are shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Number of Licensed Fishing Vessels by Gears and Number of Fishers in Sandakan.

| Gear Type | Fishing Zone | Fishing Operation <br> (from coastline) <br> (Nautical Mile) | No. of <br> Vessels | No. of Fishers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trawlers |  |  |  |  |
| $<10$ GRT | East Coast | $>3 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 7 | 19 |
| $10-24.9$ GRT | East Coast | $>3 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 172 | 520 |
| $25-39.9$ GRT | East Coast | $>3 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 209 | 820 |
| $40-69.9$ GRT | East Coast | $>3 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 69 | 380 |
| $>70$ GRT | East Coast | $>30 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 0 | 0 |
| Total |  |  | $\mathbf{4 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 3 9}$ |
| Purse Seiners |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $>3 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 6 | 57 |
| $40-69.9$ GRT | East Coast |  | $\mathbf{n m}$ | 114 |
| $>70$ GRT | East Coast |  | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 1}$ |
| Total |  |  | $\mathbf{4 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 1 0}$ |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |

### 1.3 Appointment of Enumerators

Two Assistant Fisheries Officers from the State Fisheries Office of Perak and two Assistant Fisheries Officers from the Department of Fisheries Sabah were appointed as enumerators for each district or study site. Their names and addresses are as follows:

| Study site 1: Larut Matang and Selama, Perak |
| :--- |
| Mr. Abdul Rahman bin Haji Ali Hasan <br> Pejabat Perikanan Daerah Taiping <br> Tingkat 6, Wisma Persekutuan, Jalan Istana Larut <br> 34000 Taiping, Perak. <br> Tel: +6 058075311 <br> Email: abd.rahman0865@gmail.com <br> Study site 2: Manjung Utara, Perak <br> Mr. Mahazir bin Baharom <br> Pejabat Perikanan Daerah Manjung Utara <br> Jalan Damar Laut <br> 34900 Pantai Remis <br> Perak Darul Ridzuan <br> Tel: +6 056772224 <br> Email: Mahazirbaharom@yahoo.com <br> Study site 3: Kota Kinabalu, Sabah <br> Mr. Justin Agon <br> Senior Assistant Fisheries Officer <br> Department of Fisheries Sabah <br> Jalan Haji Saman <br> 88000 Kota Kinabalu <br> Sabah, MALAYSIA. <br> Tel No.: +6 088 262359 <br> Email : Justin.agon@sabah.gov.my <br> Mr. Norhairul Bin Nordin <br> Assistant Fisheries Officer <br> Department of Fisheries Sabah <br> Wisma Pertanian Sabah, Jalan Tasik Luyang (Off Jalan Maktab Gaya) <br> 88624, Kota Kinabalu <br> Sabah, MALAYSIA. <br> Tel No.: +6 088 235966 <br> Ema Email: Hairul_elut@yahoo.com <br> Study site 4 : Sandakan, Sabah <br> Mr. Chin En Kiong <br> Senior Assistant Fisheries Officer |

```
Department of Fsiheries Sabah
P.O. BOX 1369,
90715, Sandakan,
Sabah, MALAYSIA
Tel No.: +6 089 208870
Email: EnKiong.Chin@sabah.gov.my
Mr. Maurice @ Kassim bin Anchi
Senior Assistant Fisheries Officer
Department of Fisheries Sabah
P.O. BOX 1369,
90715, Sandakan,
Sabah, MALAYSIA
Tel No.: +6 089 208870
Email: Maurice.anchi@sabah.gov.my
National Coordinator and Project Coordinator for Perak:
Mr. Abd Haris Hilmi bin Ahmad Arshad
Senior Researcher
Fisheries Research Institute, Capture Fisheries Division
Kompleks Perikanan Kampung Acheh, Department of Fisheries Malaysia
32000 Sitiawan Perak, MALAYSIA
Tel: +6 056914752
Email: haris_hilmi@dof.gov.my
Project Coordinator for the Sabah :
Mr. Lawrence Kissol
Assistant Director (Marine Resource Management)
Department of Fisheries Sabah
Wisma Pertanian Sabah, Jalan Tasik Luyang (Off Jalan Maktab Gaya)
88624, Kota Kinabalu,
Sabah, MALAYSIA.
Tel No.: +6 088 235966
Email: Lawrence.kissol@sabah.gov.my
```


### 1.4 Materials and Methods

### 1.4.1 Sampling Methods

The sampling activity started in August 2015 until July 2016. All enumerators were requested to record landing data and other related information in a standard form at least 12 days per month. A standard SOP entitled 'SOP Sharks and Rays Data Collection in the Southeast Asian Waters' was produced. The content included Standard Operation Procedure and instructions to enumerators on how to measure, weigh, record sharks and rays species at sampling sites, name of enumerator, name of landing site, date of sampling, vessel registration number, vessel GRT, fishing area, price at landing sites, name of species (common name and scientific name), total catch of sharks, rays, commercial and low-value species from each sampling vessel.

The details of the standard form are shown in Appendix I. The completed data in excell were then submitted to the respective National Coordinator before submitted to SEAFDEC/MFRDMD before second week of the following month for verification. The data were analysed at the end of each quarter.

### 1.4.2 Selection of Fishing Vessels and Sampling Activities

Between 1-3 fishing vessels were selected for sampling each day for 12 days per month at each landing site. Measurement of Total length (TL) were taken for all skates, sharks and rays species from the Families Rhynchobatidae, Rhinobatidae and Narcinidae. While Disc Length (DL) were taken for all ray species where the tail is frequently absent or damaged (mainly from the Families Dasyatidae, Gymnuridae and Mobulidae). All sharks and rays specimens were measured and weighed individually if the total number was less than 50 tails per vessel. If the total number was more than 50 tails, only $10-50 \%$ were measured. The maturity stage for each individual was estimated according to Yano et al. (2005) and Ahmad and Annie Lim (2012). The total catch of all sharks and rays by species as well as the total catch of commercial and low-value species were also recorded for each sampling vessel. Some samples were brought back to the Fisheries Research Institute, Capture Fisheries Division, Kg. Acheh Sitiawan Perak and Fisheries Research Center, Likas, Kota Kinabalu for preservation and future references. Larger specimens were photographed, and their basic taxonomic and biological characteristics noted.

### 1.4.3 Classification

The classification (scientific names) used in this report follows that of Compagno (1999), Yano et al. (2005), Ahmad and Annie Lim (2012), Ahmad et al. (2013) and Ahmad et al. (2014), and Ebert et al. (2013).

### 2.0 RESULTS

### 2.1 Larut Matang

### 2.1.1 Landing Samples

A total of 336 landings were sampled during the study period. The highest by month was 33 in October followed by 29 in December 2015. The highest by gear type was 263 Zone C trawl net followed by 39 of longline, 14 of Zone C2 and 13 of Zone B trawl net. The details are shown in Table 5.

Table 5: Number of Landings Sampled during the study at Larut Matang

| Type of Gear | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Grand Total |
| Drift Net | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 6 |
| Longline | 2 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 2 |  | 4 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 39 |
| Purse Seine C2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Trawl Net B |  | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 13 |
| Trawl Net C | 22 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 23 | 26. | 19 | 24 | 18 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 263 |
| Trawl Net C2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 14 |
| Total | 28 | 28 | 33 | 27 | 29 | 27 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 26 | 27 | 27 | 336 |

### 2.1.2 Fishing Ground and Catch Composition by Gear Type

The main gear landing sharks at Larut Matang was trawl net at $5,344.7 \mathrm{~kg}(67.0 \%)$ followed by purse seine and drift net at very small amount ( 22 kg ) while longline, which operated up to 30 nautical miles from the coastline landed the highest rays at $2,077 \mathrm{~kg}(26.0 \%)$ followed by drift net at 314 kg ( $3.9 \%$ ) and trawl net at $219 \mathrm{~kg}(2.7 \%)$. Most trawlers operated beyond eight nautical miles from the coastline. Zone $C$ trawl net landed the highest at $4,912 \mathrm{~kg}$ followed by Zone C2 trawl net ( 399 kg ) and Zone B at 33 kg . The highest landing of rays by month was from longline at 499.6 kg in December 2015, while in April and July 2016 were 425.5 kg and 261.9 kg respectively. The highest landing of sharks by month came from Zone C trawl net in August 2015 at 600.4 kg followed by 542.2 kg in October 2015 and 501 kg in May 2016. The details are shown in Table 6.
Table 6: Weight of Sharks and Rays (in kg) Caught by Different Types of Gear

| Type of Gear | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Grand Total |
| Drift Net | 23.3 |  |  | 163.0 | 97.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30.6 | 314.0 |
| Longline | 53.1 | 48.5 | 158.9 | 51.1 | 499.6 |  | 153.5 | 155.9 | 407.0 | 106.5 | 162.7 | 261.9 | 2,077.0 |
| Trawl Net B |  | 10.1 | 21.6 |  | 19.1 |  | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 56.6 |
| Trawl Net C | 75.9 | 57.7 |  |  |  |  | 2.6 | 26.3 |  |  |  |  | 162.5 |
| Total Catch Ray | 152.3 | 116.3 | 180.5 | 214.0 | 615.9 |  | 161.9 | 182.2 | 407.0 | 106.5 | 162.7 | 292.4 | 2,610.1 |
| Drift Net |  |  | 4.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.8 |
| Purse Seine C2 |  | 17.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17.1 |
| Trawl Net B |  | 2.5 | 2.6 | 5.5 |  | 19.5 | 1.8 |  | 1.1 |  |  |  | 33.0 |
| Trawl Net C | 600.4 | 397.9 | 542.2 | 461.4 | 350.7 | 469.6 | 287.3 | 248.4 | 375.4 | 501.9 | 313.8 | 363.2 | 4,912.3 |
| Trawl Net C2 | 134.0 | 26.8 | 22.7 | 36.4 | 29.6 |  | 57.5 |  |  | 27.6 | 41.5 | 23.3 | 399.4 |
| Total Catch Shark | 734.4 | 444.2 | 572.3 | 503.3 | 380.3 | 489.2 | 346.6 | 248.4 | 376.5 | 529.5 | 355.3 | 386.4 | 5,366.5 |
| Grand Total | 886.7 | 560.6 | 752.8 | 717.3 | 996.2 | 489.2 | 508.4 | 430.6 | 783.5 | 636.0 | 518.0 | 678.9 | 7,976.6 |

### 2.1.3 Sharks and Rays Composition

A total of $1,578,271 \mathrm{~kg}$ of fish was landed from 336 landings during the study period. Rays and sharks made up $24,570 \mathrm{~kg}$ and $5,439 \mathrm{~kg}$ ( $1.4 \%$ and $0.4 \%$ ) from the total landing respectively. Landings of bony fish was $1,548,281.8 \mathrm{~kg}$ or $98.2 \%$. Average landings per month for sharks and rays were 453 kg and $2,048 \mathrm{~kg}$ respectively. The highest landing by month for rays was $8,790 \mathrm{~kg}$ in July, followed by $3,229 \mathrm{~kg}$ in May and $2,905 \mathrm{~kg}$ in June 2016. However, the highest landing for sharks was 807 kg in August 2015 followed by 572 kg in October 2015 and 530 kg in May 2016. In general, the landing of sharks and rays ranged between $0.2-0.8 \%$ and $0.7-4.7 \%$ respectively from total landing. The details are shown in Table 7.

Table 7: Catch Composition of Sharks, Rays and Bony Fish by Month from 336 Landings at Larut Matang, Perak. All Weights in Kilogram.

| Year | Month | Weight of Ray | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { Ray } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Weight of Shark | \% Shark | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weight of Bony } \\ \text { Fish } \end{gathered}$ | \% Bony fish | Total Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 | Aug | 1,042.0 | 1.0 | 806.6 | 0.8 | 106,068.8 | 98.2 | 107,917.4 |
|  | Sep | 1,199.2 | 0.9 | 444.2 | 0.3 | 137,587.5 | 98.8 | 139,230.9 |
|  | Oct | 995.2 | 0.8 | 572.3 | 0.4 | 127,670.4 | 98.8 | 129,237.8 |
|  | Nov | 1,110.5 | 0.8 | 503.3 | 0.3 | 146,917.7 | 98.9 | 148,531.5 |
|  | Dec | 1,624.5 | 1.2 | 380.3 | 0.3 | 128,509.0 | 98.5 | 130,513.8 |
| 2016 | Jan | 985.8 | 0.7 | 489.2 | 0.4 | 133,506.1 | 98.9 | 134,981.0 |
|  | Feb | 848.9 | 0.8 | 346.6 | 0.3 | 103,115.9 | 98.9 | 104,311.4 |
|  | Mar | 759.8 | 0.7 | 248.4 | 0.2 | 114,584.1 | 99.1 | 115,592.3 |
|  | Apr | 1,080.6 | 1.1 | 376.5 | 0.4 | 94,069.2 | 98.5 | 95,507.8 |
|  | May | 3,228.5 | 2.2 | 529.5 | 0.4 | 141,227.4 | 97.4 | 144,985.4 |
|  | Jun | 2,905.1 | 2.1 | 355.3 | 0.3 | 135,508.2 | 97.6 | 138,768.6 |
|  | July | 8,789.7 | 4.7 | 386.4 | 0.2 | 179,517.5 | 95.1 | 188,693.6 |
| Grand Total |  | 24,569.8 |  | 5,438.6 |  | 1,548,281.8 |  | 1,578,271.5 |
| Average |  | 2,047.5 | 1.4 | 453.2 | 0.4 | 129,023.5 | 98.2 | 131,522.6 |

### 2.1.4 Sample Size

A total of 8,039 tails belonging to 4,873 rays and 3,166 sharks were sampled comprising 19 species of rays and 14 species of sharks during the study period. The most common and abundant rays species were Neotrygon kuhlii, Himantura gerrardi, H. walga and Dasyatis zugei. Other common rays species were Rhynchobatus australiae, Himantura pastinacoides and Dasyatis akajei. All these species were landed throughout the year. Other rays species such as Dasyatis thetidis, Himantura undulata, Rhinobatos cf. borneensis, Rhynchobatus laevis, were only landed between 1-3 months. The highest number of rays sampled by month was 474 tails in August followed by 455 tails in September 2015 and 446 tails in January 2016.

The most common and abundant sharks species recording in 12 months were Chiloscyllium hasseltii, C. punctatum and Atelomycterus marmoratus. Other common sharks species were Atelomycterus cf. ermanni and Carcharhinus sorrah. These species were landed between 10 12 months. Other sharks species such as Carcharhinus brevipinna, C. limbatus, C. leucas and Galeocerdo cuvier were only landed between 1-2 months. The highest number of sharks sampled by month was 324 tails in May, followed by 323 tails in January 2016 and 303 tails in August 2015. The details are as shown in Table 8.
Table 8: Sample Size of Sharks and Rays by Species

| Species | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
|  | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul |  |
| Dasyatis akajei | 1 | 2 | 12 | 11 | 1 |  | 5 | 8 | 11 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 59 |
| Dasyatis thetidis |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Dasyatis zugei | 106 | 103 | 89 | 65 | 77 | 94 | 55 | 84 | 56 | 70 | 70 | 56 | 925 |
| Himantura cf. gerrardi |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Himantura fai |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 3 |  | 7 |
| Himantura gerrardi | 107 | 99 | 89 | 81 | 116 | 120 | 100 | 109 | 82 | 99 | 92 | 114 | 1,208 |
| Himantura jenkinsii | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 3 | 1 | 11 |
| Himantura pastinacoides | 8 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 5 |  | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 58 |
| Himantura uarnak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Himantura undulata |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Himantura walga | 108 | 117 | 97 | 88 | 89 | 97 | 68 | 83 | 53 | 92 | 67 | 54 | 1,013 |
| Narcine maculata | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Narcine sp |  |  |  | 10 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| Neotrygon kuhlii | 127 | 125 | 121 | 92 | 124 | 113 | 103 | 118 | 96 | 141 | 104 | 135 | 1,399 |
| Rhinobatos cf. borneensis |  |  |  | 5 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| Rhynchobatus australiae | 12 | 4 | 3 | 18 | 16 | 19 | 13 | 13 | 8 | 18 | 15 | 17 | 156 |
| Rhynchobatus laevis |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 3 |
| Temera hardwickii | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Narcine sp D |  |  |  |  | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Total Rays | 474 | 455 | 418 | 383 | 440 | 446 | 351 | 418 | 311 | 433 | 361 | 386 | 4,873 |
| Atelomycterus cf. baliensis | 2 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 26 |
| Atelomycterus cf. erdmanni | 9 | 19 | 18 | 19 | 15 | 27 | 13 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 145 |
| Atelomycterus marmoratus | 52 | 52 | 31 | 30 | 33 | 58 | 50 | 35 | 35 | 48 | 26 | 40 | 490 |
| Carcharhinus brevipinna |  |  | 5 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| Carcharhinus leucas |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Carcharhimus limbatus | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Carcharhinus sorrah | 9 | 2 | 11 | 6 | 2 |  |  | 4 | 52 | 73 | 38 | 11 | 208 |
| Chiloscyllium cf.hasseltii |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Chiloscyllium hasseltii | 111 | 97 | 116 | 107 | 124 | 134 | 108 | 88 | 85 | 112 | 84 | 76 | 1,242 |
| Chiloscyllium indicum |  | 6 | 5 |  |  |  | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 17 |
| Chiloscyllium punctatum | 120 | 106 | 105 | 81 | 91 | 99 | 80 | 71 | 51 | 81 | 58 | 76 | 1,019 |
| Chiloscyllium sp. |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Galeocerdo cuvier |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Scoliodon laticaudus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Total Sharks | 304 | 296 | 294 | 253 | 268 | 323 | 254 | 202 | 229 | 324 | 212 | 208 | 3,166 |
| Grand Total | 778 | 751 | 712 | 635 | 708 | 769 | 605 | 620 | 540 | 757 | 573 | 593 | 8,039 |

### 2.1.5 Weight of Sharks and Rays by Species

A total of $23,702 \mathrm{~kg}$ was landed from 336 landings comprising $18,351 \mathrm{~kg}$ of rays and 5,352 kg of sharks. For rays, the highest landing by weight was Himantura gerrardi amounting to $7,021 \mathrm{~kg}$, followed by $5,053 \mathrm{~kg}$ of Neotrygon kuhlii and 1,938 kg of Himantura fai. The highest landing by month for Himantura gerrardi was $1,465 \mathrm{~kg}$ in July 2016, followed by 891 kg in December 2015 and 802 kg in Jun 2016. For Neotrygon kuhlii, the highest landing was 863 kg in May, followed by 814 kg in July and 674 kg in June 2016. For Himantura fai, the highest landing was $1,700 \mathrm{~kg}$ in May followed by 238 kg in June 2016. Other important species based on high landing were Himantura jenkinsii ( 992 kg ), H. walga ( 994 kg ), H. pastinacoides ( 688 kg ), Dasyatis zugei ( 683 kg ), Rhynchobatus australiae ( 408 kg ) and Dasyatis akajei at 341 kg . Landing of other species was below 100 kg .

The highest landing of shark species was $2,433 \mathrm{~kg}$ for Chiloscyllium hasseltii followed by $1,835 \mathrm{~kg}$ for Chiloscyllium punctatum, 541 kg for Carcharhinus sorrah and 343 kg for Atelomycterus marmoratus. The highest landing by month for Chiloscyllium hasseltii was 329 kg in August 2015 followed by 275 kg in January 2016 and 268 kg in October 2015. For Chiloscyllium punctatum, the highest landing was 306 kg in August followed by 211 kg in October and 204 kg in September 2015. Landing of other species was below 100 kg . The details are shown in Table 9.
Table 9: Weight of Sharks and Rays (in Kg) by Species from 336 Landings at Larut Matang

| Species | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
|  | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul |  |
| Dasyatis akajei | 1.0 | 15.8 | 79.3 | 66.4 | 4.8 |  | 18.8 | 44.5 | 65.5 | 17.3 | 19.7 | 7.7 | 340.7 |
| Dasyatis thetidis |  |  |  | 81.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 81.0 |
| Dasyatis zugei | 82.5 | 80.4 | 57.1 | 54.8 | 46.4 | 66.5 | 40.4 | 50.9 | 39.6 | 52.3 | 54.5 | 57.9 | 683.2 |
| Himantura cf. gerrardi |  |  |  |  | 19.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19.1 |
| Himantura fai |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,700.0 | 238.0 |  | 1,938.0 |
| Himantura gerrardi | 373.3 | 458.7 | 336.7 | 397.0 | 891.3 | 508.2 | 452.3 | 360.5 | 596.0 | 380.7 | 801.7 | 1,464.9 | 7,021.2 |
| Himantura jenkinsii | 3.6 | 4.6 | 1.6 | 1.4 |  | 10.5 | 0.8 |  |  |  | 960.0 | 9.2 | 991.7 |
| Himantura pastinacoides | 72.3 | 32.7 | 39.1 | 122.5 | 28.8 |  | 61.8 | 66.3 | 78.1 | 91.7 | 26.0 | 68.5 | 687.7 |
| Himantura uarnak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56.0 |  | 56.0 |
| Himantura undulata |  |  |  | 29.1 | 63.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 92.7 |
| Himantura walga | 113.3 | 125.9 | 93.3 | 67.5 | 80.5 | 62.6 | 52.1 | 69.1 | 50.0 | 101.2 | 48.6 | 80.3 | 944.2 |
| Narcine maculata | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3 |
| Neotrygon kuhlii | 374.3 | 469.9 | 379.8 | 233.0 | 424.4 | 288.8 | 169.2 | 138.2 | 224.5 | 863.4 | 673.6 | 814.1 | 5,053.3 |
| Rhinobatos cf. borneensis |  |  |  | 8.4 | 6.3 |  |  |  | . |  |  |  | 14.7 |
| Rhynchobatus australiae | 20.3 | 11.2 | 5.3 | 42.6 | 55.7 | 47.7 | 52.6 | 30.3 | 27.1 | 21.5 | 23.4 | 70.0 | 407.8 |
| Rhynchobatus laevis |  |  | 2.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 | 1.9 |  | 5.3 |
| Temere hardwickii | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |
| Narcine sp |  |  |  | 6.9 |  |  | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.8 |
| Narcine sp D |  |  |  |  | 3.6 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.0 |
| Total Weight Rays | 1,042.0 | 1,199.2 | 995.2 | 1,110.5 | 1,624.5 | 985.8 | 848.9 | 759.8 | 1,080.6 | 3,228.5 | 2,903.4 | 2,572.5 | 18,350.7 |
| Atelomycterus cf. baliensis | 0.8 | 8.8 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.4 |  |  |  | 0.5 | 0.6 |  | 13.6 |
| Atelomycterus cf. erdmanni | 3.9 | 21.0 | 6.9 | 8.6 | 6.4 | 14.2 | 5.6 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 77.2 |
| Atelomycterus marmoratus | 60.2 | 35.5 | 13.6 | 15.5 | 14.6 | 38.2 | 42.3 | 19.4 | 15.8 | 49.8 | 13.1 | 24.7 | 342.6 |
| Carcharhinus brevipinna |  |  | 13.5 | 13.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26.8 |


|  | 2015 Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | 2015 |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
|  | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul |  |
| Carcharhinus leucas |  |  | 4.8 | 33.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38.0 |
| Carcharhinus limbatus | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.4 |
| Carcharhinus sorrah | 33.1 | 20.0 | 37.4 | 27.3 | 8.2 |  |  | 7.5 | 128.6 | 158.0 | 84.4 | 37.0 | 541.5 |
| Chiloscyllium cf. hasseltii |  |  |  |  |  | 1.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.9 |
| Chiloscyllium hasseltii | 328.7 | 152.7 | 268.0 | 227.0 | 219.1 | 274.8 | 196.4 | 113.1 | 142.1 | 193.6 | 162.2 | 155.8 | 2,433.6 |
| Chiloscyllium indicum |  | 2.3 | 2.0 |  |  |  | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.4 |  |  |  | 6.4 |
| Chiloscyllium punctatum | 306.3 | 204.0 | 211.1 | 144.8 | 131.1 | 158.6 | 101.3 | 106.6 | 87.3 | 124.0 | 93.0 | 167.2 | 1,835.3 |
| Chiloscyllium sp |  |  |  | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.4 |
| Galeocerdo cuvier |  |  | 14.6 | 18.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32.7 |
| Scoliodon laticaudus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.3 |  |  | 0.3 |
| Total Weight Sharks | 734.4 | 444.2 | 572.3 | 488.5 | 380.3 | 489.2 | 346.6 | 248.4 | 376.5 | 529.5 | 355.3 | 386.4 | 5,351.7 |
| Grand Total | 1,776.4 | 1,643.4 | 1,567.5 | 1,599.0 | 2,004.8 | 1,474.9 | 1,195.5 | 1,008.2 | 1,457.1 | 3,758.0 | 3,258.7 | 2,959.0 | 23,702.4 |

### 2.1.6 Size Range of Sharks and Rays

In general from August 2015 to January 2016, both mature and immature rays species were sampled. Generally, rays species sampled were mature except for Himantura gerrardi, Himantura cf. gerrardi, H. jenkinsii, Rhynchobatus australiae and R. laevis. The average size of Himantura gerrardi ranged between $33.1-39.9 \mathrm{~cm}$ disc length. Most adult sized of Himantura gerrardi were immediately removed by middlemen upon being landed. First maturing size for Himantura gerrardi is about 59.0 cm (disc width). Male of Rhynchobatus australiae mature at 130 cm total length and female mature at 155 cm . However, almost all samples of Dasyatis zugei, Neotrygon kuhlii and Rhinobatos cf. borneensis were mature. Size range of rays species from August 2015 to January 2016 are shown in Table 10A (i). Ray species sampled from February to July 2016 were mature except for Himantura gerrardi, $H$. jenkinsii and Rhynchobatus australiae. Almost all specimens of Dasyatis zugei, Neotrygon kuhlii and Himantura walga were matured. Size range of rays sampled from February to July 2016 are shown in Table 10A (ii).

Most of shark species sampled between August 2015 to January 2016 were mature except for Carcharhinus brevipinna, C. leucas, C. limbatus, C. sorrah and Galeocerdo cuvier. Mature size for female of $C$. brevipinna is ranged between $170-220 \mathrm{~cm}$ total length and for male between 159-203 cm. First maturing size for female for C. leucas is ranged between 180230 cm total length and for male between $197-226 \mathrm{~cm}$. For Carcharhinus sorrah female is mature when total length between $110-118 \mathrm{~cm}$ and for female between $103-128 \mathrm{~cm}$. Size range of all sharks species sampled from August 2015 to January 2016 are shown in Table 10B (i). Almost all shark species sampled between February to July 2016 were mature except for Carcharhinus sorah. Size range of all sharks sampled from February to July 2016 are shown in Table 10B (ii).
Table 10A (i): Size Range of Rays (Disc Length) Except for Rhinobatos cf. borneensis, Narcine spp, Rhychobatus australiae, R. laevis and Temera hardwickii (Total Length) from August 2015 to January 2016. All Measurements in cm.

| Species | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |
|  | Aug |  |  | Sep |  |  | Oct |  |  | Nov |  |  | Dec |  |  | Jan |  |  |
| Rays | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av |
| Dasyatis akajei | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 54.0 | 58.0 | 56.0 | 37.0 | 63.0 | 48.9 | 30.0 | 59.0 | 49.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 |  |  |  |
| Dasyatis thetidis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 120.0 | 120.0 | 120.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dasyatis zugei | 16.0 | 33.0 | 23.7 | 15.0 | 33.0 | 23.5 | 15.0 | 32.0 | 24.1 | 19.0 | 34.0 | 24.6 | 16.0 | 33.0 | 24.3 | 15.5 | 33.0 | 23.5 |
| Himantura cf. gerrardi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75.0 | 75.0 | 75.0 |  |  |  |
| Himantura gerrardi | 17.0 | 57.0 | 33.6 | 17.5 | 64.0 | 33.1 | 20.0 | 78.0 | 35.4 | 20.0 | 93.0 | 37.4 | 17.0 | 96.0 | 39.9 | 14.5 | 66.0 | 34 |
| Himantura jenkinsii | 30.0 | 37.0 | 33.5 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 |  |  |  | 59.0 | 59.0 | 59.0 |
| Himantura pastinacoides | 27.0 | 75.0 | 55.5 | 52.0 | 64.0 | 57.0 | 49.0 | 61.0 | 56.6 | 41.0 | 64.0 | 54.5 | 40.0 | 53.0 | 45.1 |  |  |  |
| Himantura undulata |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 93.0 | 93.0 | 93.0 | 26.0 | 116.0 | 56.7 |  |  |  |
| Himantura walga | 16.0 | 25.5 | 20.1 | 16.0 | 25.0 | 20.2 | 16.5 | 25.5 | 20.6 | 16.5 | 25.0 | 20.9 | 16.5 | 28.0 | 20.8 | 13.5 | 25 | 20.3 |
| Narcine maculata | 29.5 | 43.5 | 36.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Narcine sp. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31.5 | 38.0 | 34.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Neotrygon kuhlii | 14.0 | 36.0 | 21.4 | 14.0 | 32.0 | 22.0 | 14.0 | 32.0 | 22.3 | 14.0 | 31.0 | 22.2 | 15.0 | 33.0 | 22.4 | 15.0 | 30.5 | 21.7 |
| Rhinobatos cf. borneensis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 78.5 | 89.0 | 83.4 | 75.0 | 80.5 | 78.4 |  |  |  |
| Rhynchobatus australiae | 29.5 | 85.0 | 65.3 | 50.0 | 113.0 | 73.5 | 53.0 | 92.0 | 67.3 | 48.5 | 126.0 | 73.3 | 48.0 | 146.0 | 77.4 | 47.0 | 116.0 | 71.0 |
| Rhynchobatus laevis |  |  |  |  |  |  | 84.0 | 84.0 | 84.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Temera hardwickii | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Narcine sp. D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33.0 | 34.0 | 33.5 | 35.0 | 45.0 | 40.0 |

Table 10A (ii): Size Range of Rays (Disc Length) Except for Narcine spp, Rhychobatus australiae and R. laevis (Total Length) from February to July 2016. All Measurements in cm.
Table 10A (ii):

| Species | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Feb |  |  | Mar |  |  | Apr |  |  | May |  |  | Jun |  |  | Jul |  |  |
| Rays | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave |
| Dasyatis akajei | 21.0 | 57.0 | 37.2 | 32.0 | 59.0 | 48.8 | 37.0 | 60.0 | 50.8 | 38.0 | 55.0 | 48.0 | 36.0 | 52.0 | 46.5 | 52.0 | 52.0 | 52.0 |
| Dasyatis zugei | 16.0 | 31.0 | 23.5 | 15.0 | 30.0 | 23.4 | 22.0 | 31.0 | 22.3 | 18.0 | 33.0 | 24.0 | 17.0 | 33.0 | 24.5 | 18.0 | 58.0 | 25.0 |
| Himantura fai |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 92.0 | 116.0 | 105.8 | 57.0 | 141.0 | 108.0 |  |  |  |
| Himantura gerrardi | 16.0 | 83.0 | 37.0 | 18.0 | 76.0 | 33.0 | 17.5 | 73.0 | 39.8 | 21.0 | 84.0 | 37.7 | 19.0 | 93.0 | 42.0 | 20.5 | 92.0 | 48.9 |
| Himantura jenkinsii | 26.5 | 26.5 | 26.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 94.0 | 96.0 | 94.7 | 59.0 | 59.0 | 59.0 |
| Himantura pastinacoides | 56.0 | 81.0 | 69.0 | 62.0 | 90.0 | 78.0 | 45.0 | 80.0 | 68.1 | 49.0 | 80.0 | 63.2 | 85.0 | 85.0 | 85.0 | 47.0 | 69.0 | 56.8 |
| Himantura uarnak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 110.0 | 110.0 | 110.0 |  |  |  |
| Himantura walga | 15.0 | 28.0 | 20.9 | 15.0 | 26.5 | 20.5 | 15.0 | 25.0 | 20.8 | 16.5 | 25.0 | 20.6 | 14.0 | 26.5 | 20.1 | 16.0 | 27.0 | 21.1 |
| Narcine sp. | 33.0 | 37.0 | 35.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Neotrygon kuhlii | 13.0 | 30.0 | 21.4 | 13.0 | 30.0 | 21.1 | 22.5 | 30.0 | 22.1 | 15.0 | 32.0 | 22.6 | 15.0 | 32.0 | 23.0 | 14.0 | 61.0 | 23.8 |
| Rhynchobatus australiae | 52.0 | 145.0 | 83.7 | 57.0 | 174.0 | 83.8 | 63.0 | 109.0 | 85.3 | 23.5 | 119.0 | 52.7 | 48.0 | 111.0 | 63.4 | 52.0 | 137.0 | 86.6 |
| Rhynchobatus laevis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 73.0 | 73.0 | 73.0 |  |  |  |

Table 10B (i): Size Range of Sharks (Total Length) from August 2015 to January 2016. All Measurements in cm.

| Species | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |
|  | Aug |  |  | Sep |  |  | Oct |  |  | Nov |  |  | Dec |  |  | Jan |  |  |
| Sharks | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave |
| Atelomycterus cf. baliensis | 45.5 | 52.0 | 48.8 | 43.0 | 53.5 | 49.6 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 46.0 | 49.0 | 47.7 | 48.0 | 53.0 | 51.3 |
| Atelomycterus cf. erdmanni | 48.0 | 54.0 | 50.4 | 37.0 | 55.0 | 49.0 | 34.0 | 54.0 | 46.6 | 43.0 | 56.0 | 49.3 | 43.0 | 54.0 | 50.6 | 37.0 | 57.0 | 48.6 |
| Atelomycterus marmoratus | 42.0 | 58.0 | 49.9 | 30.0 | 58.0 | 47.9 | 38.0 | 56.0 | 50.4 | 43.0 | 61.0 | 51.4 | 33.0 | 57.0 | 49.8 | 42.0 | 58.0 | 49.8 |
| Carcharhinus brevipinna |  |  |  |  |  |  | 74.5 | 89.0 | 80.7 | 77.0 | 87.0 | 82.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carcharhinus leucas |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89.0 | 89.0 | 89.0 | 78.0 | 155.0 | 116.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carcharhinus limbatus | 61.0 | 61.0 | 61.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carcharhinus sorrah | 73.0 | 83.0 | 78.9 | 83.0 | 142.0 | 112.5 | 61.0 | 95.0 | 84.4 | 93.0 | 97.0 | 95.7 | 88.0 | 96.0 | 92.0 |  |  |  |
| Chiloscyllium cf. hasseltii |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 61.5 | 63.0 | 62.3 |
| Chiloscyllium hasseltii | 42.0 | 82.0 | 62.3 | 18.5 | 86.0 | 59.6 | 40.0 | 93.0 | 62.0 | 46.0 | 79.0 | 61.8 | 46.0 | 81.0 | 62.2 | 44.0 | 86.0 | 61.0 |
| Chiloscyllium indicum |  |  |  | 47.0 | 56.0 | 52.3 | 49.0 | 55.5 | 52.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chiloscylium punctatum | 29.0 | 96.0 | 69.6 | 42.0 | 91.0 | 67.5 | 40.0 | 90.0 | 70.0 | 31.0 | 95.0 | 66.8 | 43.0 | 88.0 | 64.8 | 45.5 | 91.0 | 64.8 |
| Chiloscyllium sp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Galeocerdo cuvier |  |  |  |  |  |  | 144.0 | 144.0 | 144.0 | 157.0 | 157.0 | 157.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 10B (ii): Size Range of Sharks (Total Length) from February to July 2016. All Measurements in cm.

| Species | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Feb |  |  | Mar |  |  | Apr |  |  | May |  |  | Jun |  |  | Jul |  |  |
| Sharks | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave |
| Atelomycterus cf. baliensis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52.0 | 52.0 | 52.0 | 54.0 | 54.0 | 54.0 |  |  |  |
| Atelomycterus cf. erdmanni | 42.0 | 56.0 | 49.5 | 52.0 | 53.0 | 52.5 | 45.0 | 60.5 | 51.9 | 47.0 | 58.0 | 51.3 | 43.0 | 52.0 | 47.8 | 43.0 | 54.0 | 47.4 |
| Atelomycterus marmoratus | 41.0 | 59.0 | 50.4 | 40.0 | 56.0 | 48.9 | 39.0 | 55.0 | 49.5 | 25.0 | 71.0 | 50.9 | 40.0 | 57.0 | 50.2 | 40.0 | 61.0 | 51.2 |
| Carcharhinus sorrah |  |  |  | 46.0 | 106.0 | 64.5 | 52.0 | 135.0 | 60.0 | 50.0 | 104.0 | 60.8 | 44.0 | 143.0 | 66.9 | 62.0 | 128.0 | 81.2 |
| Chiloscyllium hasseltii | 41.0 | 77.0 | 60.1 | 45.0 | 79.0 | 60.2 | 47.0 | 81.0 | 61.8 | 42.0 | 86.0 | 61.5 | 39.0 | 82.0 | 63.0 | 42.0 | 91.0 | 63.3 |
| Chiloscyllium indicum | 46.5 | 51.0 | 49.2 | 52.0 | 54.0 | 53.0 | 52.0 | 52.0 | 52.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chiloscyllium punctatum | 37.0 | 89.0 | 63.1 | 42.0 | 90.0 | 68.4 | 43.0 | 92.0 | 68.9 | 39.0 | 88.0 | 68.4 | 39.0 | 90.0 | 68.8 | 45.0 | 95.0 | 70.4 |
| Scoliodon laticaudus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 2.1.7 Usage and Marketing

Information on marketing at this landing site indicated that most sharks and rays meat were 'consumed locally and some were exported to Singapore. Ray's skin was exported to Thailand. The major markets were also in Perak, Johor, Penang and Kuala Lumpur. The price ( $\mathrm{RM} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) varied according to species, size and season. The most expensive ray species Himantura gerrardi was sold at RM6 - RM21 followed by H. undulata (RM15-RM20) H. pastinacoides (RM12-RM15), Neotrygon kuhli (RM2 - RM12), Rhynchobatus australiae (RM7-RM12), R. laevis (RM8-RM10) and Rhinobatos cf. borneensis at RM4 - RM10/kg. The cheapest rays were electric rays (Narcine spp and Temera hardwickii) were sold at RM0.6 - RM0.7/kg to fishmeal processing plant. Fins from big size Rhynchobatus australiae were sold separately with the price ranging between RM100-300/kg based on sizes. In general, bigger sized rays were more expensive than the smaller ones. Ray's skin is processed before being sent to Thailand. Transport agent has been assigned to manage the ray's skin to be sent to Thailand's Border for processing in Thailand.

The most expensive sharks Carcharhinus leucas was sold at RM7 - RM40, Carcharhinus sorrah at RM6 - RM12 and Galeocerdo cuvier at RM8-10/kg. Market destinations for sharks and rays were similar. Some species such as Chiloscyllium hasseltii and C. punctatum were marketed to Penang where they are mainly used in traditional Indian cuisine. Atelomycterus marmoratus and A. erdmanni were also marketed to Penang. Fins of adult Carcharhinus leucas, C. sorrah, C. brevipinna and C. limbatus were sold separately, with the price ranging between RM70 - RM150 respectively based on sizes.

Normally the price at wet markets was about $20-50 \%$ higher than at landing site. The price was almost consistent for the whole year for all species but can fluctuate up to $50 \%$ when supply was limited and during festive seasons such as Chinese New Year and Hari Raya especially for species such as Himantura gerarrdi, H. walga, Dasyatis zugei, Neotrygon kuhlii, Dasyatis akajei and Rhynchobatus australiae for rays and, Carcharhinus sorrah and C. leucas for sharks. All sharks and rays were landed whole with fins. The details are shown in Table 11. Small, medium and big size category for each species is as shown in Appendix IV.

Table 11: Price of Sharks and Rays by Species and Market Destination at Larut Matang Landing Site. All Prices in RM per Kilogram. (Exchange rate: RM3.70 $=\mathbf{U S} \$ 1.00$ )

| Rays | Range Price <br> RM/kg | Parts | Market Destination |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Dasyatis akajei | $3-12$ | Whole body | Local (Ipoh) |
| Dasyatis zugei | $2-5$ | Whole body | Local (Sitiawan, Ipoh, Seri Manjung, Pantai <br> Remis, Kuala Kangsar), Penang, Kuala Lumpur, <br> Johor Bahru |
| Himantura fai | $2-6$ | Whole body, <br> skin | Kuala Lumpur and Butterworth; Skin to Thailand |
| Himantura gerrardi | $6-21$ | Whole body, <br> skin | Local (Ipoh, Sitiawan, Seri Manjung, Pantai <br> Remis) Penang, Kuala Lumpur, Johor Bahru, <br> Singapore; Skin to Thailand |
| Himantura jenkinsii | $3-12$ | Whole body, <br> skin | Local (Sitiawan, Seri Manjung), Bukit Mertajam, <br> Singapore |
| Himantura <br> pastinacoides | Whole body, <br> skin | Local (Sitiawan); Skin export to Thailand |  |


| Rays | Range Price RM/kg | Parts | Market Destination |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Himantura undulata | 15-20 | Whole body, skin | Local (Sitiawan) |
| Himantura walga | 1-8 | Whole body | Local (Sitiawan, Ipoh, Seri Manjung, Pantai Remis), Penang, Kuala Lumpur, Johor Bahru |
| Narcine maculata | 0.5-0.6 | Whole body | Local (Fish meal factory) |
| Narcine sp. | 0.5-0.6 | Whole body | Local (Fish meal factory) |
| Neotrygon kuhlii | 2-12 | Whole body | Local (Seri Manjung, Pantai Remis, Sitiawan, Ipoh, Kuala Kangsar), Penang, Kuala Lumpur, Johor Bahru |
| Rhinobatos cf. borneensis | 4-10 | Whole body | Local (Sitiawan), Penang |
| Rhynchobatus australiae | 7-12 | Whole body, fins | Local (Sitiawan, Pantai Remis, Ipoh), Penang, Kuala Lumpur |
| Rhynchobatus laevis | 8-10 | Whole body | Local (Sitiawan), Kuala Lumpur |
| Temera hardwickii | 0.5-0.6 | Whole body | Local (Fish meal factory) |
| Narcine sp D | 0.5-0.7 | Whole body | Local (Fish meal factory) |
| Sharks |  |  |  |
| Atelomycterus cf. baliensis | 1-2 | Whole body | Local (Ipoh, Pantai Remis, QL Surimi Factory at Hutan Melintang, Taiping, Lumut), Penang |
| Atelomycterus cf. erdmanni | 1-3 | Whole body | Local (Ipoh, Pantai Remis, QL Surimi Factory at Hutan Melintang, Taiping, Lumut), Penang |
| Atelomycterus marmoratus | 1-5 | Whole body | Local (QL Surimi Factory at Hutan Melintang, Pantai Remis, Taiping, Sitiawan), Penang, Ipoh |
| Carcharhinus brevipinna | 8-10 | Whole body,fins | Local (Pantai Remis), Penang |
| Carcharhinus leucas | 7-40 | Whole body, fins | Local (Sitiawan,Taiping) |
| Carcharhinus limbatus | 10-15 | Whole body, fins | Local (Sitiawan,Taiping) |
| Carcharhinus sorrah | 6-12 | Whole body, Fins | Local (QL Surimi Factory at Hutan Melintang, Pantai Remis), Penang, Ipoh, Kuala Lumpur |
| Chiloscyllium hasseltii | 1-5 | Whole body | Local (Sitiawan, Ipoh, Pantai Remis, QL Surimi Factory at Hutan Melintang), Penang, Kuala Lumpur |
| Chiloscyllium indicum | 1-2 | Whole body | Local (QL Surimi Factory at Hutan Melintang) |
| Chiloscyllium punctatum | 1-5 | Whole body | Local (Sitiawan, Pantai Remis, QL Surimi Factory at Hutan Melintang), Penang, Ipoh, Kuala Lumpur |
| Galeocerdo cuvier | 8-10 | Whole body, fins | Local (Sitiawan) |
| Scoliodon laticaudus | 1-2 | Whole body | Local (Sitiawan) |

### 2.2 Manjung Utara

### 2.2.1 Landing Samples

A total of 308 landings were sampled during the study period. The highest landings by month was 30 in April 2016 followed by 29 in March and 28 in June 2016. The highest by gear type was 113 Zone $C$ trawl net, followed by 72 of longline, 64 of drift net and 47 Zone B trawl net. The details are shown in Table 12.

Table 12: Number of Landings Sampled During the Study at Manjung Utara

| Type of Gear | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Grand Total |
|  | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul |  |
| Drift Net | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 11 | 64 |
| Handline | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 11 |
| Trawl Net B | 6 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 47 |
| Trawl Net C | 9 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 15 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 113 |
| Trawl Net C2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Longline | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 72 |
| Total | 24 | 24 | 24 | 26 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 29 | 30 | 25 | 28 | 25 | 308 |

### 2.2.2 Fishing Ground and Catch Composition by Gear Type

The main gear landing sharks at Manjung Utara was trawl net at $2,170 \mathrm{~kg}$ (39.7\%) followed by drift net at 414.5 kg (7.65) while longline which operated up to 30 nautical miles from the coastline landed the highest rays at $2,571 \mathrm{~kg}(47.1 \%)$ followed by drift net at 231 kg ( $4.2 \%$ ) and handline at 66 kg ( $1.2 \%$ ). Most trawlers operated beyond eight nautical miles from the coastline. Zone $C$ trawl net landed the highest at $2,067 \mathrm{~kg}$ followed by Zone B at 67.5 kg and Zone C2 at 35.6 kg . The highest landing of rays by month was from longline at 918 kg (May 2015) while in December 2015 and November 2015 were 284 kg and 248 kg respectively. The highest landing of sharks by month came from Zone C trawl net in February 2016 and November 2015 at 323 kg and 240 kg respectively. The details are shown in Table 13.
Table 13: Weight of Sharks and Rays (in kg) Caught by Different Types of Gear

| Type of Gear | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Grand Total |
|  | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul |  |
| Ray |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drift Net | 3.8 | 7.4 | 7.8 | 17.2 | 23.4 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 126.1 | 6.7 | 22.3 | 9.9 | 4.8 | 230.9 |
| Handline | 4.9 | 23.8 |  | 4.3 | 8.5 | 3.6 |  |  |  |  | 20.7 |  | 65.7 |
| Longline | 108.5 | 185.6 | 156.1 | 248.5 | 284.1 | 160.8 | 49.6 | 66.3 | 115.8 | 917.9 | 190.0 | 87.5 | 2,570.6 |
| Trawl Net B |  |  |  | 4.0 |  |  |  | 1.3 | 1.0 |  |  |  | 6.4 |
| Total Ray | 117.2 | 216.7 | 163.9 | 274.0 | 315.9 | 165.8 | 49.7 | 193.7 | 123.5 | 940.2 | 220.6 | 92.3 | 2,873.6 |
| Shark |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drift Net | 3.5 | 0.9 | 4.7 |  |  |  | 0.9 | 27.0 | 44.1 | 6.9 | 156.7 | 169.8 | 414.5 |
| Handline |  |  |  |  | 1.1 |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.3 |
| Longline |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.9 |
| Trawl Net B | 11.0 | 7.2 | 23.1 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 5.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 67.5 |
| Trawl Net C | 78.6 | 162.1 | 215.1 | 239.6 | 170.7 | 167.1 | 322.5 | 185.3 | 138.1 | 171.7 | 121.5 | 94.3 | 2,066.6 |
| Trawl Net C2 |  |  |  | 35.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35.6 |
| Total Shark | 93.1 | 170.1 | 242.9 | 276.9 | 174.1 | 172.6 | 328.0 | 213.8 | 186.5 | 183.1 | 280.5 | 266.9 | 2,588.3 |
| Grand Total | 210.3 | 386.8 | 406.8 | 550.8 | 490.0 | 338.3 | 377.7 | 407.6 | 310.0 | 1,123.3 | 501.1 | 359.2 | 5,461.9 |

### 2.2.3 Sharks and Rays Composition

A total of $469,906 \mathrm{~kg}$ of fish was landed from 308 landings during the study period. Rays and sharks made up $9,068 \mathrm{~kg}$ and $2,588 \mathrm{~kg}(2.0 \%$ and $0.6 \%)$ from the total landing respectively. Landings of bony fish was $458,249.60 \mathrm{~kg}$ or $97.4 \%$. Average landings per month for sharks and rays were 216 kg and 756 kg respectively. The highest landing by month for rays was $1,400 \mathrm{~kg}$ in July 2016, followed by $1,327 \mathrm{~kg}$ in May 2016 and 921 kg in November 2015. For sharks, the highest landing was 328 kg in February 2016 followed by 280 kg in June 2016 and 277 kg in November 2015. In general, the landing of sharks and rays ranged between 0.3 $-0.9 \%$ and $0.9-4.4 \%$ respectively from total landing. The details are shown in Table 14.

Table 14: Catch Composition of Sharks, Rays and Bony Fish by Month from 308 Landings at Manjung Utara, Perak. All Weights in Kilogram.

| Year | Month | Weight of Rays | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { Ray } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Weight of Sharks | \% Sharks | Weight of Bony Fish | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Bony } \\ \text { Fish } \end{gathered}$ | Total Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 | Aug | 484.2 | 1.6 | 93.1 | 0.3 | 30,051.0 | 98.1 | 30,628.3 |
|  | Sep | 750.9 | 2.0 | 170.1 | 0.5 | 36,795.5 | 97.5 | 37,716.4 |
|  | Oct | 496.7 | 1.3 | 242.9 | 0.6 | 37,778.1 | 98.1 | 38,517.8 |
|  | Nov | 920.5 | 1.8 | 276.9 | 0.5 | 50,894.1 | 97.7 | 52,091.5 |
|  | Dec | 873.4 | 2.3 | 174.1 | 0.5 | 36,384.1 | 97.2 | 37,431.6 |
| 2016 | Jan | 599.3 | 1.9 | 172.6 | 0.5 | 30,989.3 | 97.6 | 31,761.2 |
|  | Feb | 728.8 | 1.3 | 328.0 | 0.6 | 56,462.8 | 98.1 | 57,519.6 |
|  | Mar | 482.7 | 1.1 | 213.8 | 0.5 | 43,693.4 | 98.4 | 44,390.0 |
|  | Apr | 380.2 | 0.9 | 186.5 | 0.4 | 42,070.7 | 98.7 | 42,637.3 |
|  | May | 1327.5 | 3.9 | 183.1 | 0.5 | 32,302.6 | 95.6 | 33,813.2 |
|  | Jun | 623.3 | 2.0 | 280.5 | 0.9 | 30,745.3 | 97.1 | 31,649.1 |
|  | Jul | 1400.1 | 4.4 | 266.9 | 0.8 | 30,082.8 | 94.8 | 31,749.8 |
| Total |  | 9067.7 |  | 2588.4 |  | 458,249.6 |  | 469905.6 |
| Ave |  | 755.6 | 2.0 | 215.7 | 0.6 | 38,187.5 | 97.4 | 39158.8 |

### 2.2.4 Sample Size

A total of 3,800 tails belonging to 2,498 rays and 1,302 sharks were sampled during the study period comprising 14 species of rays and six (6) species of sharks. The most common and abundant rays species were Himantura walga, H. gerrardi, Neotrygon kuhlii and Dasyatis zugei. Other rays species such as Dasyatis fluviorum, Himantura uarnacoides, Himantura uarnak, Rhinobatos cf. borneensis and Rhynchobatus australiae were rarely landed and only recorded between 1-4 months. The highest number of rays sampled by month was 280 tails in February 2016 followed by 277 tails in November and 212 tails in October 2015.

The most common and abundant sharks species were Chiloscyllium hasseltii, C. punctatum and Atelomycterus marmoratus. All these species were landed throughout the year. Carcharhinus sorrah was recorded in nine months. Other sharks species such as Stegostoma fasciatum and Chiloscyllium indicum only recorded in one and two months respectively during the study period. The highest number sampled by month was 175 tails in February 2016 followed by 127 tails in November and 126 tails in October 2015.The details are as shown in Table 15.
Table 15: Sample Size of Sharks and Rays by Species

| Species | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
|  | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul |  |
| Dasyatis fluviorum | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |
| Dasyatis zugei | 58 | 34 | 30 | 38 | 23 | 34 | 50 | 26 | 34 | 25 | 32 | 36 | 420 |
| Gymnura poecilura | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 21 |
| Himantura fai |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |
| Himantura gerrardi | 43 | 59 | 63 | 85 | 66 | 61 | 82 | 46 | 49 | 52 | 51 | 40 | 697 |
| Himantura jenkinsii |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Himantura pastinacoides |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 | 16 | 8 | 3 | 31 |
| Himantura uarnacoides |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Himantura uarnak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| Himantura walga | 14 | 44 | 64 | 86 | 61 | 51 | 66 | 85 | 81 | 63 | 45 | 58 | 718 |
| Neotrygon kuhlii | 57 | 58 | 51 | 62 | 50 | 47 | 78 | 37 | 25 | 36 | 38 | 41 | 580 |
| Rhinobatos cf. borneensis |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Rhynchobatus australiae |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Taeniurops meyeni |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Total rays | 178 | 199 | 212 | 277 | 208 | 194 | 280 | 203 | 192 | 194 | 176 | 185 | 2,498 |
| Atelomycterus marmoratus | 17 | 9 | 10 | 16 | 3 | 11 | 19 | 2 | 9 | 11 | 5 | 14 | 126 |
| Carcharhinus sorrah | 7 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 20 | 17 | 33 | 18 | 102 |
| Chiloscyllium hasseltii | 52 | 52 | 57 | 59 | 49 | 49 | 81 | 49 | 38 | 34 | 28 | 33 | 581 |
| Chiloscyllium indicum |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 5 |
| Chiloscyllium punctatum | 26 | 38 | 56 | 52 | 41 | 35 | 73 | 45 | 36 | 33 | 26 | 26 | 487 |
| Stegostoma fasciatum |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Total sharks | 102 | 100 | 126 | 127 | 95 | 95 | 175 | 98 | 106 | 95 | 92 | 91 | 1,302 |
| Grand total | 280 | 299 | 338 | 404 | 303 | 289 | 455 | 301 | 298 | 289 | 268 | 276 | 3,800 |

### 2.2.5 Weight of Sharks and Rays by Species

A total of $11,656 \mathrm{~kg}$ was landed from 308 landings comprising $9,068 \mathrm{~kg}$ of rays and $2,588 \mathrm{~kg}$ of sharks. For rays, the highest landing by weight was from species Himantura gerrardi amounting to $3,818 \mathrm{~kg}$ followed by $2,660 \mathrm{~kg}$ of Neotrygon kuhlii, 907 kg of Himantura pastinacoides and 621 kg of Himantura walga. The highest landing by month for Himantura gerrardi was 596 kg in July 2016, followed by 531 kg in November and 380 kg in Disember 2015. For Neotrygon kuhlii, the highest landing was 363 kg in September 2015 followed by 348 kg in February and 290 kg in January 2016. For Himantura pastinacoides, the highest landing was 825 kg in May followed by 46 kg in June and 19 kg in July 2016. The highest landing for by month for Himantura walga was 100 kg in April 2016, followed by 93 kg in November 2015 and 81 kg in March 2016. Other important species were Himantura fai (312 kg ), Dasyatis zugei ( 289 kg ), Himantura uarnak ( 156 kg ) and Taeniurops meyeni (119 kg). Landing of other species was less than 100 kg .

The highest landing of shark species were $1,035 \mathrm{~kg}$ of Chiloscyllium punctatum followed by 860 kg for Chiloscyllium hasseltii and 630 kg for Carcharhinus sorrah. The highest landing by month for Chiloscyllium punctatum was 170 kg in February 2016 followed by 138 kg in November and 128 kg in October 2015. For Chiloscyllium hasseltii, the highest landing was 132 kg in November 2015 followed by 114 kg in February 2016 and 107 kg in December 2015. Landing for Carcharhinus sorrah was the highest in June ( 209 kg ) followed by 197 kg in July and 76 kg in April 2016. Landing of other species was less than 50 kg . The details are shown in Table 16.
Table 16: Weight of Sharks and Rays (in Kg ) by Species from 308 landings at Manjung Utara

| Species | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
|  | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul |  |
| Dasyatis fluviorum | 27.4 | 10.2 | 7.8 | 3.0 | 18.4 | 3.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70.3 |
| Dasyatis zugei | 28.6 | 11.5 | 12.9 | 17.5 | 50.8 | 46.8 | 28.2 | 15.9 | 15.8 | 30.4 | 13.3 | 17.2 | 288.9 |
| Gymmura poecilura | 3.8 | 7.4 | 2.1 | 6.5 | 4.9 |  |  | 2.6 | 2.6 | 6.0 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 39.1 |
| Himantura fai |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 312.1 | 312.1 |
| Himantura gerrardi | 227.1 | 331.3 | 264.6 | 530.7 | 379.9 | 242.1 | 279.0 | 185.0 | 177.2 | 266.5 | 338.2 | 596.0 | 3,817.6 |
| Himantura jenkinsii |  |  |  | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.8 |
| Himantura pastinacoides |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17.6 |  | 824.6 | 45.7 | 18.8 | 906.6 |
| Himantura uarnacoides |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.6 |  |  |  |  | 11.6 |
| Himantura uarnak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 72.0 |  |  |  | 83.6 | 155.6 |
| Himantura walga | 6.0 | 27.6 | 50.1 | 92.7 | 63.2 | 16.8 | 69.9 | 81.6 | 100.1 | 53.1 | 29.2 | 31.0 | 621.2 |
| Neotrygon kuhlii | 191.4 | 363.0 | 159.3 | 263.8 | 330.4 | 290.0 | 348.2 | 66.4 | 84.4 | 146.9 | 195.4 | 220.8 | 2,659.9 |
| Rhinobatos cf. borneensis |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.3 |
| Rhynchobatus australiae |  |  |  | 0.6 | 25.8 |  | 2.3 | 30.0 |  |  |  |  | 58.7 |
| Taeniurops meyeni |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 119.0 | 119.0 |
| Total Weight Rays | 484.2 | 750.9 | 496.7 | 920.5 | 873.4 | 599.3 | 728.8 | 482.7 | 380.2 | 1,327.5 | 623.3 | 1,400.1 | 9,067.6 |
| Atelomycterus marmoratus | 6.0 | 3.1 | 3.9 | 6.6 | 0.8 | 3.9 | 7.1 | 0.9 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 4.4 | 45.9 |
| Carcharhinus sorrah | 13.4 | 0.9 | 57.2 |  |  |  | 19.8 | 1.6 | 76.0 | 54.7 | 209.3 | 197.3 | 630.1 |
| Chiloscyllium hasseltii | 37.5 | 76.7 | 54.0 | 132.2 | 107.1 | 80.1 | 114.5 | 86.8 | 43.1 | 71.4 | 28.5 | 27.9 | 859.6 |
| Chiloscyllium indicum |  |  |  |  | 0.9 |  |  |  | 0.3 |  |  |  | 1.2 |
| Chiloscyllium punctatum | 36.2 | 89.4 | 127.8 | 138.1 | 65.3 | 88.6 | 170.2 | 124.6 | 63.9 | 53.0 | 40.9 | 37.3 | 1,035.0 |
| Stegostoma fasciatum |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.5 |  |  |  |  |  | 16.5 |
| Total Weight Sharks | 93.1 | 170.1 | 242.9 | 276.9 | 174.1 | 172.6 | 328.0 | 213.8 | 186.5 | 183.1 | 280.5 | 266.9 | 2,588.3 |
| Grand Total | 577.3 | 921.0 | 739.6 | 1,197.4 | 1,047.5 | 771.9 | 1,056.8 | 696.6 | 566.7 | 1,510.6 | 903.8 | 1,667.0 | 11,656.0 |

### 2.2.6 Size Range of Sharks and Rays

In general from August 2015 to January 2016, both mature and immature rays species were sampled. Most rays species were mature except for Himantura gerrardi, H. jenkinsii, Rhynchobatus australiae and Gymnura poecilura. The average size of Himantura gerrardi ranged between $35.4-39.3 \mathrm{~cm}$ disc length but no adult sized specimens were available because immediately removed by middlemen upon being landed. First maturing size for Himantura gerrardi is about 59.0 cm and for Gymnura poecilura about 45.0 cm disc length. However, almost all of Dasyatis zugei, Neotrygon kuhlii, Dasyatis fluviorum and Rhinobatos cf. borneensis were mature. Most shark species landed were mature except for Carcharhinus sorrah. First maturing size for Carcharhinus sorrah is 90 cm total length. Size range of all sharks and rays species from August to December 2015 are shown in Table 17A (i) and 17A (ii).

Most of rays species landed from January to July 2016 were mature except for Himantura gerrardi, Gymnura poecilura, Rhynchobatus australiae and Carcharhinus sorrah. Similar to the August to December 2015 study duration, almost all of these species were juvenile. Others species such as Dasyatis zugei, Dasyatis fluviorum, Neotrygon kuhlii, Himantura walga and Rhinobatos cf. borneensis were matured. Most shark species were mature except for Carcharhinus sorah. Size range of all sharks and rays species from January to July 2016 are shown in Table 17B (i) and 17B (ii).
Table 17A (i): Size Range of Rays (Disc Length) Except for Rhynchobatus australiae (Total Length) from August 2015 to January 2016.

| Species | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |
|  | Aug |  |  | Sep |  |  | Oct |  |  | Nov |  |  | Dec |  |  | Jan |  |  |
| Rays | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave |
| Dasyatis fluviorum | 24.0 | 73.0 | 51.4 | 58.0 | 58.0 | 58.0 | 61.0 | 61.0 | 61.0 | 30.0 | 32.0 | 31.0 | 37.0 | 67.0 | 52.3 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| Dasyatis zugei | 11.0 | 29.5 | 22.3 | 14.5 | 30.0 | 22.4 | 16.0 | 31.5 | 23.0 | 16.5 | 31.0 | 22.6 | 16.0 | 29.0 | 23.2 | 16.0 | 29.0 | 23.1 |
| Gymnura poecilura | 32.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 30.0 | 32.0 | 31.3 | 11.5 | 23.0 | 17.5 | 23.0 | 41.0 | 32.0 | 19.0 | 27.0 | 22.7 |  |  |  |
| Himantura gerrardi | 15.0 | 79.0 | 37.7 | 15.0 | 90.0 | 38.5 | 16.0 | 74.0 | 39.3 | 16.0 | 114.0 | 38.5 | 17.0 | 89.0 | 35.4 | 19.0 | 75.0 | 38.6 |
| Himantura jenkinsii |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Himantura walga | 16.0 | 23.0 | 19.9 | 16.0 | 24.0 | 20.3 | 15.0 | 25.0 | 19.9 | 11.0 | 26.0 | 19.6 | 14.0 | 30.0 | 19.9 | 14.0 | 26.0 | 19.8 |
| Neotrygon kuhlii | 12.0 | 29.0 | 21.2 | 13.0 | 30.0 | 22.1 | 15.0 | 30.0 | 22.6 | 14.0 | 31.0 | 21.9 | 12.0 | 30.0 | 20.8 | 13.0 | 28.0 | 22 |
| Rhynchobatus australiae |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48 | 48 | 48 | 64 | 114 | 89 |  |  |  |

Table 17A (ii): Size Range of Rays (Disc Length) Except for Rhinobatos cf. borneensis and Rhynchobatus australiae (Total Length) from February to July 2016. All Measurements in cm.

| Species | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Feb |  |  | Mar |  |  | Apr |  |  | May |  |  | Jun |  |  | July |  |  |
| Rays | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave |
| Dasyatis zugei | 19.0 | 31.0 | 23.8 | 19.0 | 34.0 | 26.9 | 15.0 | 31.0 | 22.8 | 15.0 | 28.0 | 21.3 | 17.0 | 29.0 | 22.4 | 15.0 | 29.0 | 22.4 |
| Gymnura poecilura |  |  |  | 28.0 | 29.0 | 28.5 | 18.0 | 22.0 | 20.0 | 28.0 | 36.0 | 32.0 | 23.0 | 24.0 | 23.5 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 |
| Himantura fai |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 110.0 | 135.0 | 123.0 |
| Himantura gerrardi | 16.0 | 67.0 | 33.8 | 14.0 | 104.0 | 34.6 | 17.0 | 78.0 | 37.1 | 17.0 | 102.0 | 37.1 | 19.0 | 72.0 | 44.3 | 17.0 | 84.0 | 49.0 |
| Himantura pastinacoides |  |  |  | 44.0 | 61.5 | 53.5 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 32.0 | 72.0 | 50.0 | 30.0 | 73.0 | 48.1 | 38.0 | 70.0 | 58.5 |
| Himantura uarnacoides |  |  |  | 45.0 | 89.0 | 67.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Himantura uarnak |  |  |  | 138.0 | 138.0 | 138.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 87.0 | 87.0 | 87.0 |
| Himantura walga | 16.5 | 24.0 | 20.9 | 15.0 | 25.0 | 19.7 | 16.0 | 24.0 | 19.8 | 14.5 | 23.0 | 19.3 | 15.0 | 23.0 | 19.1 | 14.0 | 24.5 | 19.4 |
| Neotrygon kuhlii | 16.0 | 32.0 | 22.1 | 16.0 | 29.5 | 22.5 | 17.0 | 29.0 | 22.3 | 16.0 | 26.0 | 21.4 | 16.0 | 31.5 | 21.6 | 15.0 | 28.0 | 20.7 |
| Rhinobatos cf. borneensis | 60.0 | 62.5 | 61.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rhynchobatus australiae | 43.0 | 71.0 | 57.0 | 174.0 | 174.0 | 174.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taeniurops meyeni |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 117.0 | 117.0 | 117.0 |

Table 17B (i): Size Range of Sharks (Total Length) from August 2015 to January 2016. All Measurements in cm.

| Species | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |
|  | Aug |  |  | Sep |  |  | Oct |  |  | Nov |  |  | Dec |  |  | Jan |  |  |
| Sharks | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av |
| Atelomycterus marmoratus | 37.0 | 54.0 | 46.1 | 43.0 | 53.0 | 45.9 | 32.0 | 54.0 | 45.1 | 40.0 | 55.0 | 48.3 | 38.0 | 41.0 | 39.7 | 35.0 | 55.0 | 45.0 |
| Carcharhinus sorrah | 43.0 | 87.0 | 65.1 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 88.0 | 148.0 | 113.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chiloscyllium hasseltii | 27.0 | 78.0 | 49.6 | 25.0 | 73.0 | 54.7 | 26.0 | 83.0 | 52.6 | 38.0 | 89.0 | 58.9 | 36.0 | 77.0 | 58.5 | 34.0 | 78.0 | 56.6 |
| Chiloscyllium indicum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45.0 | 46.0 | 45.5 |  |  |  |
| Chiloscyllium punctatum | 27.0 | 84.0 | 63.9 | 45.0 | 93.0 | 69.3 | 47.0 | 89.0 | 69.6 | 44.0 | 89.0 | 66.3 | 43.0 | 79.0 | 62.0 | 44.0 | 84.0 | 66.7 |

Table 17B (ii): Size Range of Sharks (Total Length) from February to July 2016. All Measurements in cm.

| Species | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Feb |  |  | Mar |  |  | Apr |  |  | May |  |  | Jun |  |  | Jul |  |  | Aug |  |  |
| Sharks | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av |
| Atelomycterus marmoratus | 35.0 | 55.0 | 45.0 | 40.0 | 67.0 | 50.3 | 52.0 | 53.0 | 52.5 | 38.0 | 59.0 | 48.8 | 41.0 | 52.0 | 45.5 | 35.0 | 51.0 | 45.7 | 34.0 | 60.0 | 44.5 |
| Carcharhinus sorrah |  |  |  | 150.0 | 150.0 | 150.0 | 50.0 | 53.0 | 51.5 | 50.0 | 139.0 | 64.8 | 58.0 | 84.0 | 69.2 | 58.0 | 98.0 | 74.0 | 63.0 | 97.0 | 77.6 |
| Chiloscyllium hasseltii | 34.0 | 78.0 | 56.6 | 42.0 | 83.0 | 61.5 | 43.0 | 81.0 | 64.0 | 44.0 | 81.0 | 63.7 | 49.0 | 80.0 | 61.8 | 35.0 | 81.0 | 60.3 | 37.0 | 76.0 | 54.5 |
| Chiloscyllium indicum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45.0 | 50.5 | 47.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chiloscyllium punctatum | 44.0 | 84.0 | 66.7 | 40.0 | 88.0 | 65.1 | 49.0 | 89.0 | 72.2 | 56.0 | 83.5 | 70.3 | 52.0 | 85.0 | 69.8 | 49.0 | 93.0 | 70.2 | 41.0 | 88.0 | 64.5 |
| Stegostoma fasciatum |  |  |  | 163.0 | 163.0 | 163.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 2.2.7 Usage and Marketing

Information on marketing collected at this landing site indicated that most sharks and rays were consumed locally and some were exported to Singapore. The major markets were wholesale market in Kuala Lumpur, and other major towns in Perak such as Taiping, Sitiawan and Ipoh. Skins of some rays species was exported to Thailand. The price ( $\mathrm{RM} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) varied according to species, size and season. The most expensive ray species such as Himantura gerrardi was sold at RM6 - RM18 followed by Neotrygon kuhlii (RM1 - RM13) and Rhynchobatus australiae at RM4-RM10. The lowest price of rays species were Dasyatis zugei sold at (RM1 - RM5) and Rhinobatos cf. borneensis at RM3 - RM5. Ray's skin is processed before being sent to Thailand. Transport agent has been assigned to manage the ray's skin to be sent to Thailand's Border for processing in Thailand.

In general, bigger sized rays and sharks were more expensive than smaller ones. Small size sharks such as Chiloscyllium spp with total length of less than 20 cm were sold locally at RM1-1.5/kg for local delicacies such as fish ball and Indian curry. Carcharhinus sorrah was sold at RM5 - RM9 and Stegostoma fasciatum at RM8 - RM9. Other sharks species such as Chiloscyllium hasselti, C. indicum and C. punctatum were sold at RM1-RM4. Market destinations for sharks and rays were similar.

The price was almost consistent for the whole year for all species but sometimes fluctuate up to $50 \%$ when supply was limited and during festive seasons such as Chinese New Year and Hari Raya; especially for Himantura gerarrdi, H. pastinacoides, H. walga, Dasyatis zugei, Gymnura poecilura, Neotrygon kuhlii, Rhynchobatus australiae and Carcharhinus sorrah. All sharks and rays were landed whole with fins. The details are shown in Table 18. Small, medium and big size category for each species is as shown in Appendix IV.

Table 18: Price of Sharks and Rays by Species and Market Destination at Manjung Utara. All Prices in RM per Kilogram. (Exchange rate: RM3.70= US\$ 1.00)

|  | Range Price <br> RM/kg | Parts | Market Destination |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Rays |  |  |  |
| Dasyatis fluviorum | $5-12$ | Whole body | Local (Manjung, Ipoh, Sitiawan, <br> Taiping), Singapore |
| Dasyatis zugei | $1-5$ | Whole body | Local (Manjung, Ipoh, Taiping), Kuala <br> Lumpur, Singapore |
| Gymnura poecilura | $1-9$ | Whole body | Local (Manjung, Ipoh, Taiping), Kuala <br> Lumpur, Singapore |
| Himantura fai | $8-13$ | Whole body, skin | Local (Manjung, Taiping), Kuala Lumpur; <br> Skin export to Thailand |
| Himantura gerrardi | $6-18$ | Whole body, skin | Local (Manjung, Ipoh, Taiping), Kuala <br> Lumpur, Singapore; Skin export to <br> Thailand |
| Himantura jenkinsii | $8-10$ | Whole body | Local (Manjung), Singapore; Skin export <br> to Thailand |
| Himantura pastinacoides | $5-15$ | Whole body, skin | Local (Manjung, Taiping), Kuala Lumpur; <br> Skin export to Thailand |
| Himantura uarnacoides | $5-6$ | Whole body, skin | Local (Manjung); Skin export to Thailand |
| Himantura uarnak | $5-15$ | Whole body, skin | Local (Manjung); Skin export to Thailand |
| Himantura walga | $1-5$ | Whole body | Local (Manjung, Ipoh, Taiping), Kuala <br> Lumpur, Singapore |


|  | Range Price <br> RM/kg | Parts | Market Destination |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Neotrygon kuhlii | $1-13$ | Whole body | Local (Manjung, Taiping, Ipoh), Kuala <br> Lumpur, Singapore |
| Rhinobatos cf. borneensis | $3-5$ | Whole body | Local (Manjung) |
| Rhynchobatus australiae | $4-10$ | Whole body, fins | Local (Manjung, Ipoh, Taiping) |
| Taeniurops meyeni | $8-15$ | Whole body | Local (Manjung, Taiping), Kuala Lumpur |
| Sharks |  |  |  |
| Atelomycterus <br> marmoratus | $1-2$ | Whole body | Local (Manjung, Ipoh, Taiping), Kuala <br> Lumpur |
| Carcharhinus sorrah | $5-9$ | Whole body, fins | Local (Manjung, Taiping), Kuala Lumpur |
| Chiloscyllium hasseltii | $1-4$ | Whole body | Local (Manjung, Taiping), Kuala Lumpur |
| Chiloscyllium indicum | $2-3$ | Whole body | Local (Manjung) |
| Chiloscyllium punctatum | $1-4$ | Whole body | Local (Manjung, Ipoh, Taiping), Kuala <br> Lumpur |
| Stegostoma fasciatum | $8-9$ | Whole body | Local (Manjung) |

### 2.2.8 Fishing Effort and CPUE (Catch per Unit Effort)

Monthly fishing efforts (days at operation and total number of operation during the cruise) of the sampled vessels are summarized in Table 19 and Table 20.

Table 19: Days at Operation by Gear Sampled during the study period in Perak (Larut Matang and Manjung Utara)

| Type Gear | 2015 |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul |  |
| Drift Net | 5 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 12 | 73 |
| Handline |  | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 10 |
| Longline | 7 | 6 | 13 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 15 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 105 |
| Purse Seine C2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Trawl Net B | 8 | 11 | 16 | 17 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 6 | 13 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 117 |
| Trawl Net C | 167 | 170 | 190 | 178 | 191 | 196 | 192 | 181 | 149 | 168 | 168 | 166 | 2,116 |
| Trawl Net C2 | 20 | 6 | 6 | 13 | 12 |  | 11 |  |  | 6 | 12 | 6 | 92 |

Table 20: Total Number of Operation by Gear Sampled during the study period in Perak (Larut Matang and Manjung Utara)

| Type Gear | 2015 |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul |  |
| Drift Net | 29 | 24 | 27 | 48 | 40 | 13 | 26 | 124 | 70 | 85 | 53 | 94 | 633 |
| Handline |  | 22 |  | 5 | 13 | 5 | 12 |  |  |  | 14 |  | 71 |
| Longline | 35 | 35 | 54 | 50 | 52 | 62 | 27 | 23 | 37 | 31 | 47 | 26 | 479 |
| Purse Seine C2 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Trawl Net B | 93 | 77 | 94 | 69 | 57 | 73 | 57 | 50 | 56 | 27 | 39 | 35 | 727 |
| Trawl Net C | 404 | 399 | 431 | 412 | 425 | 483 | 388 | 435 | 338 | 423 | 417 | 412 | 4,967 |
| Trawl Net C2 | 60 | 18 | 18 | 24 | 36 |  | 33 |  |  | 18 | 36 | 18 | 261 |

Table 21 shows the top 10 catch per unit effort (CPUE) rays species captured by trawl net Zone C, combined for Larut Matang and Manjung Utara. Himantura gerrardi topped the list with, 3.43 kg /days or $1.46 \mathrm{~kg} /$ hauls followed by Neotrygon kuhlii at $0.74 \mathrm{~kg} /$ days or 0.32 kg /hauls and Himantura fai at $0.38 \mathrm{~kg} /$ days or $0.16 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hauls}$.

The top three catch per unit effort (CPUE) for sharks were Chiloscyllium punctatum on the top, followed by Chiloscyllium hasseltii and Carcharhinus sorrah. In terms of CPUE (kg/days), Chiloscyllium punctatum recorded 1.00, C. hasseltii at 0.89 and Carcharhinus sorrah at 0.41 . The top 10 CPUE of rays and sharks species captured by trawl net Zone C are shown in Table 21 and Table 22.

Table 21: Top 10 CPUE Rays Species Captured by Trawl Net C during the study period in Perak (Larut Matang and Manjung Utara) (kg/Fishing Effort)

|  | Scientific Name | Total weight (kg) <br> by Species | CPUE <br> (kg/day) | CPUE <br> (kg/haul) |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Himantura gerrardi | $7,253.1$ | 3.43 | 1.46 |
| 2 | Neotrygon kuhlii | $1,565.7$ | 0.74 | 0.32 |
| 3 | Himantura fai | 795.9 | 0.38 | 0.16 |
| 4 | Himantura pastinacoides | 777.8 | 0.37 | 0.16 |
| 5 | Dasyatis zugei | 555.9 | 0.26 | 0.11 |
| 6 | Himantura walga | 555.9 | 0.26 | 0.11 |
| 7 | Rhynchobatus australiae | 450.1 | 0.21 | 0.09 |
| 8 | Dasyatis akajei | 328.7 | 0.16 | 0.07 |
| 9 | Himantura jenkinsii | 285.2 | 0.13 | 0.06 |
| 10 | Himantura uarnak | 211.6 | 0.10 | 0.04 |

Table 22: Top 10 CPUE Sharks Species Captured by Trawl Net C during the study period in Perak (Larut Matang and Manjung Utara) (kg/Fishing Effort)

|  | Scientific Name | Total weight (kg) <br> by Species | CPUE <br> (kg/day) | CPUE <br> (kg/haul) |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Chiloscyllium punctatum | $2,122.4$ | 1.00 | 0.43 |
| 2 | Chiloscyllium hasseltii | $1,891.2$ | 0.89 | 0.38 |
| 3 | Carcharhinus sorrah | 867.1 | 0.41 | 0.17 |
| 4 | Atelomycterus marmoratus | 254.6 | 0.12 | 0.05 |
| 5 | Atelomycterus cf. erdmanni | 58.9 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| 6 | Carcharhinus leucas | 38.0 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| 7 | Galeocerdo cuvier | 32.7 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| 8 | Carcharhinus brevipinna | 26.8 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| 9 | Stegostoma fasciatum | 16.5 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| 10 | Atelomycterus cf. baliensis | 10.7 | 0.01 | 0.00 |

### 2.3 Kota Kinabalu

### 2.3.1 Landing Samples

A total of 274 landings were sampled during the study period with average of 23 samples a month. The samples were catches from trawl nets, that operated mainly in Zone 3 with 137 vessels, followed by 113 vessels in Zone 4 and only 13 and 11 vessels in Zone 5 and Zone 2 respectively. The details are shown in Table 23.

Table 23: Number of Landings by Gear Sampled During Study at Kota Kinabalu (SAFMA Jetty)

| Type of Gear | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Grand Total |
|  | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul |  |
| Trawl Net Zone 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 11 |
| Trawl Net Zone 3 | 16 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 137 |
| Trawl Net Zone 4 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 113 |
| Trawl Net Zone 5 | 1 |  |  |  | 3 | 4 | 1 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 13 |
| TOTAL | 24 | 20 | 20 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 22 | 274 |

### 2.3.2 Fishing Ground and Catch Composition by Gear Type

The total catch of trawl nets that sampled were $11,730 \mathrm{~kg}$ comprising $7,243 \mathrm{~kg}$ of rays ( $62 \%$ ) and 4487 kg of sharks, which is only $38 \%$ of the combined catches. All trawlers operated beyond three nm (nautical miles) from coastline, and mainly between $12-30 \mathrm{~nm}$ from the coastline. Only vessels in Zone 5 operates beyond 30 nm from the coastline. A total of $3,398 \mathrm{~kg}$ of rays was landed by Zone 3 trawl nets followed by Zone 4 trawl nets at $3,388 \mathrm{~kg}$. As for sharks, Zone 3 trawl nets also landed the highest catch, with $2,235 \mathrm{~kg}$ followed by Zone 4 trawl nets at $1,841 \mathrm{~kg}$. The highest landing of rays by month was from Zone 3 trawl nets at 611 kg in August 2015 while 484 kg and 440 kg were both from Zone 4 in August 2015 and January 2016 respectively. For sharks, the highest and second highest landing by month came from Zone 3 trawl nets at 396 kg and 307 kg in August and October 2015 respectively and followed by Zone 4 trawl nets at 304 kg in January 2016. The details are shown in Table 24.
Table 24: Weight of Sharks and Rays (in Kg) Caught by Different Types of Gear at Kota Kinabalu (SAFMA Jetty)

| Type of Gear | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Grand Total |
| Ray |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trawl Net Zone 2 | 5.5 | 8.6 |  |  | 95.4 |  |  |  |  | 17.4 | 34.6 | 19.9 | 181.4 |
| Trawl Net Zone 3 | 610.7 | 117.1 | 188.2 | 277.5 | 275.7 | 293.3 | 203.5 | 258.4 | 296.7 | 191.0 | 418.3 | 268.0 | 3,398.3 |
| Trawl Net Zone 4 | 484.4 | 176.7 | 80.6 | 356.6 | 139.8 | 439.7 | 399.8 | 397.7 | 206.3 | 300.9 | 237.6 | 169.0 | 3,388.8 |
| Trawl Net Zone 5 | 56.1 |  |  |  | 43.8 | 71.1 | 24.9 |  | 55.0 |  | 23.4 |  | 274.2 |
| Total Ray | 1156.7 | 302.4 | 268.8 | 634.1 | 554.7 | 804.0 | 628.1 | 656.1 | 557.9 | 509.2 | 713.9 | 456.9 | 7,242.7 |
| Shark |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trawl Net Zone 2 | 20.4 | 37.8 |  |  | 40.4 |  |  |  |  | 37.0 | 1.0 | 49.8 | 186.4 |
| Trawl Net Zone 3 | 395.7 | 161.5 | 307.1 | 228.9 | 244.3 | 151.7 | 121.5 | 128.8 | 114.4 | 127.5 | 128.7 | 125.3 | 2,235.3 |
| Trawl Net Zone 4 | 67.6 | 151.6 | 102.6 | 133.6 | 100.6 | 304.1 | 185.4 | 233.0 | 110.9 | 94.4 | 145.3 | 212.3 | 1,841.3 |
| Trawl Net Zone 5 | 22.4 |  |  |  | 56.5 | 80.3 | 6.2 |  | 38.8 |  | 20.1 |  | 224.2 |
| Total Shark | 506.1 | 350.9 | 409.7 | 362.5 | 441.8 | 536.2 | 313.1 | 361.7 | 264.1 | 258.9 | 295.1 | 387.3 | 4,487.2 |
| Grand Total | 1,662.8 | 653.3 | 678.5 | 996.6 | 996.5 | 1,340.2 | 941.2 | 1,017.8 | 822.0 | 768.1 | 1,009.0 | 844.2 | 11,729.9 |

### 2.3.3 Sharks and Rays Composition

A total of $1,856,510 \mathrm{~kg}$ of fish was landed from 274 landings during the study period. Rays and sharks made up $7,243 \mathrm{~kg}$ and $4,487 \mathrm{~kg}(0.4 \%$ and $0.2 \%)$ from the total landing respectively. Landings of bony fish was $1,844,779.90 \mathrm{~kg}$ or $99.4 \%$. Average landings per month for sharks and rays were 374 kg and 604 kg respectively. The highest landing by month for rays was $1,157 \mathrm{~kg}$ in August 2015, followed by 804 kg in January and 714 kg in June 2016. The highest landing for sharks was 536 kg in January 2016, followed by 506 kg in August and 442 kg in December 2015. In general, the landing of sharks and rays ranged between $0.2-0.3 \%$ and $0.2-0.7 \%$ respectively from total landing. The details are shown in Table 25.

Table 25: Catch Composition of Sharks, Rays and Bony Fish by Month from 274 Landings at Kota Kinabalu (SAFMA Jetty). All Weight in Kilogram.

| Year | Month | Weight of Ray | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Ray } \end{gathered}$ | Weight of Shark | $\%$ <br> Shark | Weight of Bony Fish | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Bony Fish } \end{gathered}$ | Total Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 | Aug | 1,156.7 | 0.7 | 506.1 | 0.3 | 161,280.0 | 99.0 | 162,942.8 |
|  | Sept | 302.4 | 0.2 | 350.9 | 0.2 | 155,500.0 | 99.6 | 156,153.3 |
|  | Oct | 268.8 | 0.2 | 409.7 | 0.3 | 141,200.0 | 99.5 | 141,878.5 |
|  | Nov | 634.1 | 0.4 | 362.5 | 0.2 | 158,100.0 | 99.4 | 159,096.6 |
|  | Dec | 554.7 | 0.3 | 441.8 | 0.2 | 180,800.0 | 99.5 | 181,796.5 |
| 2016 | Jan | 804.0 | 0.4 | 536.2 | 0.3 | 189,800.0 | 99.3 | 191,140.2 |
|  | Feb | 628.1 | 0.4 | 313.1 | 0.2 | 160,700.0 | 99.4 | 161,641.2 |
|  | Mar | 656.1 | 0.5 | 361.7 | 0.3 | 134,173.0 | 99.2 | 135,190.8 |
|  | Apr | 557.9 | 0.4 | 264.1 | 0.2 | 138,500.0 | 99.4 | 139,322.0 |
|  | May | 509.2 | 0.4 | 258.9 | 0.2 | 132,547.9 | 99.4 | 133,316.0 |
|  | Jun | 713.9 | 0.5 | 295.1 | 0.2 | 155,527.0 | 99.3 | 156,536.0 |
|  | Jul | 456.9 | 0.3 | 387.3 | 0.3 | 136,652.0 | 99.4 | 137,496.2 |
| Total |  | 7,242.7 |  | 4,487.2 |  | 1,844,779.9 |  | 1,856,509.8 |
| Ave |  | 603.6 | 0.4 | 373.9 | 0.2 | 153,731.7 | 99.4 | 154,709.2 |

### 2.3.4 Sample Size

A total of 4,771 tails belonging to 2,546 rays and 2,225 sharks were sampled during the study period comprising 20 species of rays and 17 species of sharks. The most common and abundant rays species were Neotrygon kuhlii followed by Himantura gerrardi and Dasyatis zugei. All these species were landed throughout the year. Other common rays species were Rhinobatos borneensis Gymnura poecilura, Rhynchobatus australiae, and Pastinachus gracilicaudus. These species were recorded between 8-11 months. Dasyatis parvonigra and Himantura jenkinsii were recorded in six (6) nd four (4) months respectively. Other species such as Gymnura japonica, H. uarnak, Aetomylaeus vespertilio, Himantura fai, H. leoparda, H. uarnacoides, Mobula japanica, Rhinoptera jayakari, Taeniura lymma and Taeniurops meyeni, were only landed between 1-5 months. The highest number of rays sampled by month was 331 tails in January 2016 followed by 318 tails in November and 272 tails in December 2015.

The most common and abundant sharks species were Chiloscyllium punctatum and $C$. plagiosum. All these species were landed throughout the year. Other common sharks species were Carcharhinus sorrah, Atelomycterus marmoratus, Sphyrna lewini and Hemipristis elongata. All these these species were landed between 10-12 months. Other species such as

Hemigaleus microstoma, Heterodontus zebra and Mustelus manazo were landed in four months; Alopias pelagicus and Loxodon macrohinus in three (3) months, while Carcharhinus brevipinna, Carcharhinus sealei, Halaelurus buergeri, Orectolobus leptolineatus, Squatina tergocellatoides and Stegostoma fasciatum were only landed between 1-2 months. The highest number of sharks sampled by month was 257 tails in January 2016, followed by 253 tails in September and 249 tails in December 2015. The details are as shown in Table 26.

Table 26: Sample Size of Sharks and Rays by Species at Kota Kinabalu (SAFMA Jetty)

| Species | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
|  | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul |  |
| Aetobatus ocellatus | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 5 |
| Aetomylaeus vespertilio |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Dasyatis parvonigra |  |  |  | 17 | 14 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 4 |  | 8 | 47 |
| Dasyatis zugei | 24 | 13 | 26 | 47 | 79 | 91 | 15 | 23 | 60 | 60 | 39 | 69 | 546 |
| Gymmura japonica | 1 | 1 |  | 5 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 5 |  |  | 13 |
| Gymmura poecilura | 4 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 4 |  | 8 | 23 | 3 | 8 | 63 |
| Himantura fai | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Himantura gerrardi | 57 | 44 | 30 | 82 | 47 | 77 | 49 | 43 | 60 | 29 | 16 | 37 | 571 |
| Himantura jenkinsii | 1 |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| Himantura leoparda | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 |
| Himantura uarnacoides | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| Himantura uarnak | I |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 5 |
| Mobula japanica | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Neotrygon kuhlii | 47 | 61 | 50 | 139 | 112 | 128 | 86 | 75 | 81 | 96 | 101 | 55 | 1,031 |
| Pastinachus gracilicaudus | 10 |  | 2 | 2 | 5 | 13 | 2 |  | 1 |  | 5 |  | 40 |
| Rhinobatos borneensis | 19 | 11 | 19 | 6 | 4 | 13 | 8 | 28 | 8 | 8 |  | 2 | 126 |
| Rhinoptera jayakari | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| Rhynchobatus australiae |  | 5 | 3 | 13 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 15 | 67 |
| Taeniura lymma |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Taeniurops meyeni |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Total Rays | 182 | 141 | 135 | 318 | 272 | 331 | 174 | 174 | 224 | 228 | 172 | 195 | 2,546 |
| Alopias pelagicus | 1 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| Atelomycterus marmoratus | 9 | 22 | 29 | 22 | 29 | 28 | 10 | 5 |  | 9 | 24 | 15 | 202 |
| Carcharhinus brevipinna | 2 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| Carcharhinus sealei | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Carcharhinus sorrah | 12 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 121 |
| Chiloscyllium plagiosum | 82 | 126 | 94 | 71 | 68 | 49 | 32 | 33 | 33 | 45 | 40 | 49 | 722 |
| Chiloscyllium punctatum | 79 | 72 | 91 | 84 | 120 | 152 | 94 | 58 | 51 | 63 | 63 | 30 | 957 |
| Halaelurus buergeri | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Hemigaleus microstoma | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 | 6 |
| Hemipristis elongata | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 |  |  | 35 |
| Heterodontus zebra | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| Loxodon macrorhinus |  | 7 |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 24 |
| Mustelus manazo |  |  |  |  | 5 | 6 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  |  | 14 |
| Orectolobus leptolineatus |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Sphyrna lewini | 8 | 7 | 5 | 18 | 12 | 5 | 7 |  | 11 | 10 | 14 | 15 | 112 |
| Squatina tergocellatoides | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Stegostoma fasciatum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Total Sharks | 202 | 253 | 232 | 212 | 249 | 257 | 146 | 105 | 107 | 163 | 164 | 135 | 2,225 |
| Grand Total | 384 | 394 | 367 | 530 | 521 | 588 | 320 | 279 | 331 | 391 | 336 | 330 | 4,771 |

### 2.3.5 Weight of Sharks and Rays by Species

A total of $11,711 \mathrm{~kg}$ was landed from 274 landings comprising $7,224 \mathrm{~kg}$ rays and $4,487 \mathrm{~kg}$ sharks. For rays, the highest landing by weight was from species Neotrygon kuhlii amounting to $2,733 \mathrm{~kg}$, followed by Himantura gerrardi $1,717 \mathrm{~kg}, 952 \mathrm{~kg}$ for Dasyatis zugei and 465 kg for Pastinachus gracilicaudus. The highest landing by month for Neotrygon kuhlii was 334 kg in February, followed by 332 kg in June 2016 and 312 kg in November 2015. For

Himantura gerrardi, the highest landing was 298 kg in August 2015, followed by 204 kg in June and 195 kg in March 2016. For Dasyatis zugei, the highest landing was 166 kg in January followed by 127 kg in May 2016 and 120 kg in December 2015. The highest landing for Pastinachus gracilicaudus was in August $2015(137 \mathrm{~kg})$ followed by 116 kg in January and 62 kg in June 2016. Weigh of others species such as Rhinoptera jayakari was 281 kg , Rhinobatus borneensis ( 177 kg ), Dasyatis parvonigra ( 165 kg ), Gymnura poecilura ( 136 kg ), Rhynchobatus australiae ( 149 kg ) and Himantura leoparda ( 112 kg ). Weight of other species was below 100 kg .

The highest landing of shark species were $2,201 \mathrm{~kg}$ for Chiloscyllium punctatum followed by $1,017 \mathrm{~kg}$ for C. plagiosum, 469 kg for Carcharhinus sorrah, 266 kg for Sphyrna lewini, 162 kg for Alopias pelagicus, and 147 kg for Atelomycterus marmoratus. The highest landing by month for Chiloscyllium punctatum was 292 kg in January 2016, followed by 250 kg in December 2015 and March 2016 respectively. For Chilosycyllium plagiosum, the highest landing was 197 kg in August followed by 132 kg in October and 127 kg in September 2015. The highest landing for Carcharhinus sorrah was 88 kg in June followed by 84 kg in July 2016 and 76 kg in August 2015. The highest landing for Sphyrna lewini was in July 2016 (83 kg ), Alopias pelagicus in January 2016 and for Atelomycterus marmoratus in January 2016 $(25 \mathrm{~kg})$. Weight of other species was below 50 kg . The details are shown in Table 27.

| Species | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
|  | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul |  |
| Aetobatus ocellatus | 2.20 |  |  |  |  | 18.20 |  | 29.50 |  |  | 24.00 |  | 73.90 |
| Aetomylaeus vespertilio |  | 6.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 73.90 |
| Dasyatis parvonigra |  |  |  | 64.20 | 50.00 | 4.53 | 12.55 |  |  | 12.80 |  | 21.35 | 165.43 |
| Dasyatis zugei | 65.00 | 25.00 | 23.60 | 44.80 | 119.50 | 166.29 | 88.75 | 53.30 | 75.80 | 126.55 | 59.35 | 104.00 | 951.94 |
| Gymmura japonica | 0.60 | 0.80 |  | 14.40 |  |  |  | 5.50 |  | 15.20 |  |  | 36.50 |
| Gymnura poecilura | 11.70 | 2.50 | 3.70 | 19.90 | 3.50 | 5.00 | 4.30 |  | 21.75 | 38.15 | 11.95 | 13.80 | 136.25 |
| Himantura fai | 80.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13.80 | 136.25 80.00 |
| Himantura gerrardi | 298.40 | 105.90 | 83.60 | 112.80 | 118.90 | 161.72 | 108.15 | 195.35 | 143.00 | 62.65 | 204.40 | 122.40 | 1,717.27 |
| Himantura jenkinsii | 10.20 |  |  | 8.60 | 24.20 |  |  | 15.65 |  |  |  |  | 58.65 |
| Himantura leoparda | 82.30 34.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30.00 | 112.30 |
| Himantura uarnacoides | 34.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34.00 |
| Himantura uarnak | 12.90 21.00 |  |  |  |  | 3.45 |  |  |  | 1.60 |  |  | 17.95 |
| Neotrygon kuhlii | 127.20 | 136.30 | 108.10 | 31210 | 170.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21.00 |
| Pastinachus gracilicaudus | 136.90 |  | 26.10 | 22.70 | 49.50 | 115.57 |  | 304.5 |  | 240.00 | 332.45 | 113.80 | 2,733.36 |
| Rhinobatos borneensis | 28.20 | 9.10 | 16.40 | 6.60 | 5.50 | 15.61 | 8.35 | 50.45 | 18.50 | 11.35 | 69.20 | 2.00 | 464.57 |
| Rhinoptera jayakari | 246.10 |  |  |  |  |  | 34.50 |  |  |  |  |  | 176.91 |
| Rhynchobatusa australiae |  | 11.30 | 4.90 | 28.00 | 13.50 | 11.15 | 11.15 | 1.80 | 23.45 | 0.90 | 12.50 | 30.60 | 149.25 |
| Taeniura lymma |  | 1.80 | 2.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.20 |
| Taeniurops meyeni |  | 2.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.80 |
| Total Weight Rays | 1,156.70 | 302.40 | 268.80 | 634.10 | 554.70 | 804.03 | 628.10 | 656.05 | 557.90 | 509.20 | 713.85 | 437.95 | 7,223.78 |
| Alopias pelagicus | 26.00 |  |  |  |  | 105.05 |  | 30.50 |  |  |  |  | 7,221.55 |
| Atelomycterus marmoratus | 6.10 | 15.80 | 19.60 | 17.90 | 17.40 | 25.01 | 5.85 | 3.50 |  | 4.95 | 19.90 | 10.70 | 146.71 |
| Carcharhinus brevipinna | 4.80 | 22.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27.40 |
| Carcharhinus sealei | 2.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.90 |
| Carcharhinus sorrah | 76.20 | 25.00 | 42.00 | 13.80 | 37.90 | 16.47 | 14.90 | 19.15 | 14.70 | 36.30 | 88.25 | 84.45 | 469.12 |
| Chiloscyllium plagiosum | 197.30 | 126.80 | 131.60 | 84.70 | 76.70 | 59.15 | 33.65 | 52.80 | 62.65 | 63.30 | 46.25 | 82.25 | 1,017.15 |
| Chiloscyllium punctatum | 170.40 | 122.20 | 187.20 | 172.10 | 250.40 | 292.14 | 245.75 | 250.40 | 161.45 | 135.35 | 129.20 | 84.15 | 2,200.74 |
| Halaelurus buergeri | 0.20 |  |  |  | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.70 |
| Hemigaleus microstoma | 1.30 |  |  |  |  | 3.98 |  |  |  | 0.35 |  | 1.60 | 7.23 |
| Hemipristis elongata | 1.90 | 1.30 | 6.00 | 3.40 | 11.80 | 9.14 | 2.65 | 3.40 | 6.70 | 2.30 |  |  | 48.59 |


| Species | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
|  | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul |  |
| Heterodontus zebra | 3.20 | 6.60 | 5.80 | 3.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19.40 |
| Loxodon macrorhinus |  | 7.60 |  | 16.10 |  |  |  |  |  | 5.35 |  |  | 29.05 |
| Mustelus manazo |  |  |  |  | 19.70 | 16.62 |  | 1.95 |  | 2.50 |  |  | 40.77 |
| Orectolobus leptolineatus |  | 7.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.00 |
| Sphyrna lewini | 13.40 | 16.00 | 17.50 | 50.70 | 27.40 | 8.60 | 10.25 |  | 18.55 | 8.45 | 11.50 | 83.15 | 265.50 |
| Squatina tergocellatoides | 2.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.40 |
| Stegostoma fasciatum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 41.00 | 41.00 |
| Total Weight Sharks | 506.10 | 350.90 | 409.70 | 362.50 | 441.80 | 536.16 | 313.05 | 361.70 | 264.05 | 258.85 | 295.10 | 387.30 | 4,487.21 |
| Grand Total | 1,662.80 | 653.30 | 678.50 | 996.60 | 996.50 | 1,340.19 | 941.15 | 1,017.75 | 821.95 | 768.05 | 1,008.95 | 825.25 | 11,710.99 |

### 2.3.6 Size Range of Sharks and Rays

During the first six (6) months of the project, from August 2015 to January 2016, most rays species sampled in general were juvenile, except for some species that matured such as Dasyatis parvonigra caught in November and Disember 2015, Rhinobatos borneensis (August 2015 to January 2016) and Taeniura lymma caught in September 2015. Size range of all rays species from August 2015 to January 2016 are shown in Table 28A (i) from February to July 2016, some rays species were mature such as Dasyatis parvonigra that caught in February, Dasyatis zugei and Rhinobatos borneensis almost throughout the period. Size range of all rays species sampled from February to July 2016 in Table 28A (ii).

As for sharks, some species sampled from August 2015 to January 2016 were mature such as Atelomycterus marmoratus, Chiloscyllium plagiosum and C. punctatum. Halaelurus buergeri sampled in August 2015 and January 2016, and Hemigaleus microstoma in August 2015 were also mature. Other species such as Heterodontus zebra, Laxodon macrorhinus, Mustelus manazo and Orectolobus leptolineatus were also mature. Size range of all sharks species sampled from August 2015 to January 2016 are shown in Table 28B (i). During the second phase from February to July 2016, Atelomycterus marmoratus, Chiloscyllium plagiosum and C. punctatum were mature in the whole period. Other species were at juvernile stage or young. Size range of all sharks species sampled from February to July 2016 are shown in Table 28B (ii).
Table 28A (i): Size Range of Rays (Disc Length) Except for Rhinobatos borneensis and Rhynchobatus australiae (Total Length) for Six

| Species | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |
|  | Aug |  |  | Sep |  |  | Oct |  |  | Nov |  |  | Dec |  |  | Jan |  |  |
| Rays | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av |
| Aetobatus ocellatus | 32.0 | 73.0 | 52.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65.2 | 65.2 | 65.2 |
| Aetomylaeus vespertilio |  |  |  | 47.5 | 47.5 | 47.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65.2 | 65.2 |
| Dasyatis parvonigra |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23.0 | 50.0 | 38.4 | 29.0 | 58.0 | 38.07 | 27.5 | 41.2 | 34.4 |
| Dasyatis zugei | 19.0 | 30.0 | 25.2 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 27.1 | 20.0 | 31.0 | 26.4 | 19.0 | 32.0 | 25.2 | 15.0 | 32.0 | 24.94 | 17.1 | 31.2 | 24.8 |
| Gymnura japonica | 19.5 | 19.5 | 19.5 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 |  |  |  | 21.0 | 40.0 | 34.2 |  |  |  |  |  | 24.8 |
| Gymmura poecilura | 29.0 | 39.0 | 35.0 | 21.0 | 22.0 | 21.3 | 24.0 | 34.0 | 29.0 | 25.0 | 41.0 | 36.3 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.00 | 43.5 | 43.5 | 43.5 |
| Himantura fai | 99.0 | 104.0 | 101.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Himantura gerrardi | 22.5 | 76.0 | 44.5 | 18.0 | 52.0 | 33.6 | 20.0 | 70.0 | 34.3 | 18.0 | 62.0 | 25.5 | 16.0 | 73.0 | 28.19 | 17.0 | 81.0 | 28.2 |
| Himantura jenkinsii | 58.0 | 58.0 | 58.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | 49.0 | 57.0 | 52.67 |  |  |  |
| Himantura leoparda | 81.0 | 92.0 | 87.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Himantura uarnacoides | 50.0 | 62.0 | 55.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Himantura uarnak | 68.0 | 68.0 | 68.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32.4 | 34.5 | 33.5 |
| Mobula japanica | 77.0 | 77.0 | 77.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Neotrygon kuhlii | 19.0 | 32.0 | 24.3 | 11.0 | 31.5 | 24.1 | 16.0 | 33.0 | 21.8 | 13.0 | 33.0 | 21.2 | 14.0 | 33.0 | 22.67 | 12.0 | 32.2 | 21.9 |
| Pastinachus gracilicaudus | 51.0 | 80.0 | 59.2 |  |  |  | 59.0 | 62.0 | 60.5 | 56.0 | 58.0 | 57.0 | 48.0 | 60.0 | 53.60 | 47.4 | 58.3 | 52.2 |
| Rhinobatos borneensis | 63.0 | 86.0 | 76.8 | 51.0 | 81.0 | 67.2 | 48.0 | 88.0 | 65.8 | 59.0 | 78.0 | 72.2 | 63.0 | 82.0 | 74.00 | 53.0 | 92.0 | 72.1 |
| Rhinoptera jayakari | 37.5 | 60.0 | 49.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rhynchobatus australiae |  |  |  | 47.5 | 80.0 | 68.9 | 62.0 | 81.5 | 68.5 | 56.0 | 100.0 | 76.4 | 50.0 | 91.5 | 73.79 | 88.3 | 97.3 | 91.9 |
| Taeniura lymma |  |  |  | 34.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 25.0 | 27.5 | 25.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taeniurops meyeni |  |  |  | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 28A (ii): Size Range of Rays (Disc Length) Except for Rhinobatos borneensis and Rhynchobatus australiae (Total Length) for Six

| Species | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Feb |  |  | Mar |  |  | Apr |  |  | May |  |  | Jun |  |  | Jul |  |  |
|  | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av |
| Rays |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aetobatus ocellatus |  |  |  | 83.3 | 83.3 | 83.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 71.3 | 71.3 | 71.3 |  |  |  |
| Dasyatis parvonigra | 49.5 | 51.2 | 50.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30.2 | 46.3 | 38.3 |  |  |  | 27.2 | 50.1 | 37.2 |
| Dasyatis zugei | 17.2 | 34.2 | 23.8 | 20.1 | 31.3 | 25.9 | 19.2 | 31.3 | 24.4 | 19.3 | 31.2 | 23.9 | 19.5 | 31.2 | 23.3 | 19.2 | 32.3 | 25.0 |
| Gymnura japonica |  |  |  | 43.3 | 43.3 | 43.3 |  |  |  | 24.5 | 40.4 | 35.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gymnura poecilura | 20.3 | 33.3 | 25.3 |  |  |  | 22.5 | 42.3 | 33.1 | 16.2 | 41.0 | 28.0 | 32.3 | 45.3 | 38.3 | 22.2 | 40.2 | 29.4 |
| Himantura fai |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 673 | 28.4 |
| Himantura gerrardi | 17.20 | 67.50 | 28.4 | 18.0 | 58.3 | 31.4 | 18.2 | 62.5 | 31.1 | 19.2 | 69.2 | 30.9 | 25.3 | 61.3 | 47.0 | 18.0 | 67.3 | 28.4 |
| Himantura jenkinsii |  |  |  | 44.5 | 62.3 | 53.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Himantura leoparda |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 95.0 | 95.0 | 95.0 |
| Himantura uarnak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27.0 | 27.2 | 27.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Neotrygon kuhlii | 16.0 | 32.2 | 23.3 | 16.1 | 31.3 | 21.7 | 15.5 | 33.2 | 21.6 | 15.5 | 30.2 | 21.6 | 15.0 | 30.3 | 22.6 | 14.0 | 26.3 | 20.1 |
| Pastinachus gracilicaudus | 53.3 | 68.3 | 60.8 |  |  |  | 71.3 | 71.3 | 71.3 |  |  |  | 43.2 | 70.3 | 58.1 |  |  |  |
| Rhinobatos borneensis | 44.1 | 85.3 | 68.0 | 51.3 | 88.3 | 73.3 | 65.5 | 89.3 | 78.6 | 55.5 | 92.5 | 75.7 |  |  |  | 67.2 | 71.3 | 69.3 |
| Rhinoptera jayakari | 64.3 | 64.5 | 64.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 95.4 | 70.1 | 573 | 125.3 | 72.7 |
| Rhynchobatus australiae | 59.4 | 85.2 | 72.4 | 74.2 | 74.2 | 74.2 | 67.5 | 107.3 | 90.5 | 60.20 | 60.2 | 60.2 | 51.2 | 95.4 | 70.1 | 57.3 |  |  |

Table 28B (i): Size Range of Sharks (Total Length) for Six Months from August 2015 to January 2016. All Measurements in cm.

| Species | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |
|  | Aug |  |  | Sep |  |  | Oct |  |  | Nov |  |  | Dec |  |  | Jan |  |  |
| Sharks | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av |
| Alopias pelagicus | 122.0 | 122.0 | 122.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1643 | 327.0 | 208.9 |
| Atelomycterus marmoratus | 52.0 | 63.0 | 59.1 | 49.0 | 69.0 | 59.7 | 48.0 | 71.0 | 60.3 | 53.0 | 84.0 | 62.9 | 43.0 | 69.0 | 57.3 | 52.3 | 67.3 | 60.5 |
| Carcharhinus brevipinna | 70.0 | 81.0 | 75.5 | 77.0 | 86.0 | 81.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carcharhinus sealei | 55.0 | 79.0 | 67.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carcharhinus sorrah | 74.5 | 149.0 | 93.6 | 71.5 | 116.0 | 86.2 | 89.0 | 123.0 | 101.4 | 96.0 | 99.5 | 97.2 | 92.0 | 120.0 | 103.5 | 98.2 | 102.0 | 100.1 |
| Chiloscyllium plagiosum | 25.0 | 82.0 | 68.5 | 48.0 | 80.5 | 67.6 | 49.0 | 96.0 | 68.4 | 51.0 | 84.0 | 69.3 | 46.0 | 84.0 | 69.0 | 42.1 | 79.3 | 64.3 |
| Chiloscyllium punctatum | 56.0 | 94.0 | 74.0 | 37.0 | 92.0 | 73.3 | 49.0 | 94.0 | 73.2 | 49.0 | 100.0 | 74.9 | 44.0 | 96.0 | 73.8 | 36.2 | 94.1 | 74.1 |
| Halaelurus buergeri | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 |  |  |  |
| Hemigaleus microstoma | 72.5 | 72.5 | 72.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75.4 | 88.2 | 81.8 |
| Hemipristis elongata | 57.0 | 73.0 | 65.0 | 58.0 | 58.0 | 58.0 | 59.0 | 93.0 | 74.0 | 69.0 | 82.0 | 75.5 | 47.0 | 98.0 | 71.6 | 43.3 | 84.0 | 62.9 |
| Heterodontus zebra | 54.5 | 73.0 | 63.8 | 66.0 | 80.0 | 73.0 | 55.0 | 75.5 | 63.8 | 76.0 | 76.0 | 76.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loxodon macrorhinus |  |  |  | 59.0 | 85.0 | 69.4 |  |  |  | 58.0 | 88.0 | 75.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mustelus manazo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 97.0 | 107.0 | 100.8 | 78.2 | 107.0 | 92.9 |
| Orectolobus leptolineatus |  |  |  | 95.0 | 95.0 | 95.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sphyrna lewini | 47.0 | 76.0 | 67.9 | 71.0 | 84.0 | 77.6 | 51.0 | 133.0 | 75.6 | 44.0 | 93.0 | 66.5 | 56.0 | 93.0 | 69.7 | 47.2 | 101.0 | 74.9 |
| Squatina tergocellatoides | 64.2 | 64.2 | 64.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 28B (ii): Size Range of Sharks (Total Length) for Six Months from February to July 2016. All Measurements in cm.

| Species | Month/Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Feb |  |  | Mar |  |  | Apr |  |  | Mar |  |  | Jun |  |  | Jul |  |  |
|  | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av | Min | Max | Av |
| Sharks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alopias pelagicus |  |  |  | 194.3 | 199.3 | 196.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atelomycterus marmoratus | 52.0 | 63.2 | 58.8 | 39.2 | 66.3 | 58.5 |  |  |  | 44.2 | 65.0 | 58.0 | 48.2 | 69.1 | 59.6 | 42.2 | 74.5 | 59.9 |
| Carcharhinus sorrah | 96.2 | 104.5 | 100.4 | 100.3 | 122.3 | 111.3 | 55.5 | 71.3 | 61.5 | 57.2 | 128.3 | 64.1 | 56.2 | 132.2 | 80.6 | 51.3 | 124.3 | 81.3 |
| Chiloscyllium plagiosum | 51.4 | 85.3 | 70.1 | 48.5 | 81.4 | 68.0 | 54.5 | 83.3 | 70.0 | 50.3 | 93.4 | 70.4 | 54.3 | 82.4 | 67.0 | 54.2 | 83.3 | 70.5 |
| Chiloscyllium punctatum | 42.1 | 101.2 | 74.1 | 36.2 | 99.5 | 73.2 | 49.1 | 96.5 | 78.0 | 49.5 | 95.2 | 76.1 | 52.1 | 94.3 | 73.6 | 49.2 | 104.5 | 78.5 |
| Hemigaleus microstoma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51.2 | 51.2 | 51.2 |  |  |  | 58.2 | 67.3 | 62.8 |
| Hemipristis elongate | 89.3 | 89.3 | 89.3 | 55.2 | 65.2 | 59.3 | 62.3 | 105.2 | 77.2 | 52.3 | 70.5 | 60.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loxodon macrorhinus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60.2 | 77.3 | 67.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mustelus manazo |  |  |  | 82.2 | 82.2 | 82.2 |  |  |  | 61.3 | 88.3 | 74.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sphyrna lewini | 55.2 | 78.2 | 68.0 |  |  |  | 50.5 | 95.5 | 67.1 | 49.5 | 82.2 | 56.4 | 50.1 | 73.4 | 58.0 | 53.4 | 74.2 | 65.0 |
| Stegostoma fasciatum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 204.0 | 204.0 | 204.0 |

### 2.3.7 Usage and Marketing

As a non-targeted species, and the landings represent only less than $1 \%$ of trawl nets total catch, sharks and rays are mainly consumed locally. The price ( $\mathrm{RM} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) varied according to species, size and season. For rays, the catches are for local consumption as well as for outside markets, especially to Peninsular Malaysia. Grilled rays are special delicacies that highly enjoyed by locals and tourists alike. At SAFMA landing jetty, wholesale price of rays are between the range of RM1 - RM4 depanding on the species and size. Himantura walga and Dasyatis zugei were priced RM1 - RM1.50 while Neotrygon kuhlii and Rhychobatus australiae can fetch up to RM4/kg. The prices were eventually doubled or even more once the rays sold at the fish markets. Among the favourite species for consumption are Himantura uarnak, H. gerarrdi, H. undulata, H. leoparda and Urogymnus asperrimus.

Ray's skin for some species can fetch a bigger value than the meat. Ray's skin of Himantura uarnacoides, H. gerrardi, H. pastinacoides, H. lobistoma, H. jenkinsii, H. fai, Pastinachus atrus, P. gracilicaudus and P. solocirostris is processed before being sent to Kuala Lumpur by plane or container. The prices are varied according to species and size of skin.

For sharks, except for the fins, shark meat are mostly to cater domestic demand and sold mainly at fish wet markets in Kota Kinabalu, though some were brought to interior part of Sabah. Some of the fins, however, are exported mainly to Penisular Malaysia. All part of sharks are fully utilised. For example, sharks teeths and jaws are used as souvenirs and shark head's skin are considered as a new delicacy.

Whole sharks body, without the fins, are sold at the average price of RM2.50 at SAFMA landing jetty in Kota Kinabalu. The prices however increased to double or even triple once its reach the fish markets. For example, Carcharhinus sorrah and Chiloscyllium plagiosum are sold at RM2/kg at SAFMA jetty before sold at RM4/kg at nearby Kota Kinabalu fish market. The same species of sharks fetch higher value, as expensive as $\mathrm{RM} 6 / \mathrm{kg}$ at fish markets that situated outside of Kota Kinabalu City. The details of the price range and market destination by species is shown in Table 29.

Table 29: Price of Sharks and Rays by Species and Market Destination in Kota Kinabalu

|  | Range Price <br> (RM/kg) | Part | Market Destination |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Ray | $2-2.5$ | Whole body | Local (Kota Kinabalu), P. Malaysia |
| Aetobatus ocellatus | $2.5-3.0$ | Whole body | Local (Kota Kinabalu), P. Malaysia |
| Aetomylaeus vespertilio | $2.0-3.0$ | Whole body | Local (Kota Kinabalu), P. Malaysia |
| Dasyatis pavronigra | $1.5-3.0$ | Whole body | Local (Kota Kinabalu) |
| Dasyatis zugei | $2.0-2.5$ | Whole body | Local (Kota Kinabalu) |
| Gymnura japonica | $2.0-2.5$ | Whole body | Local (Kota Kinabalu) |
| Gymnura poecilura | $2.5-3.0$ | Whole body, skin | Local (Kota Kinabalu), P. Malaysia; <br> Skin sold to Peninsular Malaysia |
| Himantura fai | $2.0-2.5$ | Whole body, skin | Local (Kota Kinabalu), P. Malaysia; Skin <br> sold to Peninsular Malaysia |
| Himantura gerrardi | $2.0-2.5$ | Whole body, skin | Local (Kota Kinabalu), P. Malaysia; <br> Skin sold to Peninsular Malaysia |
| Himantura jenkinsii | $2.0-2.5$ | Whole body, skin | Local (Kota Kinabalu), P. Malaysia; Skin <br> sold to P. Malaysia |
| Himantura leoparda | $2.5-3.0$ | Whole body, skin | Local (Kota Kinabalu), P. Malaysia; |
| Himantura uarnacoides |  |  |  |


|  | Range Price (RM/kg) | Part | Market Destination |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Skin sold to P. Malaysia |
| Himantura uarnak | 1.5-3.0 | Whole body, skin | Local (Kota Kinabalu), P. Malaysia; Skin sold to P. Malaysia |
| Himantura walga | 1.0-2.0 | Whole body | Local (Kota Kinabalu) |
| Mobula japanica | 2.0-2.5 | Whole body | Local (Kota Kinabalu), P. Malaysia |
| Neotrygon kuhlii | 2.0-4.0 | Whole body | Local (Kota Kinabalu) |
| Pastinachus gracilicaudus | 2.5-3.0 | Whole body, skin | Local (Kota Kinabalu), P. Malaysia; Skin sold to P. Malaysia |
| Pastinachus stellurostris | 2.0-2.5 | Whole body, skin | Local (Kota Kinabalu), P. Malaysia Skin sold to P. Malaysia |
| Rhinobatos borneensis | 3.0-3.5 | Whole body | Local (Kota Kinabalu) |
| Rhinoptera jayakari | 2.0-2.5 | Whole body | Local (Kota Kinabalu) |
| Rhychobatus australiae | 3.5-4.0 | Whole body, fins | Local (Kota Kinabalu), P. Malaysia |
| Taeniura lymma | 2.0-2.5 | Whole body | Local (Kota Kinabalu) |
| Taeniurops meyeni | 2.0-2.5 | Whole body | Local (Kota Kinabalu) |
| Shark |  |  |  |
| Alopias pelagicus | 2.0-2.5 | Whole body, fins | Local Market (Kota Kinabalu) |
| Atelomycterus marmoratus | 2.0-2.5 | Whole body | Local Market (Kota Kinabalu) |
| Carcharhinus brevipinna | 2.5-3.0 | Whole body, fins | Local Market (Kota Kinabalu) |
| Carcharhinus sealei | 3.0-3.5 | Whole body, fins | Local Market (Kota Kinabalu ) |
| Carcharhinus sorrah | 3.0-3.5 | Whole body, fins | Local Market (Kota Kinabalu) |
| Chiloscyllium hasseltii | 3.0-3.5 | Whole body | Local Market (Kota Kinabalu) |
| Chiloscyllium plagiosum | 2.0-2.5 | Whole body | Local Market (Kota Kinabalu) |
| Chiloscyllium punctatum | 2.0-2.5 | Whole body | Local Market (Kota Kinabalu) |
| Halaelurus buergeri | 2.0-2.5 | Whole body | Local Market (Kota Kinabalu) |
| Hemigaleus microstoma | 2.0-2.5 | Whole body | Local Market (Kota Kinabalu) |
| Hemipristis elongata | 3.0-3.5 | Whole body, fins | Local Market (Kota Kinabalu) |
| Heterodontus zebra | 2.0-2.5 | Whole body | Local Market (Kota Kinabalu) |
| Loxodon macrorhinus | 2.0-2.5 | Whole body | Local Market (Kota Kinabalu) |
|  | Range Price (RM/kg) | Part | Market Destination |
| Mustelus manazo | 2.0-2.5 | Whole body | Local Market (Kota Kinabalu) |
| Orectolobus leptolineatus | 2.0-2.5 | Whole body | Local Market (Kota Kinabalu) |
| Sphyrna lewini | 3.0-3.5 | Whole body, fins | Local Market (Kota Kinabalu) |
| Squatina tergocellatoides | 2.0-2.5 | Whole body | Local Market (Kota Kinabalu) |
| Stegostoma fasciatum | 2.5-4.0 | Whole body | Local Market (Kota Kinabalu) |

### 2.4 Sandakan

### 2.4.1 Landing Samples

A total of 135 landings were sampled during the study period with average of 12 samples a month. The samples were catches from trawl nets, that operated mainly in Zone 3 with 84 vessels, followed by 29 vessels in Zone 2 and 22 vessels in Zone 4. The details of are shown in Table 30.

Table 30: Number of Landings by Gear Sampled during the Study at Sandakan (Sandakan Fish Market Jetty)

| Type of Gear | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Grand Total |
|  | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul |  |
| Trawl net Zone 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 29 |
| Trawl net Zone 3 | 7 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 84 |
| Trawl net Zone 4 | 4 |  | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 22 |
| Total | 13 | 12 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 135 |

### 2.4.2 Fishing Ground and Catch Composition by Gear Type

The total catch of trawl nets that sampled were $13,138 \mathrm{~kg}$ comprising $10,170 \mathrm{~kg}$ of rays ( $77.4 \%$ ) and $2,969 \mathrm{~kg}$ of sharks, which is only $22.6 \%$ of the combined catches. All trawlers operated beyond three nm from coastline, and mainly between 12 nm to 30 nm from the coastline. A total of $5,611 \mathrm{~kg}$ of rays was landed by Zone 3 trawl nets followed by Zone 4 trawl nets at $3,279 \mathrm{~kg}$. As for sharks, Zone 3 trawl nets also landed the highest catch, with $1,882 \mathrm{~kg}$ followed by Zone 4 trawl nets at 677 kg . The highest landing of rays by month was from Zone 3 trawl nets at $1,217 \mathrm{~kg}$ in August while 788 kg , also from Zone 3 in January and followed by 703 kg from Zone 4 in August. For sharks, the highest landing by month came from Zone 3 trawl nets at 532 kg in September 2015, followed by 331 kg from Zone 4 trawl nets in August 2015 and 240 kg from Zone 3 trawl nets in July 2016. The details are shown in Table 31.
Table 31: Weight of Sharks and Rays (in kg) Caught by Different Types of Gear at Sandakan (Sandakan Fish Market Jetty)

| Type of Gear | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Grand Total |
|  | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul |  |
| Ray |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trawl net Zone 2 | 67.4 | 181.7 | 206.5 | 62.7 | 128.6 | 26.6 | 35.9 | 58.3 | 83.2 | 3.8 | 349.8 | 75.9 | 1,280.2 |
| Trawl net Zone 3 | 1,217.2 | 914.5 | 289.6 | 279.3 | 271.5 | 788.4 | 168.1 | 325.1 | 264.6 | 460.0 | 176.5 | 455.9 | 5,610.7 |
| Trawl net Zone 4 | 702.5 |  | 412.0 | 449.6 | 244.0 | 183.1 | 238.6 | 158.5 | 510.0 | 271.8 | 108.7 |  | 3,278.8 |
| Total Ray | 1,987.1 | 1,096.2 | 908.1 | 791.6 | 644.1 | 998.1 | 442.6 | 541.9 | 857.8 | 735.5 | 635.0 | 531.8 | 10,169.7 |
| Shark |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trawl net Zone 2 | 82.0 | 28.2 | 17.1 | 42.1 | 47.1 | 49.2 | 17.2 | 45.6 | 47.9 | 0.8 | 9.9 | 23.1 | 410.1 |
| Trawl net Zone 3 | 197.9 | 532.6 | 86.8 | 190.3 | 135.5 | 106.1 | 66.0 | 54.1 | 127.8 | 93.9 | 51.1 | 239.5 | 1,881.5 |
| Trawl net Zone 4 | 330.8 |  | 29.1 | 88.8 | 33.0 |  | 27.3 |  |  | 59.8 | 108.3 |  | 677.0 |
| Total Shark | 610.7 | 560.8 | 133.0 | 321.2 | 215.6 | 155.3 | 110.5 | 99.7 | 175.7 | 154.5 | 169.3 | 262.6 | 2,968.7 |
| Grand Total | 2,597.8 | 1,657.0 | 1,041.1 | 1,112.8 | 859.7 | 1153.4 | 553.1 | 641.5 | 1,033.5 | 890.0 | 804.2 | 794.4 | 13,138.3 |

### 2.4.3 Sharks and Rays Composition

A total of $581,358 \mathrm{~kg}$ of fish was landed from 135 landings during the study period. Rays and sharks made up $10,170 \mathrm{~kg}$ and $2,969 \mathrm{~kg}(1.8 \%$ and $0.5 \%)$ from the total landing respectively. Landings of bony fish was $568,220 \mathrm{~kg}$ or $97.7 \%$. Average landings per month for sharks and rays were 247 kg and 848 kg respectively. The highest landing by month for rays was 1,987 kg in August, followed by $1,096 \mathrm{~kg}$ in September 2015 and 998 kg in January 2016. However, the highest landing for sharks was 611 kg in August, followed by 561 kg in September and 321 kg in November 2015. In general, the landing of sharks and rays ranged between $0.2-1.1 \%$ and $0.8-3.2 \%$ respectively from total landing. The details are shown in
Table 32.
Table 32: Catch Composition of Sharks, Rays and Bony Fish by Month from 135 Landings at Sandakan (Sandakan Fish Market Jetty). All Weight in Kilogram

| Year | Month | Weight of Ray | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { Ray } \end{gathered}$ | Weight of Shark | \% Shark | Weight of Bony Fish | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Bony } \\ \text { Fish } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total Catch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 | Aug | 1,987.1 | 3.2 | 610.7 | 1.0 | 58,980.0 | 95.8 | 61,577.8 |
|  | Sep | 1,096.2 | 2.1 | 560.8 | 1.1 | 51,540.0 | 96.8 | 53,197.0 |
|  | Oct | 908.1 | 1.8 | 133.0 | 0.3 | 49,140.0 | 97.9 | 50,181.1 |
|  | Nov | 791.6 | 1.3 | 321.2 | 0.5 | 58,910.0 | 98.2 | 60,022.8 |
|  | Dec | 644.1 | 1.0 | 215.6 | 0.3 | 66,100.0 | 98.7 | 66,959.7 |
| 2016 | Jan | 998.1 | 2.1 | 155.3 | 0.3 | 46,570.0 | 97.6 | 47,723.4 |
|  | Feb | 442.6 | 0.8 | 110.5 | 0.2 | 55,940.0 | 99.0 | 56,493.1 |
|  | Mar | 541.9 | 1.1 | 99.7 | 0.2 | 50,150.0 | 98.7 | 50,791.5 |
|  | Apr | 857.8 | 1.9 | 175.7 | 0.4 | 44,510.0 | 97.7 | 45,543.5 |
|  | May | 735.5 | 2.0 | 154.5 | 0.4 | 35,900.0 | 97.6 | 36,790.0 |
|  | Jun | 635.0 | 2.2 | 169.3 | 0.6 | 27,760.0 | 97.2 | 28,564.2 |
|  | Jul | 531.8 | 2.3 | 262.6 | 1.1 | 22,720.0 | 96.6 | 23,514.4 |
| Total |  | 10,169.7 |  | 2,968.7 |  | 568,220.0 |  | 581,358.3 |
|  | ve | 847.5 | 1.8 | 247.4 | 0.5 | 47,351.7 | 97.7 | 48,446.5 |

### 2.4.4 Sample Size

A total of 1,733 tails belonging to 882 rays and 851 sharks were sampled comprising 19 species of rays and 14 species of sharks. The most common and abundant rays species were Neotrygon kuhlii followed by Himantura gerrardi and Taeniura lymma. The most common species were H. jenkinsii, Rhynchobatus australiae, Himantura uarnacoides, Rhinoptera jayakari, Himantura uarnak, Himantura fai, Himantura leoparda and Himantura uarnacoides. These species were recorded between 11-12 months. Other species such as Aetobatus ocellatus and Dasyatis zugei were landed in seven months; Pastinachus gracilicaudus and Rhina encylostoma in five months during study period. The highest number of rays sampled by month was 145 tails in August 2015 followed by 88 tails in July and 85 tails in June 2016.

The most common and abundant shark species were Chiloscyllium punctatum followed by Carcharhinus sorrah and Chiloscyllium plagiosum. Common species were Atelomycterus marmoratus, Rhizoprionodon acutus, Sphyrna lewini, Carcharhinus sealei, Hemigaleus microstoma and Stegostoma fasciatum. All these species were landed between 8-12 month. Other species such as Hemipristis elongata, Carcharhinus limbatus, C. leucas, C. brevipinna and Galeocerdo cuvier, were only landed between 3-7 months during the study period. The highest number of sharks sampled by month was 196 tails in August, followed by 74 tails in September 2015 and 69 tails in May 2016. The details are as shown in Table 33
Table 33: Sample Size of Sharks and Rays by Species at Sandakan (Sandakan Fish Market Jetty)

| Species | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
|  | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul |  |
| Aetobatus ocellatus | 5 |  |  | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 14 |
| Dasyatis zugei | 14 | 14 |  |  | 15 |  |  | 8 | 4 |  | 7 | 13 | 75 |
| Himantura fai | 9 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 2 |  | 60 |
| Himantura gerrardi | 21 | 16 | 11 | 12 | 15 | 19 | 15 | 7 | 19 | 20 | 28 | 14 | 197 |
| Himantura jenkinsii | 9 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 43 |
| Himantura leoparda | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 |  | 27 |
| Himantura uarnacoides | 16 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 2 |  | 57 |
| Himantura uarnak | 1 | 4 | 5 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 22 |
| Himantura undulata |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |
| Himantura walga |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 5 |
| Mobula thurstoni | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Neotrygon kuhlii | 43 | 6 | 6 | 16 | 9 | 17 | 19 | 10 | 13 | 22 | 27 | 30 | 218 |
| Pastinachus atrus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |
| Pastinachus gracilicaudus | 3 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 9 |
| Rhina ancylostoma | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 5 |
| Rhinobatos borneensis |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 6 |
| Rhinoptera jayakari |  | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 14 |
| Rhynchobatus australiae | 8 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 45 |
| Taeniura lymma | 11 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 10 | 5 |  | 11 | 11 | 9 | 77 |
| Total Rays | 145 | 69 | 50 | 59 | 61 | 75 | 68 | 48 | 53 | 81 | 85 | 88 | 882 |
| Atelomycterus marmoratus | 16 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 76 |
| Carcharhinus brevipinna |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 5 | 8 |
| Carcharhinus leucas | 4 | 2 |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| Carcharhinus limbatus |  | 3 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 10 |
| Carcharhinus sealei | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 7 | 21 |
| Carcharhinus sorrah | 33 | 9 | 1 | 12 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 17 | 16 | 132 |
| Chiloscyllium plagiosum | 29 | 9 | 8 | 7 |  | 11 | 16 | 5 | 3 | 19 | 12 | 4 | 123 |
| Chiloscyllium punctatum | 70 | 30 | 28 | 19 | 13 | 19 | 19 | 6 | 18 | 25 | 15 | 13 | 275 |
| Galeocerdo cuvier | 4 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| Hemigaleus microstoma | 4 |  | 12 | 3 | 10 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 3 | 2 | 4 | 46 |
| Hemipristis elongata | 2 | 4 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 3 | 2 |  | 1 | 16 |
| Rhizoprionodon acutus | 17 | 6 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 69 |
| Sphyrna lewini | 9 | 2 | 3 | 9 |  | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 42 |
| Stegostoma fasciatum | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 15 |
| Total Sharks | 196 | 74 | 68 | 64 | 38 | 63 | 57 | 36 | 56 | 69 | 65 | 65 | 851 |
| Grand Total | 341 | 143 | 118 | 123 | 99 | 138 | 125 | 84 | 109 | 150 | 150 | 153 | 1,733 |

### 2.4.5 Weight of Sharks and Rays by Species

A total of $13,138 \mathrm{~kg}$ was landed from 135 landings comprising $10,170 \mathrm{~kg}$ rays and $2,969 \mathrm{~kg}$ sharks. For rays, the highest landing by weight was from species Himantura fai amounting to $2,315 \mathrm{~kg}$, followed by $H$. uarnacides ( $1,465 \mathrm{~kg}$ ), H. leoparda ( $1,367 \mathrm{~kg}$ ), Himantura gerrardi ( $1,013 \mathrm{~kg}$ ), H. jenkinsii $(985 \mathrm{~kg})$, H. uarnak ( 896 kg ) and Neotrygon kuhlii ( 571 kg ). The highest landing by month was 445 kg for H . fai in August, followed by 331 kg in September 2015 and 287 kg in January 2016. For H. uarnacoides, the highest landing was 421 kg in August 2015, followed by 176 kg in January 2016 and 121 kg in December 2015. For H. leoparda, the highest landing was 211 kg in May 2016 followed by 187 kg in November and 182 kg in August 2015. The highest landing for Himantura gerrardi and H. jenkinsii was in August 2015 at 119 kg and 380 kg respectively. For Himantura uarnak, the highest landing was 168 kg in September 2015and for Neotrygon kuhlii was 88 kg in August 2015. Weight of other species was less than ranged between 2 kg (Himantura walga) to 382 kg (Rhynchobatus australiae).

The highest landing of shark species were 896 kg for Chiloscyllium punctatum followed by 695 kg for Carcharhinus sorrah, 343 kg for Stegostoma fasciatum, 297 kg for Carcharhinus leucas, and 251 kg for Chiloscyllium plagiosum. The highest landing by month for Chiloscyllium punctatum was 190 kg in September, followed by 135 kg in August and 108 kg in November 2016. For Carcharhinus sorrah, the highest landing was 235 kg in August 2015 followed by 110 kg in July 2016 and 69 kg in September 2015. The highest landing for Stegostoma fasciatum was 88 kg in August, followed by 72 kg in December and 66 kg in September 2015. Weight of other species ranged between 21 kg (Galeocerdo cuvier) to 95 kg (Atelomycterus marmoratus). The details are shown in Table 34.
Table 34: Weight of Sharks and Rays (in kg) by Species at Sandakan (Sandakan Fish Market Jetty)


### 2.4.6 Size Range of Sharks and Rays

In general from August 2015 to January 2016, both mature and immature rays species were sampled. Mature species included Dasyatis zugei sampled in August and September 2015, Himantura fai (August, September, October 2015), Himantura jenkinsii (August, Disember 2015 and January 2016), Himantura leoparda (August and November 2016), Himantura uarnacoides (August, September, November, December 2015 and January 2016), Himantura uarnak (August and November 2015), Rhynchobatus australiae (August and October 2015) and Taeniura lymma in October and November 2016. Other species were mostly immature. Size range of all rays species sampled from August 2015 to January 2016 are shown in Table 35A (i).

During the second period from February to July 2016, mature rays species were Himantura jenkinsii sampled in March and April, Himantura leoparda (April and July), Himantura uarnacoides (March and April), Himantura uarnak (March, April and July), and Taeniura lymma in February, March, May, June and July. Other species were mostly immature. Size range of all rays species sampled from February to July 2016 are shown in Table 35A (ii).

As for sharks, in general from August 2015 to January 2016, both mature and immature species were sampled. Mature species included Atelomycterus marmoratus sampled from August 2015 to January 2016, Chiloscyllium plagiosum (August, October and November 2015), C. punctatum (September and December 2015), Hemigaleus microstoma (August, October, November and December 2015) and Stegostoma fasciatum in September, November and December 2015. First maturing size of these species (total length) are 45 cm for male Atelomycterus marmoratus, 50 cm for Chiloscyllium plagiosum, and 147 cm for Stegostoma fasciatum. Other species were mostly immature such as Carcharhinus leucas, C. limbatus, C. sorrah, C. sealei, Galeocerdo cuvier, Rhizoprionodon acutus and Sphyrna lewini. Size range of all sharks species from August 2015 to January 2016 are shown in Table 35B (i).

During the second period from February to July 2016, most mature sharks species were Atelomycterus marmoratus and Chiloscyllium plagiosum sampled from Febuary to July, Chiloscyllium punctatum (May and June), Hemigaleus microstoma (March and May), and Stegostoma fasciatum in April and June. Other species were mostly immature. Size range of all sharks species sampled from February to July 2016 are shown in Table 35B (ii).
Table 35A (i): Size Range of Rays Species (Disc Length) Except for Rhinobatos borneensis and Rhynchobatus australiae (Total Length) for Six Months at Sandakan (Sandakan Fish Market Jetty) from August 2015 to January 2016

|  | Year/Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |
|  | Aug |  |  | Sep |  |  | Oct |  |  | Nov |  |  | Dec |  |  | Jan |  |  |
|  | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aetobatus ocellatus | 24.0 | 75.0 | 35.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25.0 | 33.0 | 29.3 |  |  |  | 81.0 | 81.0 | 81.0 |
| Dasyatis zugei | 20.0 | 30.0 | 26.4 | 19.0 | 30.0 | 24.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19.0 | 30.0 | 24.1 |  |  |  |
| Himantura fai | 63.0 | 108.0 | 97.3 | 57.0 | 110.0 | 89.9 | 75.0 | 107.0 | 93.8 | 64.0 | 108.0 | 82.8 | 57.0 | 109.0 | 79.4 | 63.0 | 109.0 | 83.6 |
| Himantura gerrardi | 23.0 | 64.0 | 41.9 | 30.0 | 64.0 | 44.2 | 22.0 | 40.0 | 34.8 | 24.0 | 61.0 | 41.8 | 24.0 | 62.0 | 38.4 | 22.0 | 64.0 | 40.0 |
| Himantura jenkinsii | 42.0 | 114.0 | 93.2 | 42.0 | 89.0 | 74.0 | 42.0 | 88.0 | 58.0 | 38.0 | 89.0 | 53.1 | 85.0 | 90.0 | 87.3 | 88.0 | 90.0 | 89.0 |
| Himantura leoparda | 65.0 | 130.0 | 105.0 | 65.0 | 120.0 | 95.0 | 65.0 | 112.0 | 93.8 | 100.0 | 122.0 | 111.3 | 99.0 | 99.0 | 99.0 | 65.0 | 120.0 | 99.0 |
| Himantura uarnacoides | 70.0 | 112.0 | 91.6 | 72.0 | 112.0 | 95.5 | 70.0 | 98.0 | 82.2 | 71.0 | 115.0 | 99.7 | 71.0 | 112.0 | 95.0 | 70.0 | 113.0 | 94.0 |
| Himantura uarnak | 121.0 | 121.0 | 121.0 | 80.0 | 121.0 | 95.8 | 80.0 | 91.0 | 84.2 | 122.0 | 122.0 | 122.0 |  |  |  | 80.0 | 80.0 | 80.0 |
| Himantura undulata |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Himantura walga |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mobula thurstoni | 44.5 | 44.5 | 44.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Neotrygon kuhlii | 18.0 | 32.0 | 25.9 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 26.7 | 21.0 | 32.0 | 27.3 | 15.5 | 32.0 | 23.4 | 24.0 | 32.0 | 28.2 | 20.0 | 32.0 | 27.5 |
| Pastinachus atrus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\qquad$ | 75.0 | 84.0 | 78.0 | 83.0 | 83.0 | 83.0 | 75.0 | 84.0 | 79.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rhinobatos borneensis |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52.0 | 67.0 | 59.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rhinoptera jayakari |  |  |  | 36.5 | 83.0 | 52.0 | 37.0 | 38.0 | 37.5 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| $\qquad$ | 109.0 | 168.0 | 138.5 | 74.0 | 74.0 | 74.0 | 165.0 | 165.0 | 165.0 | 104.0 | 110.0 | 107.0 | 59.0 | 80.0 | 72.3 | 104.0 | 110.0 | 107.0 |
| Taeniura lymma | 23.0 | 25.0 | 24.3 | 24.0 | 25.0 | 24.8 | 25.0 | 30.0 | 27.5 | 23.0 | 34.0 | 27.7 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 22.0 | 25.0 | 24.0 |

Table 35A (ii): Size Range of Rays Species (Disc Length) Except for Rhinobatos borneensis and Rhynchobatus australiae (Total Length) for Six Months at Sandakan (Sandakan Fish Market Jetty) from February to July 2016

|  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Feb |  |  | Mar |  |  | Apr |  |  | May |  |  | Jun |  |  | JuI |  |  |
|  | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave |
| Ray |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ave |
| Aetobatus ocellatus | 75.0 | 81.0 | 78.0 | 81.0 | 81.0 | 81.0 |  |  |  | 33.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 75.0 |  |  |  |
| Dasyatis zugei |  |  |  | 20.0 | 30.0 | 25.4 | 27.0 | 30.0 | 28.5 |  |  |  | 23.0 | 30.0 | 26.3 | 19.0 | 29.0 | 23.5 |
| Himantura fai | 63.0 | 71.0 | 67.0 | 75.0 | 99.0 | 82.5 | 63.0 | 107.0 | 88.7 | 99.0 | 106.0 | 101.3 | 62.0 | 99.0 | 80.5 |  |  |  |
| Himantura gerrardi | 31.0 | 62.0 | 44.2 | 32.0 | 61.0 | 42.8 | 24.0 | 63.0 | 43.9 | 23.0 | 63.0 | 40.8 | 20.0 | 54.0 | 32.4 | 19.0 | 63.0 | 34.9 |
| Himantura jenkinsii | 35.0 | 58.0 | 45.7 | 88.0 | 90.0 | 89.0 | 89.0 | 89.0 | 89.0 | 38.0 | 88.0 | 57.4 | 37.0 | 89.0 | 63.0 | 59.0 | 59.0 | 59.0 |
| Himantura leoparda | 65.0 | 99.0 | 82.0 | 65.0 | 65.0 | 65.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 65.0 | 120.0 | 102.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |  |  |
| Himantura uarnacoides | 56.0 | 84.0 | 70.3 | 73.0 | 111.0 | 91.3 | 115.0 | 115.0 | 115.0 | 71.0 | 99.0 | 82.4 | 57.0 | 115.0 | 86.0 |  |  |  |
| Himantura uarnak | 90.0 | 91.0 | 90.5 | 80.0 | 122.0 | 101.0 | 122.0 | 122.0 | 122.0 | 91.0 | 91.0 | 91.0 | 122.0 | 122.0 | 122.0 | 67.0 | 69.0 | 67.7 |
| Himantura undulata |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28.0 | 93.0 | 68.3 |
| Himantura walga |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17.0 | 24.0 | 20.7 |
| Mobula thurstoni |  |  |  | 44.5 | 44.5 | 44.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Neotrygon kuhlii | 20.0 | 31.0 | 27.3 | 19.0 | 32.0 | 26.8 | 16.0 | 32.0 | 23.4 | 16.0 | 33.0 | 26.4 | 15.5 | 30.5 | 22.3 | 13.0 | 30.0 | 20.9 |
| Pastinachus atrus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55.0 | 95.0 | 75.0 |
| Pastinachus gracilicaudus |  |  |  |  |  |  | 83.0 | 83.0 | 83.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 77.0 | 80.0 | 78.5 |
| Rhina ancylostoma |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rhinobatos borneensis | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51.0 | 67.0 | 57.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rhinoptera jayakari | 53.0 | 53.0 | 53.0 | 53.0 | 53.0 | 53.0 | 36.5 | 49.8 | 43.2 | 39.8 | 39.8 | 39.8 |  |  |  | 63.0 | 63.0 | 63.0 |
| Rhynchobatus australiae | 56.0 | 103.0 | 78.4 | 102.0 | 102.0 | 102.0 | 92.0 | 165.0 | 128.5 | 56.0 | 107.0 | 77.9 | 57.0 | 85.0 | 68.3 | 85.0 | 85.0 | 85.0 |
| Taeniura lymma | 23.0 | 34.0 | 26.5 | 23.0 | 34.0 | 26.20 |  |  |  | 24.0 | 34.0 | 27.6 | 24.0 | 34.0 | 26.2 | 24.0 | 34.0 | 28.7 |

Table 35B (i): Size Range of Sharks (Total Length) for Six Months at Sandakan (Sandakan Fish Market Jetty) from August 2015 to

|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Aug |  |  | Sep |  |  | Oct |  |  | Nov |  |  | Dec |  |  | Jan |  |  |
|  | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave |
| Shark |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Atelomycterus marmoratus | 47.0 | 95.0 | 61.5 | 54.0 | 57.0 | 55.2 | 54.0 | 58.0 | 55.5 | 54.0 | 74.0 | 64.0 | 58.0 | 60.0 | 59.0 | 53.0 | 58.0 | 55.3 |
| Carcharhinus brevipinna |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 98.0 | 100.0 | 99.0 |
| Carcharhinus leucas | 117.0 | 123.0 | 119.0 | 160.0 | 160.0 | 160.0 |  |  |  | 123.0 | 160.0 | 147.0 | 123.0 | 123.0 | 123.0 |  |  |  |
| Carcharhinus limbatus |  |  |  | 58.0 | 86.0 | 68.0 | 84.0 | 84.0 | 84.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59.0 | 59.0 | 59.0 |
| Carcharhinus sealei | 50.0 | 55.0 | 53.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 58.0 | 56.5 | 55.0 | 57.0 | 56.0 |
| Carcharhinus sorrah | 73.0 | 186.0 | 90.9 | 72.0 | 93.0 | 83.9 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 55.0 | 120.0 | 85.6 | 82.0 | 92.0 | 87.0 | 71.0 | 92.0 | 83.2 |
| Chiloscyllium plagiosum | 42.0 | 99.0 | 63.6 | 42.0 | 73.0 | 60.2 | 42.0 | 71.0 | 62.5 | 61.0 | 80.0 | 70.6 |  |  |  | 42.0 | 73.0 | 58.5 |
| Chiloscyllium punctatum | 40.0 | 82.0 | 67.2 | 50.0 | 82.0 | 70.1 | 40.0 | 82.0 | 66.1 | 40.0 | 83.0 | 65.5 | 56.0 | 82.0 | 70.1 | 41.0 | 82.0 | 61.5 |
| Galeocerdo cuvier | 77.0 | 95.0 | 89.5 | 77.00 | 77.00 | 77.00 |  |  |  | 93.0 | 93.0 | 93.0 | 77.0 | 77.0 | 77.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 94.0 |
| Hemigaleus microstoma | 47.0 | 95.0 | 64.3 |  |  |  | 47.0 | 96.0 | 65.4 | 54.0 | 95.0 | 70.7 | 47.0 | 95.0 | 61.2 |  |  |  |
| Hemipristis elongata | 64.0 | 105.0 | 84.5 | 64.0 | 108.0 | 96.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 64.0 | 109.0 | 86.5 |
| Rhizoprionodon acutus | 41.0 | 55.0 | 48.1 | 41.0 | 55.0 | 49.3 | 46.0 | 54.0 | 49.7 | 46.0 | 54.0 | 50.0 | 45.0 | 52.0 | 49.7 | 46.0 | 54.0 | 48.5 |
| Sphyrna lewini | 50.0 | 57.0 | 53.4 | 53.0 | 54.0 | 53.5 | 52.0 | 54.0 | 53.3 | 50.0 | 82.0 | 61.2 |  |  |  | 51.0 | 57.0 | 54.3 |
| Stegostoma fasciatum | 102.0 | 202.0 | 144.2 | 181.0 | 201.0 | 191.0 |  |  |  | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 106.0 | 185.0 | 158.3 | 107.0 | 107.0 | 107.0 |

Table 35B (ii): Size Range of Sharks (Total Length) for Six Months at Sandakan (Sandakan Fish Market Jetty) from February to July

|  | Feb ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Mar 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shark | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave | Min | Max | Ave |
| Atelomycterus marmoratus | 54.0 | 74.0 | 61.3 | 54.00 | 58.00 | 56.33 | 48.0 | 74.0 | 56.1 | 49.0 | 74.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carcharhinus <br> brevipinna <br> Carcharhinus leucas |  |  |  |  |  | 56.33 | 48.0 | 74.0 | 56.1 | 49.0 | 74.0 | 60.5 | 49.5 74.0 | 57.0 74.0 | 53.9 74.0 | 48.0 74.0 | 60.5 89.0 | 53.6 81.6 |
| Carcharhinus limbatus | 57.0 | 84.0 | 70.5 |  |  |  | 60 | 86.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carcharhinus sealei |  |  |  | 55.00 | 56.00 | 55.50 |  | 86.0 | 73.0 |  |  |  | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 |  |  |  |
| Carcharhinus sorrah | 55.0 | 86.0 | 76.0 | 55.00 | 92.00 | 80.78 | 55.0 | 120.0 | 83.3 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | 41.0 | 58.0 | 51.4 |
| Chiloscyllium |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55.0 | 120.0 | 83.3 | 55.0 | 93.0 | 80.0 | 46.0 | 106.0 | 70.2 | 46.0 | 135.0 | 71.3 |
| plagiosum | 41.0 | 80.0 | 62.9 | 62.00 | 72.00 | 67.20 | 72.5 | 74.5 | 73.7 | 42.0 | 80.0 | 69.8 | 42.0 | 72.3 | 66.3 | 42.0 | 72.0 | 62.3 |
| punctatum | 40.0 | 82.0 | 67.0 | 44.0 | 80.0 | 56.8 | 40.0 | 82.0 | 67.0 | 43.0 | 83.0 | 71.4 | 49.0 | 86.0 | 73.3 | 46.0 | 82.0 | 63.6 |
| Galeocerdo cuvier |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 86.0 |  | 46.0 | 82.0 | 63.6 |
| Hemigaleus microstoma | 54.00 | 61.00 | 57.50 | 47.0 | 95.0 | 67.7 |  |  |  | 54.0 | 95.0 | 70.7 | 43.5 | 63.0 | 53.3 | 54.0 | 63.0 |  |
| Hemipristis elongata | 64.00 | 64.00 | 64.00 |  |  |  | 64.0 | 108.0 | 92.3 | 105.0 | 107.0 | 106.0 |  |  |  | 148.0 | 148.0 | 148.0 |
| Rhizoprionodon acutus | 55.00 | 55.00 | 55.00 | 46.0 | 53.0 | 49.5 | 46.0 | 55.0 | 50.4 | 46.0 | 54.0 | 48.8 | 46.0 | 55.5 | 50.0 | 47.0 | 148.0 | $\frac{148.0}{50.3}$ |
| Sphyrna lewini | 51.00 | 51.00 | 51.00 | 50.0 | 56.0 | 53.3 | 51.0 | 56.0 | 53.8 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 59.0 | 65.5 | 62.3 | 50.0 | 55.0 | 52.3 |
| Stegostoma fasciatum | 107.00 | 107.00 | 107.00 |  |  |  | 201.0 | 201.0 | 201.0 |  |  |  | 197.0 | 197.0 | 197.0 |  |  | 52.3 |

### 2.4.7 Usage and Marketing

The scenario for usage and marketing for sharks and rays in Sandakan is more or less are similar to Kota Kinabalu. Sharks and rays are mainly consumed locally. For rays, the catches are for local consumption as well as to fullfill demand from Peninsular Malaysia. At Sandakan Fish Market jetty, wholesale price of rays are between the range of RM0.80 RM4/kg depanding on the species. Neotrygon kuhlii and Dasyatis zugei are priced as cheap as RM 0.80/kg while Pastinachus atrus, Rhinobatos borneensis and Rhychobatus australiae can fetch a price as high as RM4/kg. The prices are eventually doubled or even more once the rays sold at the fish markets. Ray's skin can fetch a bigger price than the meat. The prices are varied according to species and size of skin. Ray's skin is processed before being sent to Kuala Lumpur by plane or container. The prices are varied according to species and size of skin.

For sharks, shark meat are mostly to cater domestic demand and sold mainly at fish wet markets in Kota Kinabalu. While shark fins soup are still served in some chinese restaurants in Sandakan, some are sent mainly to Peninsular Malaysia. Apart from the fin and meat, other parts of sharks such as the teeth, jaw and skin are all fully utilised. For example, sharks teeths and jaws are used as souvenirs and shark head's skin are considered as a new delicacy.

Whole sharks body, without the fins, are sold between RM0.80 - RM2.50/kg at Sandakan Fish Market jetty. The prices however increased to double or even triple once its reach the fish markets. For example, Carcharhinus sorrah are sold up to RM2.50/kg at Sandakan Fish Market jetty but the price doubled at nearby fish markets. The details of the price range and market destination by species is shown in Table 36. Small, medium and big size category for each species is as shown in Appendix IV

Table 36: Price of Sharks and Rays by Species and Market Destination in Sandakan

|  | Range Price <br> (RM/kg) | Part | Market Destination |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Ray | $1.5-3.0$ | Whole body | Local (Sandakan), Peninsular <br> Malaysia |
| Aetobatus ocellatus | $0.8-1.0$ | Whole body | Local (Sandakan) |
| Dasyatis zugei | $1.5-3.5$ | Whole body, skin | Local (Sandakan), Peninsular <br> Malaysia; Skin sold to Peninsular <br> Malaysia |
| Himantura fai | $0.8-2.5$ | Whole body, skin | Local (Sandakan), Peninsular <br> Malaysia; Skin sold to Peninsular <br> Malaysia |
| Himantura gerrardi | $1.5-3.5$ | Whole body, skin | Local (Sandakan), Peninsular <br> Malaysia; Skin sold to Peninsular <br> Malaysia |
| Himantura jenkinsii | $1.5-3.5$ | Whole body, skin | Local (Sandakan), Peninsular <br> Malaysia; Skin sold to Peninsular <br> Malaysia |
| Himantura leoparda | $1.5-3.5$ | Whole body, skin | Local (Sandakan), Peninsular <br> Malaysia; Skin sold to Peninsular <br> Malaysia |
| Himantura uarnacoides | $1.5-3.5$ | Whole body, skin | Local (Sandakan), Peninsular <br> Malaysia; Skin sold to Peninsular <br> Malaysia |
| Himantura uarnak |  |  |  |


|  | Range Price <br> (RM/kg) | Part | Market Destination |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Himantura undulata | $1.5-3.5$ | Whole body, skin | Local (Sandakan), Peninsular <br> Malaysia; Skin sold to Peninsular <br> Malaysia |
| Himantura walga | $1.0-1.2$ | Whole body | Local (Sandakan) |
| Mobula thurstoni | $1.0-2.0$ | Whole body | Local (Sandakan) |
| Neotrygon kuhlii | $0.8-2.0$ | Whole body | Local (Sandakan), Peninsular <br> Malaysia |
| Pastinachus atrus | $3.0-4.0$ | Whole body, skin | Local (Sandakan), Peninsular <br> Malaysia |
| Pastinachus gracilicaudus | $1.5-3.0$ | Whole body, skin | Local (Sandakan), Peninsular <br> Malaysia |
| Rhina ancylostoma | $1.5-2.0$ | Whole body, fins | Local (Sandakan) |
| Rhinobatos borneensis | $1.5-4.0$ | Whole body, fins | Local (Sandakan) |
| Rhinoptera jayakari | $1.0-3.0$ | Whole body | Local (Sandakan) |
| Rhychobatus australiae | $1.5-4.0$ | Whole body, fins | Local (Sandakan), Peninsular <br> Malaysia |
| Taeniura lymma | $0.8-2.0$ | Whole body | Local (Sandakan) |
| Sharks |  |  | Market Destination |
| Atelomycterus marmoratus | $1.0-1.2$ | Whole body | (Local) Sandakan |
| Carcharhinus brevipinna | $0.8-2.0$ | Whole body | (Local) Sandakan |
| Carcharhinus leucas | $1.5-2.5$ | Whole body | (Local) Sandakan |
| Carcharhinus limbatus | $1.0-2.0$ | Whole body | (Local) Sandakan |
| Carcharhinus sealei | $0.8-2.5$ | Whole body | (Local) Sandakan |
| Carcharhinus sorrah | $1.0-2.5$ | Whole body | (Local) Sandakan |
| Chiloscyllium plagiosum | $0.8-1.5$ | Whole body | (Local) Sandakan |
| Chiloscyllium punctatum | $0.8-1.5$ | Whole body | (Local) Sandakan |
| Galeocerdo cuvier | $1.0-1.5$ | Whole body | (Local) Sandakan |
| Hemigaleus microstoma | $0.8-1.5$ | Whole body | (Local) Sandakan |
| Hemipristis elongata | $1.0-2.0$ | Whole body | (Local) Sandakan |
| Heterodontus zebra | $1.0-1.5$ | Whole body | (Local) Sandakan |
| Loxodon macrorhinus | $0.8-2.0$ | Whole body | (Local) Sandakan |
| Rhizoprionodon acutus | $0.8-1.5$ | Whole body | (Local) Sandakan |
| Sphyrna lewini | $0.8-2.0$ | Whole body | (Local) Sandakan |
|  |  |  |  |

2.4.8 Catch Per Unit Effort (CPUE)
Table 37: Days at operation by gears sampled during the study period in Sabah (Kota Kinabalu and Sandakan)

| Full Gear | 2015 |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul |  |
| Trawl Net Zone 2 | 19 | 26 | 12 | 12 | 52 | 12 | 6 | 18 | 18 | 20 | 25 | 18 | 238 |
| Trawl Net Zone 3 | 162 | 139 | 135 | 129 | 109 | 121 | 123 | 121 | 108 | 132 | 116 | 33 | 1,428 |
| Trawl Net Zone 4 | 69 | 62 | 66 | 100 | 56 | 81 | 111 | 110 | 91 | 93 | 81 |  | 920 |
| Trawl Net Zone 5 | 8 |  |  |  | 22 | 29 | 7 |  | 15 |  | 13 |  | 94 |
| Table 38: Numbers of operation by gears sampled during the study period in Sabah (Kota Kinabalu and Sandakan) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full Gear | 2015 |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
|  | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul |  |
| Trawl Net Zone 2 | 51 | 76 | 29 | 35 | 148 | 26 | 16 | 42 | 48 | 54 | 63 | 46 | 634 |
| Trawl Net Zone 3 | 499 | 405 | 423 | 390 | 315 | 352 | 353 | 365 | 342 | 398 | 337 | 95 | 4,274 |
| Trawl Net Zone 4 | 206 | 186 | 209 | 307 | 165 | 240 | 327 | 328 | 278 | 284 | 257 |  | 2,787 |
| Trawl Net Zone 5 | 24 |  |  |  | 66 | 87 | 21 |  | 45 |  | 39 |  | 282 |

The top 10 catch per unit effort (CPUE) ray species captured by trawl net, combined for Kota Kinabalu and Sandakan, differed between zone. For zone 3, Himantura gerrardi topped the list with 1.21 kg per days or 0.40 kg per hauls, followed by Neotrygon kuhlii with 1.07 kg per days or 0.36 per hauls. For zone 4, Neotrygon kuhlii was on top of the list with 1.48 kg per days or 0.49 kg per hauls compare with Himantura fai with 1.00 kg per days or 0.33 kg per hauls. The same species that dominated in both zone 3 and zone 4 are Himantura gerrardi, H. fai, H. uarnacoides, H. leoparda, H. uarnak, Neotrygon kuhlii, Pastinachus gracilicaudus, Dasyatis zugei and Rhynchobatus australiae.

The first 3 species of sharks in the top 10 catch per unit effort (CPUE) for both zone 3 and zone 4 were in the same order, with Chiloscyllium punctatum on the top, followed by Chiloscyllium plagiosum and Carcharhinus sorrah. In terms of CPUE (kg/days), Chiloscyllium punctatum recorded 1.15, C. plagiosum with 0.50 and Carcharhinus sorrah with 0.42 in zone 3 compare to $1.12,0.39$ and 0.36 in zone 4 respectively. The top 10 CPUE of rays and sharks species captured by trawl net zone 3 and zone are shown in Table 39A and Table 39B.

Table 39A: Top 10 CPUE ray species captured by Trawl Net Zone 3 during the study period in Sabah (Kota Kinabalu and Sandakan)

|  | Scientific Name | Total weight <br> (kg) by Species | CPUE <br> (kg/days) | CPUE <br> (kg/hauls) |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Himantura gerrardi | 1721.4 | 1.21 | 0.40 |
| 2 | Neotrygon kuhlii | 1525.7 | 1.07 | 0.36 |
| 3 | Himantura fai | 1149.0 | 0.80 | 0.27 |
| 4 | Himantura uarnacoides | 775.7 | 0.54 | 0.18 |
| 5 | Himantura jenkinsii | 728.8 | 0.51 | 0.17 |
| 6 | Himantura leoparda | 660.0 | 0.46 | 0.15 |
| 7 | Himantura uarnak | 414.9 | 0.29 | 0.10 |
| 8 | Pastinachus gracilicaudus | 414.7 | 0.29 | 0.10 |
| 9 | Dasyatis zugei | 371.0 | 0.26 | 0.09 |
| 10 | Rhynchobatus australiae | 213.0 | 0.15 | 0.05 |

Table 39B: Top 10 CPUE ray species captured by Trawl Net Zone 4 during the study period in Sabah (Kota Kinabalu and Sandakan)

|  | Scientific Name | Total weight <br> (kg) by Species | CPUE <br> (kg/days) | CPUE <br> (kg/hauls) |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Neotrygon kuhlii | $1,358.0$ | 1.48 | 0.49 |
| 2 | Himantura fai | 921.3 | 1.00 | 0.33 |
| 3 | Himantura uarnacoides | 638.0 | 0.69 | 0.23 |
| 4 | Himantura gerrardi | 637.3 | 0.69 | 0.23 |
| 5 | Himantura leoparda | 589.3 | 0.64 | 0.21 |
| 6 | Dasyatis zugei | 479.9 | 0.52 | 0.17 |
| 7 | Himantura uarnak | 393.1 | 0.43 | 0.14 |
| 8 | Rhinoptera jayakari | 280.7 | 0.31 | 0.10 |
| 9 | Rhynchobatus australiae | 264.9 | 0.29 | 0.10 |

Table 39C: Top 10 CPUE shark species captured by Trawl Net Zone 3 during the study period in Sabah (Kota Kinabalu and Sandakan)

|  | Scientific Name | Total weight <br> (kg) by Species | CPUE <br> (kg/days) | CPUE <br> (kg/hauls) |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Chiloscyllium punctatum | $1,643.6$ | 1.15 | 0.38 |
| 2 | Chiloscyllium plagiosum | 713.6 | 0.50 | 0.17 |
| 3 | Carcharhinus sorrah | 603.5 | 0.42 | 0.14 |
| 4 | Carcharhinus leucas | 211.0 | 0.15 | 0.05 |
| 5 | Stegostoma fasciatum | 187.5 | 0.13 | 0.04 |
| 6 | Sphyrna lewini | 129.2 | 0.09 | 0.03 |
| 7 | Atelomycterus marmoratus | 107.9 | 0.08 | 0.03 |
| 8 | Hemipristis elongata | 83.8 | 0.06 | 0.02 |
| 9 | Alopias pelagicus | 56.5 | 0.04 | 0.01 |
| 10 | Hemigaleus microstoma | 47.3 | 0.03 | 0.01 |

Table 39D: Top 10 CPUE shark species captured by Trawl Net Zone 4 during the study period in Sabah (Kota Kinabalu and Sandakan)

|  | Scientific Name | Total weight <br> (kg) by Species | CPUE <br> (kg/days) | CPUE <br> (kg/hauls) |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Chiloscyllium punctatum | $1,028.7$ | 1.12 | 0.37 |
| 2 | Chiloscyllium plagiosum | 354.7 | 0.39 | 0.13 |
| 3 | Carcharhinus sorrah | 332.3 | 0.36 | 0.12 |
| 4 | Stegostoma fasciatum | 149.5 | 0.16 | 0.05 |
| 5 | Atelomycterus marmoratus | 98.6 | 0.11 | 0.04 |
| 6 | Carcharhinus leucas | 86.0 | 0.09 | 0.03 |
| 7 | Sphyrna lewini | 78.9 | 0.09 | 0.03 |
| 8 | Alopias pelagicus | 76.0 | 0.08 | 0.03 |
| 9 | Hemipristis elongata | 24.7 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| 10 | Carcharhinus brevipinna | 22.6 | 0.02 | 0.01 |

### 3.0 OUTPUT AND OUTCOME

The project outputs and outcomes are summarised in Table 40 as shown below.

## Table 40: Output and Outcome

| No | Output | Outcome |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Thirteen (13) trained personnel in sharks and <br> rays taxonomy from the Department of ofe <br> Fisheries Malaysia and Fisheries Department <br> of Sabah. | Trained staffs are now able to make <br> the right and valid identification of <br> species. Training materials stored <br> electronically and easy to excess. |
| 2. | A standardised format for data collection for <br> national activity produced. | Improved technique of data collection <br> for implementation at national level. |
| 3. | Detailed information on the percentages of <br> sharks and rays from the total landing at | Confirmed earlier data published in <br> Malaysian National Statistics. Sharks |


| No | Output | Outcome |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | project sites. | and rays were not targeted and <br> contributed to less than 2\% of total <br> marine landing. |
| 4. | Information on relative dominance of the <br> different species of sharks and rays obtained. | Increased awareness of needs and <br> measures for shark conservation and <br> management on specific species. |
| 5. | Information on the monthly fluctuation of the <br> different species of sharks and rays obtained. | Trends of landings by species <br> analysed for national level <br> management. |
| 6. | Information on usage and marketing of the <br> landed sharks and rays were obtained from the <br> project. | Confirmed earlier report in current <br> NPOA-Sharks that all sharks and rays <br> are landed whole, fully utilised with <br> no finning activities onboard vessels. |
| 7. | A report on landing of sharks and rays up to <br> species level from two sites in Perak and Sabah <br> respectively. | Data recording on sharks and rays <br> will be improved from generic terms <br> 'sharks' and 'rays' to species level. |
| 8. | Issues and problems arising from this activity <br> identified and improvements made especially <br> with the data collection format. | Development of a comprehensive <br> national data collection system for <br> sharks and rays as part of the National <br> Plan of Action Sharks |
| 9. | Specimens collected during sampling activities <br> deposited for future reference. | A national repository for <br> elasmobranchs has been established at |
| the Fisheries Research Institute, Kg. |  |  |
| Acheh, Perak and Fisheries Research |  |  |
| Centre, Likas, Kota Kinabalu. |  |  |

### 4.0 FUTURE ACTIVITIES

Malaysia is highly commited in managing and conserving its sharks and rays. Some future activities had been underlined, as follows;
i. Continuing to record landing data up to species level at the existing sites.
ii. Extending the program to other states in Malaysia.
iii. Seeking national funding to;
a. Continue the sharks data collection program
b. Conduct trainings/courses at national level
c. Attend meetings and seminars at national and international level
d. Conduct public awareness
e. Publish materials (posters, templates, identification manuals)
iv. Using the current program finding to ;
a. Conduct Non-detriment Findings (NDFs) study on sharks.
b. Rectify various issues concerning sharks management ant national and international level.
c. Provide input for the next Malaysia NPOA-Shark.
v. Conducting training for fisheries staff on sharks data collection (SEAFDEC, Terengganu and on-sites)
vi. Continuing public awareness campaign, such as on the current regulation of listing on endangered species, government policy on not serving shark fin soup during official events and rectifying the misconception of 'shark finning' and 'shark fishing' terms.
vii. Enhancing enforcement capacity through relevant training, such as the identification of sharks and rays species and its parts.
viii. Expending the ongoing study on the usage and marketing, as well as the socioeconomy related to sharks and rays in Sabah, to other states of Malaysia.

### 5.0 CONCLUSION

A project on recording landing data of sharks and rays up to species level was conducted in two districts in the State of Perak and Sabah respectively. During this project thirteen (13) staff from Department of Fisheries Malaysia and Department of Fisheries Sabah trained in taxonomy and in data collection using the agreed regional format. Two facing the Straits of Malacca, namely Larut Matang and Manjung Utara in Perak, and Kota Kinabalu and Sandakan in Sabah were selected as the study sites, as they were the main landing sites of sharks and rays in the states. The landing data were collected at thirteen (13) jetties in Perak and two (2) jetties in Sabah.

A total of thirty three (33) species of rays from five (5) Order and nine (9) Families while twenty (20) species of sharks from four (4) Order and five (5) Families were recorded during the study period in Perak.

Larut Matang recorded nineteen (19) species of rays from three (3) Orders and five (5) Families, and fourteen (14) spesies of sharks from two (2) Orders and three (3) Families. Whereas Manjung Utara recorded fourteen (14) species of rays from two (2) Order and four (4) Families, and six (6) species of sharks from two (2) Orders and three (3) Families. Details are shown in Appendix II. In term of percentage of total marin landings, rays and sharks contributed $2.03 \%$ and $0.56 \%$ at Larut Matang, while for Manjung Utara at $1.38 \%$ and $0.38 \%$ for rays and sharks respectively.

The most abundant sharks species at Larut Matang were Chiloscyllium hasseltii, Chiloscyllium punctatum, Atelomycterus marmoratus and Carcharhinus sorrah while for rays were Neotrygon kuhlii, Himantura gerrardi, Himatura walga and Dasyatis zugei. The most abundant sharks species at Manjung Utara were Chiloscyllium hasseltii, Chiloscyllium punctatum and Atelomycterus marmoratus while for rays were Himatura walga, Himantura gerrardi, Neotrygon kuhlii, and Dasyatis zugei.

A total of twenty one (21) species of sharks from five (5) Orders and eleven (11) Families while twenty five (25) spesies of rays from two (2) Orders and eight (8) Families were recorded during the study period in Sabah. Kota Kinabalu recorded the highest with seventeen (17) species of sharks and twenty (20) rays compare to Sandakan with fourteen (14) species of sharks and nineteen (19) rays (Appendix II). For Sabah, the landings of sharks and rays were also minimal, with the contribution of $0.24 \%$ and $0.39 \%$ at Kota Kinabalu, and $0.53 \%$ and $1.81 \%$ at Sandakan respectively. These figures confirmed earlier data as
published in Malaysian National Statistics that sharks and rays were only by-catch and not targeted and contributed less than $2 \%$ of the total marine landing.

For Sabah, the most abundant sharks species at Kota Kinabalu were Chiloscyllium punctatum followed by Chiloscyllium plagiosum and Atelomycterus marmoratus and rays Neotrygon kuhlii followed by Himantura gerrardi and Dasyatis zugei. The most common sharks species were Chiloscyllium punctatum, Chiloscyllium hasseltii and Carcharhinus sorrah while for rays Neotrygon kuhlii, followed by Himantura gerrardi and Dasyatis zugei.

In the district of Sandakan, the most abundant sharks species were Chiloscyllium punctatum followed by Carcharhinus sorrah and Chiloscyllium plagiosum, and rays Neotrygon kuhlii followed by Himantura gerrardi and Taeniura lymma. The most common sharks species were Chiloscyllium punctatum, Carcharhinus sorrah, Atelomycterus marmoratus and Rhizoprionodon acutus while for rays Neotrygon kuhlii, followed by Himantura gerrardi, $H$. jenkinsii and Rhynchobatus australiae.

In Perak, sharks and rays were caught mainly by trawl nets. Other gears used were longlines and drift nets. In Sabah, trawl net is the main gear to catch sharks and rays.

The top 10 catch per unit effort (CPUE) ( $\mathrm{kg} /$ days and $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hauls}$ ) for rays species captured by trawl net Zone C in Perak were Neotrygon kuhlii, Himantura gerrardi and Himantura walga, while for sharks were dominated by Chiloscyllium hasseltii, Chiloscyllium punctatum and Carcharhinus sorrah.

The top 10 catch per unit effort (CPUE) ray and shark species captured by trawl net, combined for Kota Kinabalu and Sandakan, were determined in zone 3 and zone 4. For ray, Himantura gerrardi topped the list, followed by Neotrygon kuhlii and Himantura fai in zone 3. In zone 4, Neotrygon kuhlii was the main species, followed by Himantura fai and Himantura uarnacoides. For shark, the top 3 species for both zone 3 and zone 4 were in the same order, with Chiloscyllium punctatum came first, followed by Chiloscyllium plagiosum and Carcharhinus sorrah.

Usage and marketing information from this study confirmed that all sharks and rays were landed whole, fully utilised with no finning activities on board of vessels.
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SAMPLE OF STANDARD FORM Data Collection Project on Sharks and Rays (SEAFDEC)

| Country |  | State/Province |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Landing Site |  | Day/Month/Year |  |
| Name of Enumerator |  | Record No |  |

Vessel Information

| Type of Fishing Gear |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vessel Name |  | Registration No |  |
| GRT |  | No of Crew |  |

Trip Information

| Days at Sea |  | Days at Operation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total Number of Operation |  |  |

Fishing Ground Information

| Fishing Zone |  | Depth (average) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Distance from port |  | Distance from coastline |  |
| Longitude | Latitude |  |  |

Gear Information (Select and Check One Gear below)
$\square$ Trawl Net

| Width of Mouth | $(\mathrm{m})$ | Height of Mouth | $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Length of Net | $(\mathrm{m})$ | Mesh Size (Cod End) | $(\mathrm{cm})$ |
| No of Operation/day | (times) | Time of Operation/haul | (hours) |
| Vessel Speed | (knot) | Fishing Layer | Mid / Bottom |Gill Net/Drift Net


| Length of Net | (m) | Height of Net | (m) |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Fishing Layer |  | Mesh Size | $(\mathrm{cm})$ |
| No of Operation/day |  | Time of Operation/haul | (hours) |Hook and Line / Troll


| No of Hooks |  | Size of Hook | Cm |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Time of Operation/day | (hours) | Vessel Speed | (knot) |

Longline

| Total No of Hooks |  | Size of Hook | Cm |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Length of Mainline | $(\mathrm{km})$ | Fishing Layer | Mid/Bottom |
| No of Operation/day | (times) | Time of Operation/set | (hours) |

$\square$ Purse Seine

| Length of Net | (m) | Mesh Size (Bunt) | (cm) |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| No of Operation/day | (times) | Duration of Operation | (hours) |
| Fish Searching | Luring / FADs / Wild / Others ( | ) |  |

## Other gears:

## A. Standard Operation Procedure:

1. This form is for a single sampling vessel.
2. Collect all fish (sharks and rays) if catch is less than 50 tails or $10-20 \%$ of the landed catch if more than 50 tails. Take samples randomly.
3. Separate them by species and sex.
4. Record Total Length-Weight for all sharks, rays and skates from the Family Pristidae, Rhynchobatidae, Rhinidae, Rhinobatidae, Narcinidae and Narkidae. Measure Disc Length-Weight for other ray species.
5. Measured Pre Caudal Length (PCL) for Alopias spp or other sharks and rays (Rhynchobatidae, Rhinidae, Rhinobatidae) if tail damage or cut.
6. Record total weight of all sharks and rays by species.
7. Record total weight of commercial bony fish and trash fish.
B. Length-weight of sharks

| No | Species | Sex | TL | Wgt (kg) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

C. Actual Weight of Sharks by Species

| No | Species | Weight (Kg) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

C. Length-weight of rays

| No | Species | Sex | DL or DW | Wgt (kg) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## D. Actual Weight of Rays by Species

| No | Species | Weight (Kg) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Note:

All sharks and rays specimens should be measured and weighed if total number are less than 50 tails/boat

If total numbers are more than 50 tails, only 10-20\% (multi size and sex) should be selected for length - weight measurement.

## 3. Total Catch of Sampling Vessel (kg)

| No. | All <br> Sharks | All <br> Rays | Commercial <br> Bony Fish | Trash Fish | TOTAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 5. Price of Sharks and Marketing Information (Local Currency)

| Species | Price/Kg <br> (Small size) | Price/Kg <br> (Medium <br> size) | Price/Kg <br> (Big size) <br> (Peso) | Market <br> Destination | Utilization |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Please record:
Small Size (TL/PCL): $\quad \mathrm{cm} \sim \mathrm{cm} c a$
Medium Size (TL/PCL): $\quad \mathrm{cm} \sim \mathrm{cm} c a$
Big Size (TL/PCL): $\quad \mathrm{cm} \sim \mathrm{cm} c a$
Small Size (kg):
$\mathrm{kg} \sim \mathrm{kg} c a$
Medium Size (kg):
Big Size (TL/PCL):
$\mathrm{kg} \sim \mathrm{kg} c a$
$\mathrm{kg} \sim \mathrm{kg} c a$

## 6. Price of Rays and Marketing Information (Local Currency)

| Name of Rays | Price/Kg <br> (Small size) | Price/Kg <br> (Medium <br> size) | Price/Kg <br> (Big size) | Market <br> Destination | Utilization |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Please record:

| Small Size (DL/TL/PCL): | $\mathrm{cm} \sim \mathrm{cm} c a$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Medium Size (DL/TL/PCL): | $\mathrm{cm} \sim \mathrm{cm} c a$ |
| Big Size (DL/TL/PCL): | $\mathrm{cm} \sim \mathrm{cm} c a$ |
|  |  |
| Small Size (kg): | $\mathrm{kg} \sim \mathrm{kg} c a$ |
| Medium Size (kg): | $\mathrm{kg} \sim \mathrm{kg} c a$ |
| Big Size (TL/PCL): | $\mathrm{kg} \sim \mathrm{kg} c a$ |

Note: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Appendix II

Checklist of Sharks and Rays Species Recorded During the Study Period

| No | Orders/Families | Site 1 | Site 2 | Site 3 | Site 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batoids/Rays |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORDER } \\ & \text { MYLIOBATIFORMES } \end{aligned}$ | Larut <br> Matang | Manjung Utara | Kota <br> Kinabalu | Sandakan |
|  | Family Dasyatidae |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Dasyatis akajei | 1 | + | + | + |
| 2 | Dasyatis thetidis | 1 | + | + | + |
| 3 | Dasyatis fluviorum | + | 1 | + | + |
| 4 | Dasyatis zugei | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | Himantura gerrardi | $/$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | Himantura cf. gerrardi | 1 | + | + | + |
| 7 | Dasyatis parvonigra |  |  | 1 |  |
| 8 | Himantura fai | 1 | 1 | 1 | $/$ |
| 9 | Himantura jenkinsii | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | Himantura pastinacoides | 1 | 1 | + | + |
| 11 | Himantura uarnak | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | Himantura uarnacoides | + | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 13 | Himantura granulata | + | + | + | + |
| 14 | Himantura walga | $/$ | / | + | $/$ |
| 15 | Himantura undulata | 1 | + | + | 1 |
| 16 | Himantura leoparda | $+$ | + | 1 | 1 |
| 17 | Neotrygon kuhlii | 1 | 1 | 1 | / |
| 18 | Taeniura lymma | + | + | 1 | 1 |
| 19 | Pastinachus gracilicaudus | + | + | $/$ | / |
| 20 | Taeniurops meyeni | $+$ | 1 | 1 |  |
| 21 | Pastinachus atrus | $+$ | + | + | 1 |
|  | Family Gymnuridae |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Gymnura poecilura | + | 1 | 1 | + |
| 23 | Gymnura japonica | + | + | 1 | + |
|  | Family Mobulidae |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | Mobula thurstoni | + | + | + | 1 |
| 25 | Mobula japanica | + | + | 1 | + |
|  | Family Rhinopteridae |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | Rhinoptera jayakari | + | + | $/$ | / |
|  | Family Myliobatidae |  | - |  |  |
| 27 | Aetobatus ocellatus | + | + | 1 | 1 |
| 28 | Aetomylaeus vespertilio | + | + | 1 | + |
|  | ORDER RHINOBATIFORMES |  |  |  |  |
|  | Family Rhinobatidae |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | Rhinobatos cf. borneensis | 1 | 1 | + | + |
| 30 | Rhinobatos borneensis | + | + | 1 | 1 |



| No | Orders/Families | Site 1 | Site 2 | Site 3 | Site 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | Chiloscyllium cf. hasseltii | $/$ | + | + | + |
| 21 | Chiloscyllium indicum | $/$ | $/$ | + | + |
| 22 | Chiloscyllium plagiosum | + |  | $/$ | $/$ |
| 23 | Chiloscyllium punctatum | $/$ | $/$ | $/$ | $/$ |
| 24 | Chiloscyllium sp. | $/$ | + | + | + |
| 25 | Stegostoma fasciatum | + | $/$ | $/$ | $/$ |
| 26 | Orectolobus leptolineatus | + | + | $/$ | + |
|  | ORDER <br>  <br> HETERODONTIFORMES |  |  |  |  |
|  | Family Heterodonitidae |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Heterodontus zebra | + | + | $/$ | + |
|  | ORDER SQUATINIFORMES |  |  |  |  |
|  | Family Squatinidae | + | + | + | + |
| 28 | Squatina tergocellatoides | + |  | $/$ | + |
|  | ORDER LAMNIFORMES |  |  |  |  |
|  | Family Alopidae | + | + | $/$ | + |
| 29 | Alopias pelagicus | 14 | 6 | 17 | 14 |
|  | Total shark species |  |  |  |  |



Photo 1: Malaysia National Workshop on Sharks and Rays Data Collection in Sandakan, Sabah, August 2015.


Photo 2: Monthly Data Collection on Sharks and Rays from August 2015 to August 2016


Photo 3: Training for project enumerators in SEAFDEC, Terengganu, June 2015


Photo 4 (i), (ii) \& (iii): 'On-site Training' at Sandakan Fish Market jetty during the National Workshop, August 2015


Photo 5: SAFMA Jetty, main landing site in Kota Kinabalu


Photo 6 (i) \& (ii): Kota Kinabalu enumerators in action at SAFMA jetty


Photo 7: Sandakan Fish Market Jetty, main landing site in Sandakan


Photo 8 (i) \& (ii): Sandakan enumerators in action at Sandakan Fish Market jetty.


Photo 9: Workshop on Data Validation, 12-13 April 2016 at FRI Kg. Acheh, Perak

Range size of small, medium and big by species (in cm ). Disc Length for all rays (except for species in family Rhinobatidae, Rhynchobatidae and Rhinidae) and Total Length for all shark species

| No. | Species | Perak (Larut Matang) |  |  | Sabah (Sandakan) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rays | Small | Medium | Big | Small | Medium | Big |
|  | Family Dasyatidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Dasyatis akajei | <25 | 25-40 | >40 |  |  |  |
| 2 | Dasyatis zugei | <20 | 20-26 | $>26$ |  |  |  |
| 3 | Himantura gerrardi | <19 | 19-50 | > 50 | <20 | 20-50 | $>50$ |
| 4 | Himantura fai |  |  |  | <20 | 20-50 | $>50$ |
| 5 | Himantura jenkinsii |  |  |  | <20 | 20-50 | $>50$ |
| 6 | Himantura pastinacoides | <25 | 26-45 | > 46 |  |  |  |
| 7 | Himantura uarnacoides |  |  |  | <20 | 20-50 | $>50$ |
| 8 | Himantura walga | <18 | 18-20 | >20 |  |  |  |
| 9 | Himantura leoparda |  |  |  | <20 | 20-50 | $>50$ |
| 10 | Neotrygon kuhlii | < 14 | 14-21 | >21 | $<20$ | 20-50 | $>50$ |
| 11 | Pastinachus gracilicaudus |  |  |  | $<50$ | 50-100 | $>100$ |
|  | Family Rhinopteridae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Rhinoptera jayakari |  |  |  | $<20$ | 20-50 | $>50$ |
|  | Family: Rhynchobatidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Rhynchobatus australiae | <40 | 40-100 | > 100 | < 50 | 50-100 | $>100$ |
|  | Family Rhinidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | Rhina ancylostoma |  |  |  | < 50 | 50-100 | $>100$ |
|  | Sharks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Family: Scyliorhinidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Atelomycterus marmoratus | $<32$ | 32-44 | $>44$ |  |  |  |
| 16 | Atelomycterus cf. erdmanni | <32 | 33-49 | $>50$ |  |  |  |
|  | Family Carcharhinidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Carcharhinus sorrah |  |  |  | $<50$ | 50-100 | $>100$ |
| 18 | Carcharhinus limbatus |  |  |  | $<50$ | 50-100 | $>100$ |
| 19 | Rhizoprionodon acutus |  |  |  | $<50$ | 50-100 | $>100$ |
|  | Family Sphyrnidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Sphyrna lewini |  |  |  | < 50 | 50-00 | $>100$ |
|  | Family Hemigaleidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | Hemigaleus microstoma |  |  |  | $<50$ | 50-100 | $>100$ |
|  | Family: Orectolobidae |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Chiloscyllium hasseltii | $<35$ | 40-50 | $>56$ |  |  |  |
| 23 | Chiloscyllium punctatum | $<35$ | 36-55 | $>56$ | $<50$ | 50-100 | $>100$ |

Check list of Sharks, rays, skates and chimaeras in Malaysia 2016 Sources of information: Darussalam. SEAFDEC/MFRDMD/SP/12. 557p
[2] Ahmad, A. and Lim, A.P.K. 2012. Field guide to sharks of the Southeast Asian Region. SEAFDEC/MFRDMD/SP/18. 210p
[3] Ahmad, A. and Lim, A.P.K., Fahmi, Dharmadhi and Tassapon, K. 2014. Field guide to rays, skates and chimaeras of the Southeast Asian
Region. SEAFDEC/MFRDMD/SP/25. 288p
[4] Last, P.R., White, W.T. and Pogonoski, J.J. 2010. Description of new sharks and rays from Borneo. CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research Paper No. 032. 165 pp
[5] Last, P.R., W.T. White., J.N. Caira., Dharmadi., Fahmi., K. Jensen., Annie, P.K.Lim., B.M. Manjaji-Matsumoto., G.J.P. Nyalor., J.J. Pogonoski., Stevens., J.D. and G.K. Yearsley. 2010. Sharks and rays of Borneo. CSIRO, Australia. 290 pp

| $[6]$ | Species recorded during EU-CITES project and SEAFDEC 2015-2016 Data collection Activities |
| :--- | :--- |
| $X^{1}$ | New record for Malaysia (Recorded in Sabah) |
| $X^{2}$ | New record for Malaysia (Recorded in Perak) |
| $X^{3}$ | New record for Malaysia (Recorded in Pahang) |
| $X^{4}$ | New record for Malaysia (Recorded in Sarawak) |
| $X^{5}$ | New record for Malaysia (Recorded in Terengganu) |
|  |  |


|  | SHARKS |  | $[1]$ | $[2]$ | $[3]$ | $[4]$ | $[5]$ | $[6]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | ORDER / Family /Scientific name | Common name (English) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HEXACHIFORMES | COW AND FRILLED <br> SHARKS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.Hexanchidae | Sixgill and sevengill sharks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Hexanchus griseus (Bonnaterre, 1788) | Bluntnose sixgill shark | X | X |  |  |  | X |


| 2 | Heptranchias perlo (Bonnaterre, 1788) | Sharpnose sevengill shark |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{X}^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SQUALIFORMES | DOGFISHES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Squalidae | Dogfish sharks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Squalus altipinnis Last, White \& Stevens, 2007 | Western highfin spurdog |  |  |  |  | X | X |
| 4 | Squalus megalops (Macleay, 1881) | Snortnose spurdog | X | X |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3. Centrophoridae | Gulper sharks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Centrophorus moluccensis Bleeker, 1860 | Smallfin gulper shark | X | X |  |  | X |  |
|  | SQUATINIFORMES | ANGEL SHARKS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4. Squatinidae | Angel sharks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Squatina tergocellatoides Chen, 1963 | Ocellated angelshark | X | X |  |  | X | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| 7 | Squatina sp. 1 | Brunei angelshark |  | X |  |  |  |  |
|  | HETERODONTIFORMES | BULLHEAD SHARKS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5. Heterodontidae | Bullhead sharks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Heterondontus zebra (Gray, 1831) | Zebra bullhead shark | X | X |  |  | X | X |
|  | ORECTOLOBIFORMES | CARPET SHARKS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6. Orectolobidae | Wobbegongs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Orectolobus leptolineatus Last, Pogonoski \& White, 2010. Identified as Orectolobus maculatus (Bonnaterre, 1788) in [1] | Indonesian wobbegong Spotted wobbegong |  | X |  |  | X | X |
|  | 7. Hemiscylliidae | Longtailed carpet sharks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Chiloscyllium cf. griseum Muller \& Henle, 1838. <br> Identified as Chiloscyllium griseum Muller \& Henle, 1838in [1], [2] | Grey bambooshark | X | X |  |  |  | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| 11 | Chiloscyllium hasseltii Bleeker, 1852 | Indonesian bambooshark | X | X |  |  | X | X |
| 12 | Chiloscyllium indicum Bleeker, 1853 | Slender bambooshark | X | X |  |  | X | X |
| 13 | Chiloscyllium plagiosum Bleeker, 1854 | White-spotted bambooshark | X | X |  |  | X | X |
| 14 | Chiloscyllium punctatum Bleeker, 1855 | Brown-banded bambooshark | X | X |  |  | X | X |


| 15 | Chiloscyllium sp. | Perak bambooshark |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8. Ginglymostomatidae | Nurse sharks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Nebrius ferrugineus (Lesson, 1830) | Tawny nurse shark | X | X |  |  | X | X |
|  | 9. Rhincodontidae | Whale sharks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Rhincoon typus Smith, 1828 | Whale shark | X | X |  |  | X | X |
|  | 10. Stegostomatidae | Zebra sharks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Stegostoma fasciatum (Hermann, 1783) | Zebra shark | X | X |  |  | X | X |
|  | LAMNIFORMES | MACKEREL SHARKS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11. Alopidae | Thresher sharks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | Alopias pelagicus Nakamura, 1935 | Pelagic thresher | X | X |  |  | X | X |
|  | 12. Lamnidae | Mackerel sharks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Isurus oxyrinchus Rafinesque, 1810 | Shortfin mako | X | X |  |  |  |  |
|  | CARCHARHINIFORMES | GROUND SHARKS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13. Scyliorhinidae | Catsharks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | Apristurus platyrhynchus (Tanaka, 1909) | Bigfin catshark |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| 22 | Atelomycterus cf. baliensis White, Last \& Dharmadi, 2005 | Bali catshark |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{X}^{2}$ |
| 23 | Atelomycterus cf. erdmani Fahmi \& White, 2015 | Spotted-belly catshark |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| 24 | Atelomycterus marmoratus (Bennett, 1830) | Coral catshark | X | X |  |  | X | X |
| 25 | Cephaloscyllium circulopullum Yano, Ahmad \& Gambang, 2005 | Circle-blotched pygmy swellshark | X | X |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | Cephaloscyllium sarawakensis Yano, Ahmad \& Gambang, 2005 | Sarawak pygmy swellshark | X | X |  |  |  | X |
| 27 | Cephaloscyllium cf. speccum Last, Seret \& White, 2008 | Speckled swellshark |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | Cephaloscyllium cf. variagatum Last \& White, 2008 | Stripes swellshark |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | Galeus eastmani (Jordan \& Snyder, 1904) | Gecko catshark |  | X |  |  |  |  |


| 30 | Halaelurus buergeri (Muller \& Henle, 1838) | Blackspotted catshark |  | X |  |  |  | X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | Halaelurus maculosus White, Last \& Steven, 2007. Identified as Halaelurus buergeri (Muller \& Henle, 1838) and in [1] | Indonesian speckled catshark Darkspot catshark | X | X |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14. Proscylliidae | Finback catsharks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | Eridacnis radcliffei Smith, 1913. <br> Identified as Eridacnis cf. radcliffei Smith, $1913 \text { in [2] }$ | Pygmy ribbontail catshark |  | X |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15. Triakidae | Hound sharks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | Mustelus manazo Bleeker, 1854 | Star-spotted smoothhound | X | X |  |  | X | X |
| 34 | Mustelus mosis Hemprich \& Ehrenberg, 1899. <br> Identified as Mustelus manazo Bleeker, 1854 in Last et al. (2010) | Arabian smoothhound Starspotted smoothhound | X | X |  |  |  | X |
| 35 | Mustelus widodoi White \& Last, 2006. <br> Identified as Mustelus sp. 1 [Manjaji, 2002] | Whitefin smoothhound Grey smoothhound |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| 36 | Mustelus sp. [1] | Sarawak smoothhound | X | X |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16. Hemigaleidae | Weasel sharks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | Chaenogaleus macrostoma (Bleeker, 1852) | Hooktooth shark | X | X |  |  | X | X |
| 38 | Hemigaleus microstoma Bleeker, 1852 | Sicklefin weasel shark | X | X |  |  | X | X |
| 39 | Hemipristis elongatus (Klunzinger, 1871) | Fossil shark | X | X |  |  | X | X |
| 40 | Paragaleus tengi (Chen, 1963) | Straighttooth weasel shark | X |  |  |  | X |  |
|  | 17. Carcharhinidae | Requiem sharks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | Carcharhinus albimarginatus (Ruppell, 1837) | Silvertip shark |  | X |  |  | X |  |
| 42 | Carcharhinus amblyrhynchos (Bleeker, 1856) | Gray reef shark | X | X |  |  | X | X |
| 43 | Carcharhinus cf. amboinensis (Muller \& Henle, 1839) | Pigeye shark |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{X}^{3}$ |
| 44 | Carcharhinus amblyrhynchoides (Whitley, | Graceful shark | X | X |  |  |  | X |


|  | 1934) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45 | Carcharhinus borneensis (Bleeker, 1859) | Borneo shark | X | X |  |  | X |  |
| 46 | Carcharhinus brevipinna (Muller \& Henle, 1839) | Spinner shark | X | X |  |  | X | X |
| 47 | Carcharhinus dussumieri (Muller \& Henle, 1839) | Whitecheek shark | X | X |  |  | X | X |
| 48 | Carcharhinus falciformis (Muller \& Henle, 1839) | Silky shark | X | X |  |  | X | X |
| 49 | Carcharhinus leucas (Muller \& Henle, 1839) | Bull shark | X | X |  |  | X | X |
| 50 | Carcharhinus limbatus (Muller \& Henle, 1839) | Common blacktip shark | X | X |  |  | X | X |
| 51 | Carcharhinus longimanus (Poey, 1861) | Oceanic whitetip shark |  | X |  |  |  | $\mathbf{X}^{1}$ |
| 52 | Carcharhinus macloti (Muller \& Henle, 1839) | Hardnose shark | X | X |  |  |  |  |
| 53 | Carcharhinus melanopterus (Quoy \& Gaimard, 1824) | Blacktip reef shark | X | X |  |  | X | X |
| 54 | Carcharhinus plumbeus (Nardo, 1827) | Sandbar shark | X | X |  |  | X | X |
| 55 | Carcharhinus sealei (Pietschmann, 1916) | Blackspot shark | X | X |  |  | X | X |
| 56 | Carcharhinus sorrah (Muller \& Henle, 1839) | Spottail shark | X | X |  |  | X | X |
| 57 | Galeocerdo cuvier (Peron \& Lesueur, 1822) | Tiger shark | X | X |  |  | X | X |
| 58 | Glyphis fowlerae Campagno, White \& Cavanagh, 2010 | Borneo river shark |  | X |  |  | X |  |
| 59 | Glyphis sp. [Last et al, 2010] | Mukah river shark |  | X |  |  | X |  |
| 60 | Lamiopsis tephrodes (Fowler, 1905) <br> Identified as Lamiopsis temmincki (Muller <br> \& Henle, 1839) in [1] | Borneo broadfin shark | X | X |  |  | X | X |
| 61 | Loxodon macrorhinus Muller \& Henle, 1839 | Sliteye shark | X | X |  |  | X | X |
| 62 | Prionace glauca (Linnaeus, 1758) | Blue shark |  | X |  |  |  | X |


| 63 | Rhizoprionodon acutus (Ruppell, 1837) | Milk shark | X | X |  |  | X | X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 64 | Rhizoprionodon oligolinx Springer, 1964 | Grey sharpnose shark | X | X |  |  | X | X |
| 65 | Scoliodon laticaudus Muller \& Henle, 1838 | Spadenose shark | X |  |  |  |  | X |
| 66 | Scoliodon macrorhynchos (Bleeker, 1852) | Pacific spadenose shark |  | X |  |  | X | X |
| 67 | Triaenodon obesus (Ruppell, 1837) | Whitetip reef shark | X | X |  |  | X | X |
|  | 18. Sphyrnidae | Hammerhead sharks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 68 | Eusphyra blochii (Cuvier, 1817) | Winghead shark | X | X |  |  | X |  |
| 69 | Sphyrna lewini (Griffith \& Smith, 1834) | Scalloped hammerhead | X | X |  |  | X | X |
| 70 | Sphyrna mokarran (Ruppell, 1837) | Great hammerhead | X | X |  |  | X | X |
|  | RAYS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ORDER / Family /Scientific name | Common name (English) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PRISTIFORMES | SAWFISHES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Pristidae | Sawfishes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Anoxypristis cuspidata (Latham,1794) | Narrow sawfish |  |  | X |  | X |  |
| 2 | Pristis pristis (Linnaeus, 1758). <br> Identified as Pristis microdon Latham, 1851 in [1] | Freshwater sawfish | X |  | X |  | X |  |
| 3 | Pristis zijsron Bleeker, 1851 | Green sawfish | X |  | X |  | X |  |
|  | RHINOBATIFORMES | GUITARFISHES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Rhinidae | Shark ray |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Rhina ancylostoma Bloch \& Schneider, 1801 | Shark ray | X |  | X |  | X | X |
|  | 3. Rhynchobatidae | Wedgefishes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Rhynchobatus australiae Whitley, 1939 | Whitespotted wedgefish | X |  | X |  | X | X |
| 6 | Rhynchobatus laevis (Bloch \& Schneider, 1801) | Smoothnose wedgefish |  |  | X |  | X | X |
| 7 | 2010 <br> Rhynchobatus springeri Campagno \& Last, | Broadnose wedgefish |  |  | X | X | X | $\mathbf{X}$ |
|  | 4. Rhinobatidae | Shovelnose rays |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 8 | Glaucostegus thouin (Anonymous, 1798). Identified as Rhinobatus thouin <br> (Anonynous, 1789) in [1] | Clubnose guitarfish | X | X | X | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | Glaucostegus typus (Bennett, 1830). Identified as Rhinobatus typus (Bennett, 1830) in [1] | Giant guitarfish | X | X | X | X |
| 10 | Rhinobatos borneensis Last, Seret \& Naylor, 2016. Identified as Rhinobatos formosensis Norman, 1926 in [1], [3] | Borneo guitarfish | X | X | X | $\mathbf{X}^{1}$ |
| 11 | Rhinobatos cf. borneensis Last, Seret \& Naylor, 2016. Identified as Rhinobatos formosensis Norman, 1926 in [1], [3] |  | X | X |  | $\mathbf{X}^{2}$ |
|  | TORPEDINIFORMES | ELECTRIC RYAS |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5. Narcinidae | Numbfishes |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Narcine brevilabiata Bessednov, 1966 | Shortlip numbfish |  | X | X | X |
| 13 | Narcine brunnea Annandale, 1909 | Brown numbfish | X | X |  | X |
| 14 | Narcine lingula Richardson, 1846 | Rough numbfish |  | X | X |  |
| 15 | Narcine maculata (Shaw, 1804) | Darkfinned numbfish | X | X | X | X |
| 16 | Narcine prodorsalis Bessednov, 1966 | Tonkin numbfish | X | X |  |  |
| 17 | Narcine timlei (Bloch \& Schneider, 1801). Identified as Narcine indica Henle, 1834 in Ahmad et al (2014) | Blackspotted numbfish | X | X |  | X |
| 18 | Narcine sp A | Perak Numbfish (small spot) |  |  |  | $\mathrm{X}^{2}$ |
| 19 | Narcine sp B | Perak Numbfish (large spot) |  |  |  | $\mathrm{X}^{2}$ |
| 20 | Narcine sp C | Perak Numbfish (many spots) |  |  |  | $\mathbf{X}^{2}$ |
|  | 6. Narkidae | Sleeper rays |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | Narke dipterygia (Bloch \& Schneider, 1801) | Spottail sleeper |  | X | X | X |
| 22 | Narke sp | Sarawak sleeper ray |  |  |  | $\mathrm{X}^{4}$ |
| 23 | Temera hardwickii Gray 1831 | Finless sleeper ray |  | X | X | X |


|  | RAJIFORMES | SKATES |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7. Rajidae | Skates |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | Dipturus kwangtungensis (Chu, 1960) | Kwangtung skate |  | X |  | X |  |
| 25 | Dipturus sp | Sarawak skate |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{X}^{4}$ |
| 26 | Okamejei cairae Last, Fahmi \& Ishihara, 2010 | Borneo sand skate |  | X | X | X | X |
| 27 | Okamejei hollandi (Jordan \& Richardson, 1909). <br> Identified as Raja (Okamejei) boesemani Ishihara, 1987 in [1] | Yellowspotted skate |  | X |  | X |  |
| 28 | Okamejei jensenae Last \& Lim, 2010 | Philippine ocellate skate |  | X | X | X | X |
|  | 8. Anacanthobatidae | Legskate |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | Sinobatis borneensis (Chan, 1965) | Borneo leg skate |  | X |  | X |  |
|  | MYLIOBATIFORMES | STINGRAYS |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9. Plesiobatidae | Stingarees |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | Plesiobatis daviesi (Wallace, 1967) | Giant stingaree | X | X |  | X |  |
|  | 10. Dasyatidae | Stingrays |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | Dasyatis akajei (Muller \& Henle, 1841) | Red stingray | X | X |  |  | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| 32 | Dasyatis cf. acutirostra Nishida \& Nakaya, 1988 | Pointed snout stingray |  | X |  |  |  |
| 33 | Dasyatis fluviorum Ogilby, 1908 | Estuary stingray | X | X |  |  |  |
| 34 | Dasyatis microps (Annandale, 1908) | Smalleye stingray | X | X |  | X | X |
| 35 | Dasyatis parvonigra Last \& White, 2008 | Dwarf black stingray |  | X |  | X | X |
| 36 | Dasyatis sinensis (Steindachner, 1892) | Chinese stingray |  | X |  |  |  |
| 37 | Dasyatis thetidis Ogilby, 1899 | Thorntail stingray | X | X |  |  | X |
| 38 | Dasyatis ushiei (Jordan \& Hubbs, 1925) | Cow stingray |  |  |  | X | X |
| 39 | Dasyatis zugei (Muller \& Henle, 1841) | Sharpnose stingray | X | X |  | X | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| 40 | Himantura astra Last, Manjaji-Matsumoto \& Pogonoski, 2008) | Blackspotted whipray |  | X |  |  |  |


| 41 | Himantura fai Jordan \& Seale, 1906 | Pink whipray | X | X | X | X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 | Himantura gerrardi (Gray, 1851) | Whitespotted whipray | X | X | X | X |
| 43 | Himantura cf. gerrardi | Whitespotted whipray (whole body) |  |  |  | X |
| 44 | Himantura granulata (Macleay, 1883) | Whitespotted mangrove whipray | X | X | X |  |
| 45 | Himantura imbricata (Bloch \& Schneider, 1801) | Scaly whipray | X | X |  |  |
| 46 | Himantura jenkinsii (Annandale, 1909) | Jenkin's whipray | X | X | X | X |
| 47 | Himantura kittipongi Vidthayanon \& Roberts, 2005 | Roughback whipray |  | X | X | $\mathbf{X}^{2}$ |
| 48 | Himantura leoparda Manjaji-Matsumoto \& Last, 2008 | Leopard whipray |  | X | X | X |
| 49 | Himantura lobistoma Manjaji-Matsumoto \& Last, 2006 | Tubemouth whipray |  | X | X | X |
| 50 | Himantura pastinacoides (Bleeker, 1852) | Round whipray |  | X |  | X |
| 51 | Himantura polylepis (Bleeker, 1852) | Giant freshwater whipray |  | X | X | X |
| 52 | Himantura signifer Compagno \& Robert, 1982 | White-edge freshwater whipray | X | X |  | X |
| 53 | Himantura cf. signifer Compagno \& Robert, 1983 | Pahang Yellow-edge freshwater whipray |  | X |  | $\mathbf{X}^{3}$ |
| 54 | Himantura uarnacoides (Bleeker, 1852) | Whitenose whipray | X | X | X | X |
| 55 | Himantura uarnak (Forsskal, 1775) | Reticulate whipray | X | X | X | X |
| 56 | Himantura cf. uarnak | Sandakan and Kuantan Reticulate whipray |  |  |  | $\mathbf{X}^{1}$ |
| 57 | Himantura undulata (Bleeker, 1852) | Honeycomb whipray | X | X | X | X |
| 58 | Himantura walga (Muller \& Henle, 1841) | Dwarf whipray | X | X |  | X |
| 59 | Himantura sp. A [ Yano, et al. 2005] | Penang whipray | X |  |  |  |
| 60 | Neotrygon cf. annotata Last, 1987 | Javanese maskray |  |  |  | X |
| 61 | Neotrygon cf. leylandi Last, 1987 | Painted maskray |  | X |  |  |
| 62 | Neotrygon kuhlii (Muller \& Henle, 1841) | Bluespotted stingray | X | X | X | X |


| 63 | Neotrygon cf. picta Last \& White 2008, | Peppered maskray |  | X |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 64 | Neotrygon sp | Pointed snout maskray (Terengganu) |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{X}^{5}$ |
| 65 | Pastinachus atrus (Macleay, 1883) | Eastern cowtail stingray |  | X |  | X | X |
| 66 | Pastinachus gracilicaudus Last \& ManjajiMatsumoto, 2010 | Narrowtail stingray |  | X | X | X | X |
| 67 | Pastinachus solocirostris Last, Manjaji \& Yearsley, 2005 | Roughnose stingray |  | X | X | X | X |
| 68 | Pastinachus cf. stellurostris Last, Fahmi \& Nyalor, 2010 | Starrynose stingray |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{X}^{1}$ |
| 69 | Pteroplatytrygon violacea (Bonnaparte, 1832) | Pelagic stingray | X | X |  | X |  |
| 70 | Taeniura lymma (Forsskal, 1775) | Ribbontail stingray | X | X |  | X | X |
| 71 | Taeniurops meyeni Muller \& Henle, 1841 | Round ribbontail stingray | X | X |  | X | X |
| 72 | Urogymnus asperrimus (Bloch \& Schneider, 1801) | Porcupine ray | X | X |  | X | X |
|  | 11. Gymnuridae | Butterfly rays |  |  |  |  |  |
| 73 | Gymnura japonica (Temminck \& Schlegal, 1805) | Japanese butterfly ray | X | X |  |  | X |
| 74 | Gymnura poecilura (Shaw, 1804) | Longtail butterfly ray | X | X |  | X | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| 75 | Gymnura zonura (Bleeker, 1852). <br> Identified as Aetoplatea zonura Bleeker, $1851 \text { in [1] }$ | Zonetail butterfly ray | X | X |  | X | X |
| 76 | Gymnura sp | Perak butterfly ray |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
|  | 12. Myliobatidae | Eagle rays |  |  |  |  |  |
| 77 | Aetobatus flagellum (Bloch \& Schneider, 1801) | Longhead eagle ray |  | X |  | X | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| 78 | Aetobatus ocellatus (Kuhl, 1823) | Ocellated eagle ray | X | X |  | X | X |
| 79 | Aetomylaeus maculatus (Gray, 1834) | Mottled eagle ray |  | X |  | X |  |
| 80 | Aetomylaeus narinari (Euphrasen, 1790) | White-spotted eagle ray | X |  |  |  |  |


| 81 | Aetomylaeus nichofii (Bloch \& Schneider, 1801) | Banded eagle ray | X | X | X | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 82 | Aetomylaeus vespertilio (Bleeker, 1852) | Ornate eagle ray | X | X | X | X |
|  | 13. Rhinopteridae | Cownose rays |  |  |  |  |
| 83 | Rhinoptera adspersa Muller \& Henle, 1841 | Rough cownose ray | X | X |  |  |
| 84 | Rhinoptera javanica Muller \& Henle, 1841 | Javanese cownose ray |  | X | X | X |
| 85 | Rhinoptera jayakari Boulenger, 1895 | Short-tail cownose ray |  | X | X | X |
|  | 14. Mobulidae | Devil rays |  |  |  |  |
| 86 | Manta alfredi (Kreff, 1868) | Alfred manta |  |  |  | X |
| 87 | Manta birostris (Walbaum, 1792) | Manta ray | X | X |  | X |
| 88 | Mobula japanica (Muller \& Henle, 1841) | Spinetail devil ray |  |  | X | X |
| 89 | Mobula kuhlii (Muller \& Henle, 1841) | Shortfin devil ray | X | X |  | X |
| 90 | Mobula thurstoni (Lloyd, 1908) | Sicklefin devil ray | X | X |  | X |
| 91 | Mobula sp | Borneo devil ray |  | X | X |  |
|  | ORDER CHIMAERIFORMES |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. 1. Chimaeridae |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Chimaera phantasma Jordan \& Snyder, 1900 | Silver chimaera | X | X | X |  |
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